# Ideal gas thermodynamic properties of phenol and cresols

Cite as: Journal of Physical and Chemical Reference Data 7, 417 (1978); https://doi.org/10.1063/1.555573 Published Online: 15 October 2009

S. A. Kudchadker, A. P. Kudchadker, R. C. Wilhoit, and B. J. Zwolinski





# ARTICLES YOU MAY BE INTERESTED IN

Critical review of hydrolysis of organic compounds in water under environmental conditions Journal of Physical and Chemical Reference Data 7, 383 (1978); https://doi.org/10.1063/1.555572

Heat Capacities and Entropies of Organic Compounds in the Condensed Phase. Volume III Journal of Physical and Chemical Reference Data 25, 1 (1996); https://doi.org/10.1063/1.555985

Ideal Gas Thermodynamic Properties of CH<sub>3</sub>, CD<sub>3</sub>, CD<sub>4</sub>, C<sub>2</sub>D<sub>2</sub>, C<sub>2</sub>D<sub>4</sub>, C<sub>2</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, CH<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>, and CD<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub>CD<sub>3</sub>

Journal of Physical and Chemical Reference Data 11, 83 (1982); https://doi.org/10.1063/1.555656





# Ideal Gas Thermodynamic Properties of Phenol and Cresols

S.A. Kudchadker, A.P. Kudchadker, R.C. Wilhoit, and B.J. Zwolinski

Thermodynamics Research Center, Texas Engineering Experiment Station, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843

The standard chemical thermodynamic properties of phenol, o-cresol, m-cresol, and p-cresol were calculated by use of the rigid rotor harmonic oscillator approximation. The partition functions for internal rotation of -OH and -CH, groups were calculated as a direct sum over the internal rotation energy levels. It was assumed that o-cresol is a mixture of two rotational isomers. Values of molecular parameters, fundamental frequencies, potential barriers to internal rotation and enthalpies of formation were selected from among those reported in the literature and from some additional molecular orbital calculations.

Key words: Ideal gas thermodynamic properties; internal rotation; m-cresol; n-cresol; p-cresol; phenol; potential barrier heights; rotational isomers; torsional frequencies.

### Contents

	iago
1. Introduction	417
2. Phenol	418
3. o-Cresol	419
4. m-Cresol	420
5. <i>p</i> -Cresol	420
6. Acknowledgement	423
7. References	423
List of Tables	
•	Page
1. Molecular parameters for phenol	418
2. Vibrational frequencies for phenol and cresols	418
3. Ideal gas thermodynamic functions for phenol	419
4. Comparison of calculated and experimental	
entropies at 298.15 and 400 K	419
5. Molecular parameters for o-cresol	420
6. Ideal gas thermodynamic functions for o-cresol	421
7. Composition of equilibrium mixture of o-cresol	421
8. Molecular parameters for m-cresol	421
9. Ideal gas thermodynamic functions for m-cresol.	422
10. Molecular parameters for p-cresol	422
11. Ideal gas thermodynamic functions for p-cresol	423

## 1. Introduction

This study involves the calculation of ideal gas thermodynamic properties of phenol and the three cresols. A survey of the literature revealed that information regarding values

© 1978 by the U.S. Secretary of Commerce on behalf of the United States. This copyright is assigned to the American Institute of Physics and the American Chemical Society.

of molecular parameters and barriers to methyl group rotation in the cresols was incomplete, particularly in the o-cresol. The additional information needed in this study was obtained from molecular orbital calculations carried out on o-cresol, m-cresol and p-cresol by the authors [1].1 The ideal gas thermodynamic properties, heat capacity  $(C_p^{\circ})$ , entropy  $(S^{\circ})$ , enthalpy  $(H^{\circ}-H_{0}^{\circ})$ , Gibbs energy function  $[-(G^{\circ}-H_{0}^{\circ})/T]$ , enthalpy of formation ( $\Delta Hf^{\circ}$ ), Gibbs energy of formation ( $\Delta Gf^{\circ}$ ), and the logarithm of the equilibrium constant of formation (log Kf), have been obtained for phenol and the three cresols. Values for these properties were computed at the pressure of one atmosphere (101325 Pa) and temperatures from 0-1500 K, using selected values of molecular parameters, vibrational frequencies, and potential barrier heights and assuming a rigid-rotor harmonic oscillator approximation. The internal rotation contributions to the thermodynamic properties for each compound were calculated by the use of a partition function formed by summation of the calculated internal rotation energy levels. These energy levels were obtained from an approximate solution of the Schroedinger equation using the potential functions

$$V_{OH} = \frac{1}{2}V_2(1-\cos 2\theta)$$
 (1)

and

$$V_{CH_3} = \frac{1}{2}V_3(1 - \cos 3\theta) \tag{2}$$

for -OH and  $-CH_3$  groups, respectively, where  $V_2$  and  $V_3$  are potential barrier heights and  $\theta$  is the angle of internal rotation.

