

Rate Constants for Reactions of Radiation-Produced Transients in Aqueous Solutions of Actinides

Cite as: Journal of Physical and Chemical Reference Data **15**, 1357 (1986); <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.555768>
Submitted: 23 December 1985 . Published Online: 15 October 2009

S. Gordon, J. C. Sullivan, and Alberta B. Ross



[View Online](#)



[Export Citation](#)

ARTICLES YOU MAY BE INTERESTED IN

[Critical Review of rate constants for reactions of hydrated electrons, hydrogen atoms and hydroxyl radicals \(\$\cdot\text{OH}/\cdot\text{O}^-\$ \) in Aqueous Solution](#)

Journal of Physical and Chemical Reference Data **17**, 513 (1988); <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.555805>

[Rate Constants for Reactions of Inorganic Radicals in Aqueous Solution](#)

Journal of Physical and Chemical Reference Data **17**, 1027 (1988); <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.555808>

[Reactivity of \$\text{HO}_2/\text{O}_2^-\$ Radicals in Aqueous Solution](#)

Journal of Physical and Chemical Reference Data **14**, 1041 (1985); <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.555739>

Where in the world is AIP Publishing?
Find out where we are exhibiting next



Rate Constants for Reactions of Radiation-Produced Transients in Aqueous Solutions of Actinides

S. Gordon and J. C. Sullivan

Chemistry Department, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, IL 60439

and

Alberta B. Ross

Radiation Chemistry Data Center, Radiation Laboratory, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN 46556

Received December 23, 1985; revised manuscript received April 17, 1986

Rate constants have been critically compiled for reactions of ions of the actinides Am, Cf, Cm, Np, Pu, Th, and U, as well as the element Tc, in different oxidation states with various chemical species in aqueous solution. The reactants include products of the radiolysis of water (hydrated electrons, hydrogen atoms, hydroxyl radicals, hydrogen peroxide) and transient species derived from other solutes (e.g., carbonate radical). The data are useful in the estimation of migration properties of actinides, which are relevant to waste management studies.

Key words: actinides; aqueous solution; critical data compilation; radiation chemistry; rate constants.

1. Introduction

Knowledge of the rate constants for reactions of transients produced in aqueous solutions containing actinides is essential for prediction of the oxidation states of the actinides in systems containing water. Such data are useful in estimating the migration properties of actinides, properties which are needed in studies related to nuclear waste management. It has only been with the advent of the powerful tools associated with pulse radiolysis that the scientific community has been able to describe the redox reactions involving various unstable oxidation states of the actinides. Pulse radiolyses of actinides in aqueous solutions are necessary extensions of the early investigations that were concerned with the changes of oxidation state of Pu ions in solution due to self-irradiation. The results of the self-irradiation studies provide data of limited scientific importance.

This is an attempt to provide a critical compilation of the kinetic data which have been reported in the literature for reactions of actinide ions with the fundamental species produced in aqueous solution by radiolysis, e_{aq}^- , $\cdot H$, $\cdot OH$, and H_2O_2 . In addition to reactions with primary products of water radiolysis, the compilation includes reactions of secondary radicals produced by the reactions of the primary radicals with another solute, e.g.

$(SCN)_2\cdot^-$, $CO_3\cdot^-$, as well as some of the reactions of unstable actinide valencies, e.g. Am(IV). For data on reactions of actinides in stable valency states the critical review by Newton¹ on redox reactions of actinides should be consulted. A recent book by Pikaev² contains a detailed survey of the radiolysis of the actinides.

In the present evaluation the following factors were considered: methods, effects of ionic strength, concentration, and hydrolysis of the actinide ions. The factors which should be considered by the user of this compilation are specifically noted in the comments in the Table. We have presented essentially all of the data available to us but have also noted apparent inconsistencies and suggested limitations on the accuracy of the given results.

2. Evaluation of the Data

2.1. Methods and Errors

Results of direct observation of decay kinetics (d.k.) or product buildup kinetics (p.b.k.) by optical absorption pulse radiolysis³ (p.r.) techniques are more reliable than those obtained by competition kinetics (c.k.). The latter may be biased by secondary reactions such as complex formation. In a few cases flash photolysis (f.p.) with optical detection has been used to study the kinetics of the transients. Flow techniques (with esr or optical detection) have also been used for reactions which occur on a longer time scale ($k < 10^6 \text{ L mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$).

Error estimates given by the authors have been in-

©1986 by the U.S. Secretary of Commerce on behalf of the United States. This copyright is assigned to the American Institute of Physics and the American Chemical Society.

Reprints available from ACS; see Reprint List at back of issue.

cluded. It is noted that when the errors in the rate constants are determined by least squares procedures they are based on the internal consistencies in the data. It is not feasible to present estimates of absolute errors. Since these solutions are highly radioactive, there is some auto-radiolysis changing the composition of the solution during the experiment. Therefore the actual error limits in the rate constants are higher than those quoted.

2.2. Hydrolysis

We have preferred to use the IUPAC notation (with Roman numerals representing the oxidation state) for the actinide ion when there is possible ambiguity in the composition of the reactant due to hydrolytic pre-equilibria or where the structure is unknown. In cases where the structure of the actinide complex ion is known it is listed, but associated water molecules are omitted.

2.3. Ionic Strength

We prefer to list the observed rate constant at the given ionic composition of the solution. The values calculated for extrapolation to infinite dilution (and the formalism used) are noted in the comments; if an extrapolated value is listed the observed values are given in the comments whenever possible.

2.4. Concentration

We also note in the comments the concentration range over which the study has been conducted. When the method involves decay kinetics based on the optical absorption of transient species, the most reliable values are obtained by following pseudo first order changes over a series of concentrations of reactant. The radioactive decay of the actinides should also be considered. The following data⁴ are presented for background information to assist the reader in evaluating self-irradiation effects.

Element	Isotope	Major decay mode	Half-life (yr)
Am	243	α	7.4×10^3
Cf	249	α	352
Cm	248	α	3.4×10^5
Np	237	α	2.1×10^6
Pu	242	α	3.8×10^5
Tc	99	β^-	2.1×10^3
Th	232	α	1.4×10^{10}
U	238	α	4.5×10^9

3. Arrangement of the Table

The actinide ions are arranged in alphabetic order with separate entries for the various actinide species, in order of increasing oxidation number. The reactions are given in the first column; products are not shown if they have not been identified. The pH and the experimentally determined rate constants are given in the second and third columns. Ionic strength is listed if it was reported, or if sufficient details concerning the system were available for it to be calculated. The method, and other information relevant to evaluation of the data are included under Comments. References to the table are indicated by the serial number from the Radiation Chemistry Data Center Bibliographic Data Base; the first two characters of the reference number specify the year of publication.

4. Acknowledgments

We wish to acknowledge the suggestion of Dr. Hilbert Christensen that this work be undertaken and to thank Dr. W. Phillip Helman for assistance in producing the manuscript in form suitable for computerized typesetting.

This review was carried out at Argonne National Laboratory under contract with the U.S. Department of Energy, and supported by its Office of Basic Energy Sciences, and at the Radiation Laboratory at the University of Notre Dame, which is operated under Contract DE-AC0276ER0038 with the Department of Energy. The Radiation Chemistry Data Center is supported jointly by the National Bureau of Standards, Office of Standard Reference Data and by the Office of Basic Energy Sciences of the Department of Energy. This is Radiation Laboratory Document No. NDRL-2801.

5. References to Text

- 1 T.W. Newton, *The Kinetics of the Oxidation-Reduction Reactions of Uranium, Neptunium, Plutonium and Americium in Aqueous Solutions*, (TID-26506, ERDA Critical Review Series, U.S. Nat. Tech. Information Service, Springfield, VA, 1975).
- 2 A.K. Pikaev, V.P. Shilov, and V.I. Spitsyn, *Radiolysis of Aqueous Solutions of Lanthanides and Actinides*, (Nauka, Moscow, 1983)
- 3 M.S. Matheson and L.M. Dorfman, *Pulse Radiolysis*, (M.I.T. Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 1969).
- 4 G. Friedlander, J.W. Kennedy, and J.M. Miller, *Nuclear and Radiochemistry*, 2nd ed. (Wiley, New York, 1964).