In these calculations, recent values of physical constants [2] and atomic weights [3] were used. The values of enthalpy and Gibbs energy function of elements C [4], H [5], and O [5] in their standard reference state were used.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Figures in brackets indicate the literature references.

The uncertainties in the calculated thermodynamic properties due to the uncertainties in the various molecular parameters are given below.

Molecular parameter	Uncertainty	Property	Average effect at 200-1000 K
Structural parameter	±0.05 Å ± 2.0°	S° -(G°-H <sub>0</sub> °)/T	± 0.8 J K <sup>-1</sup> mol <sup>-1</sup> ± 0.8 J K <sup>-1</sup> mol <sup>-1</sup>
Vibrational frequencies	± 10 cm <sup>-1</sup>	C <sub>p</sub> S° -(G°-H <sub>0</sub> °)/T H°-H <sub>0</sub> °	± 0.8 J K <sup>-1</sup> mol <sup>-1</sup> ± 1.6 J K <sup>-1</sup> mol <sup>-1</sup> ± 0.8 J K <sup>-1</sup> mol <sup>-1</sup> ± 0.4 kJ mol <sup>-1</sup>
OH potential barrier	± 1000 J mol-1	C° <sub>p</sub> S° -(G°-H° <sub>0</sub> )/T H°-H° <sub>0</sub>	± 0.6 J K <sup>-1</sup> mol <sup>-1</sup> ± 1.4 J K <sup>-1</sup> mol <sup>-1</sup> ± 0.8 J K <sup>-1</sup> mol <sup>-1</sup> ± 0.4 kJ mol <sup>-1</sup>
CH <sub>3</sub> potential barrier	± 1000 J mol <sup>-1</sup>	C° <sub>p</sub> S° -(G°-H° <sub>0</sub> )/T H°-H° <sub>0</sub>	± 0.6 J K <sup>-1</sup> mol <sup>-1</sup> ± 0.6 J K <sup>-1</sup> mol <sup>-1</sup> ± 0.4 J K <sup>-1</sup> mol <sup>-1</sup> ± 0.4 kJ mol <sup>-1</sup>

# 2. Phenol

Kojima [6], Forest and Dailey [7], and Pedersen et al. [8] reported the molecular parameters for phenol from their microwave measurements. Pedersen et al. have now concluded with certainty that phenol is planar in its equilibrium configuration. We have adopted their values of molecular parameters and moments of inertia in these calculations, and they are presented in table 1.

The vibrational frequencies were reported by Evans [9], Green [10], and Bist et al. [11]. Bist et al. obtained their values from an infrared and an electronic spectrum in the vapor phase. We adopted their values of the fundamental frequencies except for the following two frequencies: 958 cm<sup>-1</sup> instead of 995 cm<sup>-1</sup> [12] and 225 cm<sup>-1</sup> instead of 244 cm<sup>-1</sup> [13] (table 2).

TABLE 1. Molecular parameters for phenol (1 Å=10-8m)

 indee it inforceman par	tumeters for phenor (171 To th)
$C-C = 1.397 \text{ Å}$ $C_1-O = 1.364 \text{ Å}$ $C_2-H = 1.084 \text{ Å}$ $C_3-H = 1.076 \text{ Å}$ $C_4-H = 1.082 \text{ Å}$ $O-H = 0.956 \text{ Å}$	∠ COH=109.0° ∠ between CO and rotation axis=2.2° all ∠ CCC and ∠ CCH=120°
I. I	= 89.4667 amu Å <sup>2</sup> =193.0073 amu Å <sup>2</sup> =282.4440 amu Å <sup>2</sup> = 0.7370 amu Å <sup>2</sup> DH)= 14.510 kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> =1 (overall) =2 (OH rotor)

The literature gives various values of potential barrier heights as follows: 1100 [14], 1190 [15], 1207 [16], 1213 [13], 1215 [11], 1244 [17], and 1266 cm<sup>-1</sup> [18]. These values correspond to a torsional frequency 309-310 cm<sup>-1</sup> and various

TABLE 2. Vibrational frequencies (cm -1) for phenol and cresols

Phenol	o-Cresol	m-Cresol	p-Cresol
a <sub>1</sub> 3656	a' 3073	a' 3080	a <sub>1</sub> 3062
3087	3060	3060°	3030
3063	3049	3042	1615
3027	3028	3028⁰	1515
1603	1608	1614	1252
1501	1587	1600	1213
1261	1492	1490	1170
1176	1462	1462	1018
1168	1324	1306	843
1025	1300	1281	738
999	1255	1268	462
823	1207	1163	a <sub>2</sub> 949
526	1149	1151	810
a <sub>2</sub> 958a	1102	1082	407
817	1043	1000	b <sub>1</sub> 922
409	842	930	817
$b_1 = 973$	748	733	699
881	586	536	507
751	529	518	320
686	429	443	178
503	275	297	b <sub>2</sub> 3062
309	a" 967	a" 962	3030
225 <sup>b</sup>	928	880	1598
b <sub>2</sub> 3070	844	848	1428
3049	749	. 771	1328
1610	711	685	1291
1472	542	560	1102
1343	442	443	643
1277	315	246	426
1150	191	211	282 <sup>f</sup>
1070	OH 3600	OH 3614	3608
619	1164	1182	1170
403	ν, { 322d	311	298
	297€		
	СН₃ 2974	CH <sub>3</sub> 2980	CH <sub>3</sub> 2970
	2944	2951	2945
	2916	2924	2922
	1441	1439	1458
	1441	1439	1458
	1381	1380	1379
	1039	1038	1043
	986	1008	985
	v <sub>r</sub> 173° cis	free	free
	free trans	rotation	rotation
	rotation trans	<u> </u>	

a Ref [12].

values of reduced moments of inertia of the OH top obtained by assuming different molecular parameters for phenol. We have adopted here the value reported by Larsen and Nicolaisen [13], as it is obtained from a combination of far infrared [11] and microwave data [8].

Cox and Pilcher [19] critically reviewed the enthalpies of formation for phenol and recommended the value reported by Andon et al. [20]. We adopted the same value in this work.

Ideal gas thermal functions were calculated using the data from tables 1 and 2 and are presented in table 3. For comparison, the third law entropies were obtained as follows. The ex-

b Ref [13].

c Estimated.

d cis.

e trans.

f See text.

T  $S^{\circ}$  $-(G^{\circ}-H_{0}^{\circ})/T$  $H^{\circ}-H_{\circ}^{\circ}$  $\Delta H f^{\circ}$  $\Delta Gf^{\circ}$  $C_p^{\circ}$ log Kf J K-1 mol-1 kJ mol-1 K n 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 -77.804-77.804Infinite 50.00 33.909 218.686 185:333 1.668 -83.215 -77.16180.609 41.384 244.047 208.865 3.518 -85.630 -70.19336.665 100.00 150.00 54.189 263.131 223.843 5.893 -88.310-61.90721.558 280.789 235.886 8.981 -91.142-52.71913.769 69.651 200.00 273.15 94.609 306.152 251.310 14.980 -95.134 -37.8667.241 103.223 256.271 17.453 --96.399 314.810 -32.6315.717 298.15 300.00 103.855 315.451 256.634 17.645 -96.491 -32.2295.611 135.794 349.823 275.649 29.670 -100.869-10.1801.329 400.00 44.605 500.00 161.907 383.042 293.832 -104.23712.967 -1.355182,480 311.345 61.865 -106.80936.652 414.454 -3.191600.00 198.839 443.858 328.199 80.961 -108.797 60.753700.00 --4.533 471.307 344.392 101.532 -110.297 85.025 212.142 -5.552800.00 900.00 223.185 496.950 359.934 123.314 --111.367 109.586 -6.360 232.490 520.960 374.849 146.111 -111.992 134.282 -7.0141000.00 240.411 543.500 389.167 169,767 -112.283158.625 -7.532 1100.00 1200.00 247 202 564.718 402.921 194.156 -112.388183,351 -7 981 1300.00 253.055 584.741 416.144 219.176 --112.331 208.070 -8.360 258.121 603.684 428.870 244,741 -112.125 233.051 -8.695 1400.00

270.778

441.128

TABLE 3. Ideal gas thermodynamic functions of phenol

perimental heat capacity data for solid and liquid [21] were fitted to the equations and the following values of entropy were obtained. S(s, 298.15 K)=143.43±0.42 J K<sup>-1</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup> and  $S(1, 400 \text{ K})=238.86\pm0.84 \text{ J K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1}$ . Using these values plus vapor pressure data [22] and enthalpy of sublimation [20], ideal gas entropies were obtained (table 4). As seen from table 4, the statistically calculated entropy value agreed well with the third law entropy at 400 K but not at 298.15. The discrepency at 298.15 K, as pointed by Andon et al., lies in the lack of good vapor pressure data at 298.15 and in the uncertainty in the enthalpy of sublimation and not in the heat capacity measurements. Recently, Nichols and Wadso [22a] obtained  $C_p(s, 298.15)=127.21 \text{ J K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ which agrees well with that}$ obtained from the measurements of Andon et al. (126.78 J K<sup>-1</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>). Previous calculations of ideal gas thermodynamic properties of phenol [9,10,23,24] were based on less complete data than are now available. They are compared with our values at 298.15 and 400 K in table 4.

621.646

262,523

1500.00

# 3. o-Cresol

No microwave measurements are reported in the literature for o-cresol. Bois [25] reported the crystal structure for

o-cresol with three sets of molecular parameters for the hydrogen bonded molecules. Hence, we obtained the best set of molecular parameters for the free molecular from the molecular orbital calculations (M.O.) [1] which are presented in table 5.