Table of rate constants

Reaction	pH	$k(\text{L mol}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1})$	I	Comment	Ref.
Americium(II) ion					
$\text{Am(II)} \xrightarrow{\text{H}_2\text{O}} \text{Am(III)}$	5.2	$(9.7 \pm 0.3) \times 10^4 \text{ s}^{-1}$		p.r., D.k. at 312 nm in He-satd. soln. contg. 0.1 mol L ⁻¹ <i>tert</i> -BuOH and 2.6×10^{-2} mol L ⁻¹ ²⁴³ Am(III) perchlorate; Am(II) from ϵ_{eq} + Am(III).	78A044
Americium(III) ion					
$\epsilon_{\text{eq}} + \text{Am}^{3+} \rightarrow \text{Am(II)}$	6	3×10^8		p.r.; P.b.k.; soln. contains 3×10^{-3} mol L ⁻¹ Am ³⁺ and 0.1 mol L ⁻¹ <i>tert</i> -BuOH.	761232
	5.8	$(1.6 \pm 0.04) \times 10^8$	0.11	p.r.; D.k. at 650 nm in He-satd. soln contg. $(0.079-1.24) \times 10^{-3}$ mol L ⁻¹ Am ³⁺ , 0.1 mol L ⁻¹ <i>tert</i> -BuOH and 0.11 mol L ⁻¹ LiClO ₄ . The error is based on a least squares plot and reflects only the internal consistency of the data.	78A044
$\cdot\text{OH} + \text{Am}^{3+} \rightarrow \text{OH}^- + \text{Am(IV)}$	5.1	$(4.1 \pm 0.4) \times 10^8$		p.r.; P.b.k. at 320 nm in N ₂ O-satd. soln. contg. 0.11 mol L ⁻¹ LiClO ₄ and $(0.733-8.22) \times 10^{-3}$ mol L ⁻¹ Am ³⁺ .	78A044
	4	3.0×10^8		p.r.; P.b.k. in N ₂ O-satd. soln. contg. 5 or 6.7×10^{-5} mol L ⁻¹ Am ³⁺ ; at pH 2, 1, 0, $k = 3.4, 1.1$ and 1.6×10^8 , resp.	771130 77A243
Americium(IV) ion					
$\text{Am(IV)} + \text{Am(IV)} \rightarrow \text{Am}^{3+} + \text{AmO}_2^+$	5.1	$(5 \pm 1) \times 10^6$	0.11	p.r.; Calcd. from d.k. of abs. at 320 nm (12 determinations) and assumed concn. Am ⁴⁺ = OH; $\epsilon = 750 \pm 75$ L mol ⁻¹ cm ⁻¹ ; N ₂ O-satd. soln. contg. LiClO ₄ and $(1.03-8.22) \times 10^{-3}$ mol L ⁻¹ Am ³⁺ ; rate constant is $2k$.	78A044
	2.0-4.3	5.4×10^6 (ave.)		p.r.; D.k. at 340 nm in N ₂ O-satd. soln. contg. $(2.0-8.7) \times 10^{-3}$ mol L ⁻¹ Am(ClO ₄) ₃ ; rate constant is $2k$; $\epsilon(340-360 \text{ nm}) = 700-1200$ L mol ⁻¹ cm ⁻¹ at pH 0-4.3.	771130 77A243
$\text{HO}_2^{\cdot} + \text{Am(IV)} \rightarrow \text{H}^+ + \text{Am}^{3+} + \text{O}_2$	1	6.4×10^7		p.r.; D.k. in air-satd. soln.; k varies with pH due to different degrees of hydrolysis of Am(IV); calcd. from measurement at one concn. at each pH.	771130 77A243
	2	5.2×10^7			
	3.2	5.0×10^7			
	4.4	2.7×10^7			
$\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{Am(IV)} \rightarrow \text{H}^+ + \text{Am}^{3+} + \text{HO}_2^{\cdot}$	~4	1.4×10^6		p.r.; D.k. in soln. contg. 6×10^{-3} mol L ⁻¹ Am(III) (satd. with nitrous oxide) and 1.27×10^{-3} mol L ⁻¹ H ₂ O ₂ (10 determinations).	771130 77A243
Americium(V) ion					
$\epsilon_{\text{eq}} + \text{AmO}_2^{\cdot+} \rightarrow \text{Am(V)}$	5.2	$(2.8 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{10}$	0.11	p.r.; D.k. at 650 nm in He-satd. soln. contg. 0.1 mol L ⁻¹ <i>tert</i> -BuOH, $(1.24-28.0) \times 10^{-5}$ mol L ⁻¹ Am(V) and 0.11 mol L ⁻¹ LiClO ₄ . The error is based on a least squares plot and reflects only the internal consistency of the data.	78A044
	6.0	$(3.2 \pm 0.01) \times 10^{10}$			
Americium(VI) ion					
$\epsilon_{\text{eq}} + \text{AmO}_2^{2+} \rightarrow \text{AmO}_2^{3+}$	5.3	$(3.1 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{10}$	0.11	p.r.; D.k. at 650 nm in He-satd. soln. contg. 0.1 mol L ⁻¹ <i>tert</i> -BuOH, $(1.04-12.0) \times 10^{-6}$ mol L ⁻¹ Am(VI) and 0.11 mol L ⁻¹ LiClO ₄ .	78A044
	6.0	$(3.9 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{10}$			
$\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{AmO}_2^{2+} \rightarrow \text{AmO}_2^{3+} + \text{HO}_2^{\cdot} + \text{H}^+$	~0	$(3.8 \pm 0.5) \times 10^4$	1.0	stopped-flow; D.k. at 350 nm over a range of concentrations.	74M401
Californium(II) ion					
$\text{Cf(II)} \xrightarrow{\text{H}_2\text{O}} \text{Cf}^{3+}$	5.3	$(7 \pm 1) \times 10^4 \text{ s}^{-1}$		p.r., D.k. ($\epsilon \approx 500$ L mol ⁻¹ cm ⁻¹ at 270 nm) in He-satd. soln. contg. 5×10^{-4} mol L ⁻¹ Cf(ClO ₄) ₃ and 0.1 mol L ⁻¹ <i>tert</i> -BuOH.	83A171
Californium(III) ion					
$\epsilon_{\text{eq}} + \text{Cf}^{3+} \rightarrow \text{Cf(II)}$	5.3	$> 3 \times 10^9$		p.r.; D.k. in soln. contg. 5×10^{-4} mol L ⁻¹ ²⁴⁹ Cf(III) and 0.1 mol L ⁻¹ <i>tert</i> -BuOH; counter ion ClO ₄ ⁻ .	83A171