-111.779

257.541

-8.968

Jha and Chattopadhyay [26] reviewed the spectral work of various workers [27,28,29] and also reported the partial assignments for o-cresol. Green et al. [12] reported all the vibrational frequencies except CH<sub>3</sub> torsion from their infrared and Raman spectral measurements. They observed a doublet for o (OH) which they attributed to the two isomers of o-cresol. Recently, Carlson and Fateley [30] studied the low frequency spectra of o-cresol and deuterated o-cresol (OD). They found that in dilute cyclohexane solution, the torsional region is more complex than for any other substituted phenol. It contained three bands of equal intensity. However, they observed only one torsional band for deuterated o-cresol and hence could not conclude whether there is only one, two or three isomers for o-cresol.

From our M.O. calculations [1,31] it has been shown that the strong interactions between the rotation of the OH and CH<sub>3</sub> groups result in two identifiable rotational isomers, and the two OH torsional bands, 322 and 297 cm<sup>-1</sup> observed by

TABLE 4. Comparison of calculated and experimental entropies (J K<sup>-1</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>) at 298.15 and 400 K

Authors	Phenol		o-Cresol		m-Cresol		p-Cresol	
	298.15 K	400 K						
Evans [9]	314.30	349.24						
Green [10]	315.60	350.62	357.61	401.20	356.77	398.94	347.65	393.92
Ramaswamy [23]	298.44	307.94	346.02	363.34	346.02	363.34	346.02	363.34
Sarin [24]	309.28	345.05		ĺ				
This work	314.80	349.82	352.59	395.27	356.06	398.15	350.74	392.88
Experimental	309.66	350.83	354.80	397.35	348.11	399.40	342.33	394.09
•	±2.51	±0.92	±2.93	±1.67	±3.77	±0.84	±5.44	±0.84
Exp.—this work	-5.14	+1.01	+2.21	+2.08	-7.95	+1.25	-8.41	+1.21

TABLE 5. Molecular parameters for o-cresol

c	is	trans
C-CH <sub>3</sub> C-H(CH <sub>3</sub>	=1.51 Å ) =1.10 Å	
0-Н	=1.00 Å	
C-O	=1.38 Å	∠CCH and ∠ HCH=tetrahedral
C-H	=1.08 Å	all \( CCC \) and \( CCH=120^\circ\)
C-C	=1.40 Å	∠ COH=109°

	is	trans		
I.	=153.897 amu Ų	I.	=159.028 amu Å2	
$I_{\scriptscriptstyle b}$	=231.711 amu Å <sup>2</sup>	$I_{b}$	=227.873 amu Å <sup>2</sup>	
$I_c$	=382.355 amu Å <sup>2</sup>	$I_c$	=383.648 amu Å <sup>2</sup>	
I,(OH)	$= 0.8656 \text{ amu Å}^2$	I,(OH)	= 0.8519 amu Å <sup>2</sup>	
$I_r(CH_3)$	= 3.1888 amu Å <sup>2</sup>	I,(CH <sub>3</sub> )	$= 3.1908 \text{ amu Å}^2$	
$V_a(OH)$	= 18.046 kJ mol <sup>-1</sup>	V <sub>b</sub> (OH)	= 15.769 kJ mol <sup>-1</sup>	
$V_3(CH_3)$	= 8.673 kJ mol <sup>-1</sup>	$V_3(CH_3)$	=free rotor	
σ	=1 (overall)	σ	=1 (overall)	
σ	=3 (CH <sub>3</sub> rotor)	σ	=3 (CH <sub>3</sub> rotor)	
cis +	trans	$V_1$	= 2.276 kJ mol <sup>-1</sup>	
		$V_2$	=16.907 kJ mol-1	

Carlson and Fateley [30] are accordingly assigned to the cis and the trans isomer, respectively. The band at  $266 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  is assumed to be present due to some unidentified splitting. Green et al. [12] assigned it to  $\nu_{21}$ .

The M.O. calculations obtained the potential barrier height of 8.673 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> for the CH<sub>3</sub> rotor of the cis isomer and 0.360 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> for the trans. Here the methyl group of the trans is considered to be a free rotor as the potential barrier is very low.

The other fundamentals for o-cresol are listed in table 2 and were assumed to apply to both isomers. These are the same as given by Green et al., [12] except that 266 cm<sup>-1</sup> was replaced by 275 cm<sup>-1</sup> as recommended by Carlson and Fateley [30].

The enthalpy of formation at 298.15 K reported by Andon et al., [20] and recommended by Cox and Pilcher [19] was adopted by us.

The ideal gas thermodynamic properties based on the above model (two rotational isomers) were calculated using the data given in tables 2 and 5. The potential barrier heights of the OH rotor for the two isomers were obtained by the usual procedure [32]. The internal rotation energy levels for the OH rotor were calculated from the potential function

$$V = \frac{1}{2}V_1(1 - \cos\theta) + \frac{1}{2}V_2(1 - \cos\theta), \sigma = 1$$
 (3)

where  $\theta$  and  $\sigma$  are the rotational angle and symmetry number respectively.  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  were calculated from the following relationships

$$V_1 = \Delta E \tag{4}$$

$$V_2 = V_a - \frac{1}{2}V_1 \tag{5}$$

where  $\Delta E$ =energy difference between the two isomers, and  $V_a$  is the barrier height of the cis isomer. The energy levels obtained from equation (3) were separated into subsets for the two isomers. The energy levels for the CH<sub>3</sub> rotor were ob-

tained from equation 2. The calculated thermodynamic properties for the equilibrium mixture of two isomers are presented in table 6 and the composition of the equilibrium mixture at various temperatures is presented in table 7.

The comparison of observed and calculated entropy is shown in table 4. The discrepancy is close to the experimental uncertainty. The vibrational assignments are less well-determined and though the agreement of the computed and experimental entropy is satisfactory, it is not a sufficient argument for the proposed assignment. Green's [10a] values are higher than ours though he considered only one rotational isomer for o-cresol. This is because he used one extra low vibrational frequency (190 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and lower potential barrier height (3100 cal mol<sup>-1</sup>) for the OH rotor.

### 4. m-Cresol

No microwave measurements are reported in the literature for *m*-cresol. Bois [34] reported the crystal structure with six sets of molecular parameters. As in case of o-cresol, molecular orbital calculations were carried out and the molecular parameters obtained are given in table 8.

Partial vibrational assignments were made by several workers [26,27,28,35]. Green et al. [12] reported complete vibrational assignments except for the CH<sub>3</sub> torsion. They observed some doublets which they attributed to the presence of two isomers. Manocha et al. [36] studied the far infrared spectrum of m-cresol in the vapor phase and observed two torsional transitions,  $311 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  (0 $\leftarrow$ 1) and  $279 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  (1 $\leftarrow$ 2). They assumed very small difference between the *trans* and the *cis* isomer, which seems to be true from our molecular orbital calculations. Hence we have adopted the vibrational frequencies of Green et al., and  $\nu_{\tau}$ =311 cm<sup>-1</sup> (table 2).

The potential barrier height for the OH rotor was obtained using  $\nu_{\tau}$ =311 cm<sup>-1</sup> [32]. No torsional frequency for the CH<sub>3</sub> rotor is reported. From the molecular orbital calculations [1], it was found that in *m*-cresol the CH<sub>3</sub> rotor behaves as a free rotor and hence we have assumed it to be so.

The enthalpy of formation at 298.15 K reported by Andon et al. [20] and recommended by Cox and Pilcher [19] was adopted by us.

The ideal gas thermodynamic properties were calculated using the data given in tables 2 and 8 and presented in table 9. The contribution to the thermodynamic properties of two isomers was obtained by adding  $R \ln 2$  to  $S^{\circ}$  and  $-(G^{\circ}-H_{\circ}^{\circ})/T$ .

As in phenol, the calculated entropy value agrees well with the third law entropy value [33] at 400 K but not at 298.15 K (table 4). The same justification proposed by the authors for phenol applies here. However, this is not conclusive proof that the vibrational assignments are well determined.

# 5. p-Cresol

No microwave measurements are reported for p-cresol. Bois [37] reported the crystal structure with two sets of molecular parameters. As in the case of o- and m-cresol, a set of molecular parameters was obtained from molecular orbital calculations [1] and is given in table 10.

TABLE 6. Ideal gas thermodynamic functions for o-cresol

T	C <sub>P</sub> °	S° .	-(G°-H°)/T	H°-H°	ΔHf°	ΔGf°	log Kf
K		J K <sup>-1</sup> mol <sup>-1</sup>			kJ mol~1		
0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	-106.285	-106.285	Infinite
50.00	38.217	225.631	191.489	1.707	-111.391	-101.656	106.198
100.00	59.181	258.691	217.154	4.154	-114.586	90.699	47.376
150.00	75.094	285.778	235.641	7.521	-118.034	-78.023	27.170
200.00	91.259	309.553	251.193	11.672	-121.673	-64.189	16.764
273.15	117.844	341.859	271.187	19.304	-126.890	-42.136	8.058
298.15	127.301	352.587	277.564	22.368	-128.574	-34.378	6.023
300.00	128.000	353.377	278.029	22.605	-128.696	-33.785	5.882
400.00	164.312	395.267	302.135	37.253	-134.659	-1.287	0.168
500.00	195.289	435.373	324.805	55.284	-139.380	32.705	-3.417
600.00	220.432	473.283	346.425	76.115	143.036	67.470	5.874
700.00	240.845	508.848	367.116	99.213	-145.855	102.822	-7.673
800.00	257.677	542.142	386.936	124.165	_147.951	138.419	9.038
900.00	271.768	573.329	405.932	150.657	-149.410	174.410	-10.122
1000.00	283.696	602.597	424.151	178.447	-150.232	210.561	-10.998
1100.00	293.871	630.126	441.637	207.338	150.567	246.315	-11.696
1200.00	302.597	656.080	458.436	237.173	150.607	282.482	-12.296
1300.00	310.113	680.604	474.591	267.817	-150.395	318.677	12.804
1400.00	316.611	703.830	490.143	299.161	-149.956	355.155	-13.251
1500.00	322.250	725.870	505.130	331.111	-149.315	391.024	-13.617