Table of rate constants -- Continued

Reaction	pH	$k(\text{L mol}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1})$	I	Comment	Ref.
Curium(II) ion					
$\text{Cm(II)} \xrightarrow{\text{H}_2\text{O}} \text{Cm(III)}$	5.3–6.0	$\sim 6 \times 10^4 \text{ s}^{-1}$		p.r.; D.k. at 240 nm in He-satd. soln. contg. $\sim 10^{-3} \text{ mol L}^{-1} {}^{24}\text{Cm(III)}$ perchlorate and 0.1 mol L^{-1} <i>tert</i> -BuOH; Cm(II) from e_{eq} + Cm(III).	761118
Curium(IV) ion					
$\text{Cm(IV)} \xrightarrow{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$	5.3–6.0	$\sim 3 \times 10^4 \text{ s}^{-1}$		p.r.; D.k. at 260 nm in N_2O -satd. soln. contg. $\sim 10^{-3} \text{ mol L}^{-1} {}^{24}\text{Cm(III)}$ perchlorate; Cm(IV) from $\cdot\text{OH} + \text{Cm(III)}$.	761118
Neptunium(IV)					
$\cdot\text{OH} + \text{Np(IV)} \rightarrow \text{OH}^- + \text{NpO}_2^+$	~0	$(3.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^8$		p.r.; C.k.; 1.0 mol L^{-1} HClO_4 , $(1.5) \times 10^{-2} \text{ mol L}^{-1}$ Np(IV) and $5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol L}^{-1}$ KCNS ; complicated due to NpCNS^{+} complex; rel. to $k(\text{OH} + \text{SCN}^-) = 1.1 \times 10^{10}$.	82A376 85A236
$\text{NO}_3^- + \text{Np(IV)} \rightarrow \text{NO}_3^- + \text{NpO}_2^+$	~0	$(5.4 \pm 3.0) \times 10^5$		γ -r.; Calcd. from assumed mechanism and obs. $G(\text{NO}_3^-)$ and $G(-\text{Np}^{IV})$ and $G(\text{H}_2)$ in 1–6 mol L^{-1} HNO_3 soln.	81G249
Pentacarbonatoneptunate(IV) ion					
$e_{\text{eq}} + \text{Np(CO}_3)_5^- \rightarrow$		5×10^9	0.3	p.r.; D.k. in Ar-satd. soln. contg. 0.1 mol L^{-1} HClO_4 , 0.025 mol L^{-1} H_2SO_4 , and 0.1–4 mol L^{-1} K_2CO_3 . Calcd. $k = 1.3 \times 10^8$ for $I \rightarrow 0$ [751177], uncertain.	741170
Bis(carbonato)dioxoneptunate(V) ion					
$e_{\text{eq}} + \text{NpO}_2(\text{CO}_3)_2^{\frac{1}{2}-} \rightarrow \text{Np}(\text{CO}_3)_2^{\frac{1}{2}-}$		2.5×10^9	0.4	p.r.; D.k. at 800 nm in soln. contg. 0.1–5 mol L^{-1} K_2CO_3 and $2 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol L}^{-1}$ U(V). Calcd. $k = 4.5 \times 10^8$ for $I = 0$ [751177], uncertain.	741170
Tris(carbonato)dioxoneptunate(V) ion					
$\text{CO}_3^{2-} + \text{NpO}_2(\text{CO}_3)_3^{\frac{1}{2}-} \rightarrow \text{CO}_3^{2-} + \text{NpO}_2(\text{CO}_3)_3^{\frac{1}{2}-}$		1.5×10^7		p.r.; D.k. at 600 nm in 0.05 mol L^{-1} sodium carbonate; Np(V) produced by hydrated electron reaction with Np(VI), CO_3^{2-} from $\cdot\text{OH} + \text{CO}_3^{2-}$.	84A155
Neptunium(V) ion					
$e_{\text{eq}} + \text{Np(V)} \rightarrow \text{Np(IV)}$	~14	$(5.0 \pm 0.6) \times 10^9$		p.r.; D.k. at 650 and 700 nm in soln. contg. 1.0 mol L^{-1} OH^- and $(4.4-7.5) \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol L}^{-1}$ Np(V); $k = 6.1, 6.6$, and 3.2×10^9 at 2.0, 5.0, and 7.5 mol L^{-1} OH^- , resp.	731123
$e_{\text{eq}} + \text{NpO}_2^+ \rightarrow \text{NpO}_2$	3	2.45×10^{10}	$\rightarrow 0$	p.r.; D.k. in soln. contg. 0.01 mol L^{-1} EtOH and $(0.2-1.0) \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol L}^{-1}$ NpO_2ClO_4 ; assuming $k(e_{\text{eq}} + \text{H}^+) = 2.3 \times 10^{10}$; standard deviation 2%; for k_{obs} see graph. $pK(\text{NpO}_2^+) = 4.5, 8.75$.	80A130
	5.3	$(2.0 \pm 0.04) \times 10^{10}$	10 ⁻³	p.r.; D.k. $(0.21-2.1) \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol L}^{-1}$ U(V), counter ion ClO_4^- ; at pH 5.8 and 6.1 $k = (5.43 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{10}$ and $(2.13 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{10}$, resp. The error is based on a least squares plot and reflects only the internal consistency of the data.	761084
$\text{H}^+ + \text{NpO}_2^+ \rightarrow$	~0	$< 5 \times 10^6$		p.r.; C.k. in soln. contg. 1 mol L^{-1} HClO_4 , $5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol L}^{-1}$ BzOH, 0–10 ⁻² mol L^{-1} Np(V) and 0.2 mol L^{-1} <i>tert</i> -BuOH; rel. to $k(\text{H} + \text{BzOH} \rightarrow \text{H-BzOH}) = 1 \times 10^9$. Uncertain; complication in competition kinetics due to Np complex formn. with H-BzOH.	82A420 85A236
$\cdot\text{OH} + \text{NpO}_2^+ \rightarrow \text{OH}^- + \text{NpO}_2^{2+}$	< 4	$(4.3 \pm 0.1) \times 10^7$		p.r.; D.k. (condy.) in N_2O -satd. soln. contg. $5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol L}^{-1}$ NpO_2ClO_4 .	83A071