TABLE 7. Composition of equilibrium mixture of o-cresol

Temp	Mole fraction			
K	cis	trans		
50	0.99	0.01		
100	0.88	0.12		
150	0.73	0.27		
200	0.64	0.36		
273.15	0.56	0.44		
298.15	0.55	0.45		
300	0.55	0.45		
400	0.51	0.49		
500	0.49	0.51		
600-1500	0.48	0.52		

Table 8. Molecular parameters for m-cresol

C-C = 1.4 C-H = 1.6 C-O = 1.5 O-H = 1.6 C-CH <sub>3</sub> = 1.5 C-H(CH <sub>3</sub> ) = 1.1	08 Å 38 Å 00 Å 51 Å	∠ COH=109° all ∠ CCC and ∠ CCH=120° ∠ CCH and ∠ HCH=tetrahedral
	I <sub>a</sub>	=136.873 amu Å <sup>2</sup>
•	$I_b$	=285.368 amu Å <sup>2</sup>
	$I_c$	=418.988 amu Å <sup>2</sup>
	I <sub>r</sub> (OH)	$= 0.8605 \text{ amu Å}^2$
	$I_r(CH_3)$	$= 3.1844 \text{ amu Å}^2$
	V2(OH)	= 16.803 kJ mol <sup>-1</sup>
	$V_2(CH_3)$	=free rotor
	σ	=1 (overall)
	σ	=2 (OH rotor, two isomers)
	σ	=3 (CH <sub>3</sub> rotor)

Т	C <sub>p</sub>	S°	-(G°-H° <sub>0</sub> )/T	<i>Н</i> °− <i>Н</i> °	ΔHf°	ΔGf°	log Kf
K	·	J K-1 mol-1			kJ mol <sup>-1</sup>		
0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	-107.919	107.919	Infinite
50.00	38.690	238.932	201.327	1.880	-115.228	105.812	110.540
100.00	50.748	268.919	228.139	4.078	-118.421	-95.173	49.713
150.00	67.590	292.610	245.758	7.028	-121.849	-82.821	28.841
200.00	85.999	314.545	260.234	10.862	-125.460	-69.319	18.104
273.15	114.726	345.561	278.947	18.195	-130.631	<b>—47.764</b>	9.134
298.15	124.675	356.038	284.972	21.188	-132.298	-40.179	7.039
300.00	125.408	356.812	285.413	21.420	-132.419	-39.600	6.895
400.00	162.970	398.148	308.452	35.879	-138.301	7.810	1.020
500.00	194.537	438.027	330.410	53.809	-142.932	25.451	-2.659
600.00	219.937	475.826	351.527	74.579	-146.500	59.467	-5.177
700.00	240.449	511.324	371.847	97.634	-149.241	94.055	-7.018
800.00	257.311	544.567	391.382	122.548	-151.274	128.880	-8.415
900.00	271.408	575.712	410.152	149.004	152.683	164.091	-9.523
1000.00	283.336	604.942	428.185	176.758	-153.467	199.456	-10.418
1100.00	293.512	632.437	445.516	205.613	-153.776	234.422	-11.132
1200.00	302.244	658.359	462.183	235.411	-152.798	269.799	-11.744
1300.00	309.769	682.856	478.224	266.021	-153.574	305.202	-12.263
1400.00	316.280	706.055	493.676	297.331	-153.129	340.888	-12.719
1500.00	321.935	728.074	508.575	329.249	152.484	375.965	-13.092

TABLE 10. Molecular parameters for p-cresol

Tibble 10: Molecular parameters for p eresor								
C-C =1.4 C-H =1.0		∠ COH=109° all ∠ CCC and ∠ CCH=120°						
C-0 = 1.3	36 Å	∠ CCH and ∠ HCH=tetrahedral						
0-H = 1.0	00 Å							
$C-CH_3 = 1.5$	51 Å							
$C-H(CH_3) = 1.$	10 Å							
	$I_a$	= 93.305 amu Ų						
	$I_b$	=344.076 amu Å <sup>2</sup>						
	$I_{\epsilon}$	=434.128 amu Å <sup>2</sup>						
	I,(OH)	$= 0.8592 \text{ amu Å}^2$						
	I,(CH <sub>3</sub> )	$= 3.1389 \mathrm{amu  \mathring{A}^2}$						
	$V_2(OH)$	= 15.493 kJ mol <sup>-1</sup>						
	$V_3(CH_3)$	=free rotor						
	o	=1 (overall)						
	σ	=2 (OH rotor)						
	σ	=3 (CH <sub>3</sub> rotor)						

Partial vibrational assignments are reported in the literature [26,27,28,38,39]. Green et al. [12] reported complete vibrational assignments except for the CH<sub>3</sub> torsion. Fateley et al. [40] reported 298 cm<sup>-1</sup> for the OH torsional frequency in cyclohexane solution, while Green et al. observed it at 295 cm<sup>-1</sup> in vapor phase. When the lowest three frequencies of o-,

m-, and p-cresols, excluding OH torsion, were compared, the  $\nu_{30}$  (338 cm<sup>-1</sup>) was suspect and we feel it should be 282 cm<sup>-1</sup> which was observed by Biswas [28] in the Raman spectrum. The better agreement between the experimental and calculated entropies with the use of 282 cm<sup>-1</sup> has made us adopt this fundamental. However, this should be studied further. The adopted frequencies are given in table 2.

The potential barrier height for OH rotor was obtained [32] from  $\nu_{\tau}$ =298 cm<sup>-1</sup>. As expected the CH<sub>3</sub> rotor is found to behave as a free rotor from the M.O. calculations.

Andon et al., [20] reported the enthalpy of formation at 298.15 which is recommended by Cox and Pilcher. We have adopted the same value.

Ideal gas thermodynamic properties were calculated using the data from table 2 and 10 and are reported in table 11. The internal rotation contribution was obtained by the procedure mentioned earlier using the symmetry number two for the OH rotor and three for the free CH<sub>3</sub> rotor.

As in the case of phenol and m-cresol, the calculated entropy of p-cresol agrees well with the third law entropy at 400 K but not at 298.15. Even for p-cresol the agreement at 400 K is not a sufficient argument for the proposed vibrational assignments.

TABLE 11. Ideal gas thermodynamic functions for p-cresol

T	C° <sub>p</sub>	S°	-(G°-H°)/T	H°−H <sub>0</sub> °	ΔHf°	ΔGf°	log Kf
K	J K <sup>-1</sup> mol <sup>-1</sup>		kJ mol <sup>-1</sup>				
0.	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	-101.131	-101.131	Infinite
50.00	39.108	232.576	194.862	1.886	-108.434	98.701	103.111
100.00	51.307	262.895	221.819	4.108	—111.603	<b>—87.753</b>	45.837
150.00	68.393	286.868	239.584	7.093	-114.996	75.107	26.154
200.00	86.746	309.032	254.198	10.967	118.567	-61.324	16.016
273.15	115.153	340.237	273.081	18.344	123.695	39.374	7.529
298.15	124.972	350.746	279.153	21.346	-125.353	-31.656	5.546
300.00	125.694	351.521	279.596	21.577	125.473	-31.067	5.409
400.00	162.770	392.871	302.771	36.040	—131.352	-1.250	-0.163
500.00	194.020	432.668	324.802	53.933	-136.020	35.042	-3.661
600.00	219.281	470.358	345.953	74.643	139.648	69.600	6.059
700.00	239.772	505.752	366.280	97.630	142.457	104.740	7.816
800.00	256.675	538.907	385.809	122.478	-144.555	140.127	-9.149
900.00	270.837	-569.980	404.565	148.874	-146.025	175.907	-10.209
1000.00	282.836	599.154	422,580	176.574	-146.863	211.849	11.066
1100.00	293.080	626.604	439.892	205.383	-147.218	247.396	-11.748
1200.00	301.871	652.492	456.541	235.142	-147.280	283.357	-12.334
1300.00	309.447	676.961	472.563	265.716	147.090	319.349	-12.831
1400.00	316.002	700.138	487.998	296.997	-146.676	355.625	-13.268
1500.00	321.693	722.139	502.881	328.888	146.057	391.294	-13.626

# 6. Acknowledgement

This study was supported by the Texas Engineering Experiment Station Lignite Project and the TRC Data Project of the Thermodynamics Research Center.

# 7. References

- [1] Kudchadker, S.A., Hedges, R.M., and Zwolinski, B.J., J. Mol. Struct., to be published.
- [2] The ICSU Committee on Data for Science and Technology, CODATA Bull. No. 11 (December 1973).
- [3] Commission on Atomic Weights, Greenwood, N.N., Chairman, International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry, Pure Appl. Chem. 30, 639 (1972); 37, 4 (1974).
- [4] Evans, W., National Bureau of Standards report 6928, Tables 13-39 (1960); West, E.D. and Ishihara, S., "Advances in Thermophysical Properties at Extreme Temperatures and Pressures," A.S.T.M. (1965); "JANAF Thermochemical Tables," 2nd Edition, NSRDS-NBS-37 (1971).
- [5] Zwolinski, B.J. et al., "Selected Values of Properties of Chemical Compounds," Thermodynamics Research Center Data Project, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas, Tables 1-s, 2-s, 1-u, 2-u (1968).
- [6] Kojima, T., J. Phys. Soc. Jpn., 15, 284 (1960).
- [7] Forest, H., and Dailey, B.P., J. Chem. Phys. 45, 1736 (1966).
- [8] Pedersen, T., Larsen, N.W., and Nygaard, L., J. Mol. Struct. 4, 59 (1969).
- [9] Evans, J.C., Spectrochim. Acta 16, 1382 (1960).
- [10] Green, J.H.S., J. Chem. Soc., 2236 (1961); a) Chem. Ind. (London) 1575 (1962).
- [11] Bist, H.D., Brand, J.C.D., and Williams, D.R., J. Mol. Spectrosc. 24, 402 (1967).
- [12] Green, J.H.S., Harrison, D.J., and Kynaston, W., Spectrochim. Acta 27A, 2199 (1971).
- [13] Larsen, N.W., and Nicolaisen, F.M., J. Mol. Struct. 22, 29 (1974).
- [14] Huong, P.V., Couzi, M., and Lascombe, J., J. Chim. Phys. Phys. Chem. Biol. 64, 1056 (1967).
- [15] Quade, C.R., J. Chem. Phys. 48, 5491 (1968).

- [16] Mathier, E., Welti, D., Bauder, A., and Günthard, Hs. H., J. Mol. Spectrosc. 37, 63 (1971).
- [17] Fateley, W.G., and Carlson, G.L., Pure Appl. Chem. 36, 110 (1973).
- [18] Delorme, P. J. Chem. Phys. Phys. Chim. Biol. 61, 1439 (1964).
- [19] Cox, J.D., and Pilcher, G., "Thermochemistry of Organic and Organometallic Compounds", Academic Press, London and New York (1970).
- [20] Andon, R.J.L., Biddiscombe, D.P., Cox, J.D., Handley, R., Harrop, D., Herington, E.F.G., and Martin, J.F., J. Chem. Soc. 5246 (1960).
- [21] Andon, R.J.L., Counsell, J.F., Herington, E.F.G., and Martin, J.F., Trans. Faraday Soc. 59, 830 (1963).
- [22] Biddiscombe, D.P., and Martin, J.F., Trans. Faraday Soc. 54, 1316 (1958).
- [22a] Nichols, N., and Wädso, I., J. Chem. Thermodyn. 7, 329 (1975).
- [23] Ramaswamy, V., Hydrocarbon Process. 49, 217 (1970).
- [24] Sarin, V.N., Jain, Y.S., and Bist, H.D., Thermochim. Acta 6, 39 (1973).
- [25] Bois, C., Acta Crystallogr. Sect. B., 28, 25 (1972).
- [26] Jha, J., and Chattopadhyay, S., Indian J. Phys. 44, 9 (1970).
- [27] Kohlrausch, K.W.F., and Pongratz, A., Monatsh. Chem. 63, 427 (1938).
- [28] Biswas, D.C., Indian J. Phys. 20, 262 (1955).
- [29] Brigodiot, M., and Lebas, J.M., J. Chim. Phys. Phys. Chim. Biol. 62, 347 (1965).
- [30] Carlson, G.L., and Fateley, W.G., J. Phys. Chem. 77, 1157 (1973).
- [31] Kudchadker, S.A., Wilhoit, R.C., and Zwolinski, B.J., J. Phys. Chem., to be published.
- [32] Fateley, W.G., Miller, F.A., and Witkowski, R.E., Technical Report, Air Force Materials Laboratory, AFML-TR-66-408 January 1967, Wright-Patterson A.F.B., Ohio.
- [33] Andon, R.J.L., Counsell, J.F., Lees, E.B., Martin, J.F., and Mash, C.J., Trans. Faraday Soc. 63, 1115 (1967).
- [34] Bois, C., Acta Crystallogr. Sect B 29, 1011 (1973).
- [35] Garrigou-Lagrange, C., Chehata, M., and Lascombe, J., J. Chim. Phys. Phys. Chim. Biol. 63, 552 (1966).
- [36] Manocha, A.S., Carlson, G.L., and Fateley, W.G., J. Phys. Chem. 77, 2094 (1973).
- [37] Bois, C., Acta Crystallogr. Sect B 26, 2086 (1970).
- [38] Garrigou-Lagrange, C., Lebas, J.M., and Josien, M.L., Spectrochim. Acta 12, 305 (1958).
- [39] Jakobsen, R.J., Spectrochim. Acta 21, 433 (1965).
- [40] Fateley, W.G., Carlson, G.L., and Bentley, F.F., J. Phys. Chem. 79, 199 (1975).