Table of rate constants — Continued

Reaction	pH	$k(\text{L mol}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1})$	I	Comment	Ref.
	~0	$(4.3 \pm 0.5) \times 10^8$		p.r.; C.k.; 1.0 mol L ⁻¹ HClO ₄ , (1-5) $\times 10^{-2}$ mol L ⁻¹ Np(V) and 5 $\times 10^{-3}$ mol L ⁻¹ KCNS; at pH ~1 and 2.52-2.96 $k = (4.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^8$ and $(5.7 \pm 0.6) \times 10^8$, resp.; rel. to $k(\text{OH} + \text{SCN}^-) = 1.1 \times 10^{10}$. Uncertainty in competition kinetics due to SCN ⁻ complex formation with Np.	82A376 85A236
$\text{NO}_3^- + \text{NpO}_2^+ \rightarrow \text{NpO}_2^{2+} + \text{NO}_3^-$	~0	10^4		γ -r.; Calcd. from assumed mechanism and G values in 0.5-3 mol L ⁻¹ HNO ₃ in Pu(VI)-Np(VI) soln.	82G267
Neptunium(VI) ion					
$e_{\text{aq}}^- + \text{NpO}_2^{2+} \rightarrow \text{NpO}_2^+$	2.5	1.0×10^{11}	$\rightarrow 0$	p.r.; Extrapolated from d.k. (condy.) in soln. contg. 1, 2 and 4×10^{-3} mol L ⁻¹ solute and 0.05 mol L ⁻¹ <i>tert</i> -BuOH; counter ion ClO ₄ ⁻ ; $k_{\text{obs}} = 6.8 \times 10^{10}$.	83A071
$e_{\text{aq}}^- + \text{NpO}_2\text{OH}^+ \rightarrow \text{NpO}_2\text{OH}$		$(2.9 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{10}$	$\rightarrow 0$	p.r.; Extrapolated from k detd. in soln. at pH 2.5 (condy.) and at $k_{\text{obs}} = 6.32 \times 10^{10}$ (0.2-2) $\times 10^{-4}$ mol L ⁻¹ Np(VI) at 5.3 and $k = 5.43 \times 10^{10}$ (0.2-3) $\times 10^{-4}$ mol L ⁻¹ Np(VI) at 5.8 [761084]; pK = 5.45.	83A071
$e_{\text{aq}}^- + \text{Np(VI)} \rightarrow \text{Np(V)}$	~13	$(2.3 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{10}$		p.r.; D.k. at 650 and 700 nm in soln. contg. (1.5-5.3) $\times 10^{-5}$ mol L ⁻¹ Np(VI) and 0.5 mol L ⁻¹ OH ⁻ ; $k = 1.3, 1.3, 2.0, 1.1, 0.83, 0.68 \times 10^{10}$ at 1.0, 2.0, 5.0, 7.5, 10.0, and 12.5 mol L ⁻¹ OH ⁻ , resp.	731123 76G651
$\text{H}^+ + \text{NpO}_2^{2+} \rightarrow \text{H}^+ + \text{NpO}_2^+$	~0	$(4.0 \pm 0.5) \times 10^8$		p.r.; C.k. in soln. contg. 1 mol L ⁻¹ HClO ₄ , 5 $\times 10^{-4}$ mol L ⁻¹ BzOH, 0-7.5 $\times 10^{-4}$ mol L ⁻¹ Np(VI) and 0.2 mol L ⁻¹ <i>tert</i> -BuOH; rel. to $k(\text{H} + \text{BzOH} \rightarrow \text{H-BzOH}) = 1 \times 10^9$. Uncertain; complication in competition kinetics due to Np complex formn. with H-BzOH.	82A420 85A236
	1-3	$<10^7$		p.r.; P.b.k. at 980 nm (as well as condy.) in He-satd. soln. contg. HClO ₄ ; no reaction. Preferred value.	83A071
$\text{O}_2^- + \text{Np(VI)} \rightarrow \text{OH}^- + \text{Np(VII)}$	>12	8.0×10^7		p.r.; P.b.k. (Np ^{VII}) at 412 and 620 nm in N ₂ O-satd. soln. contg. 0.033 mol L ⁻¹ LiOH and 4 $\times 10^{-3}$ mol L ⁻¹ Np(VI); higher concns. LiOH (to 2 mol L ⁻¹) and Np(VI) ($4-10 \times 10^{-3}$ mol L ⁻¹) also studied; $k = (6.1 \pm 1.2) \times 10^7$ in NaOH soln. (1.7-0.5 mol L ⁻¹); in acid soln. Np(VII) is not formed.	78A463
$\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{NpO}_2^{2+} \rightarrow \text{NpO}_2^+ + \text{H}^+ + \text{O}_2$	5.0	$(5.9 \pm 0.05) \times 10^5$		p.r.; P.b.k. at 980 nm in soln. contg. 1.0×10^{-3} mol L ⁻¹ Np(VI) ($\sim 2 \times 10^{-5}$ mol L ⁻¹ H ₂ O ₂ produced by p.r.); stoichiometry is $2\text{NpO}_2^{2+}/\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$. The error is based on a least squares plot and reflects only the internal consistency of the data.	761084
Tris(carbonato)dioxoneptunate(VI) ion					
$e_{\text{aq}}^- + \text{NpO}_2(\text{CO}_3)_{2-}^{\frac{1}{2}-} \rightarrow \text{NpO}_2(\text{CO}_3)_{\frac{5}{2}-}^{\frac{1}{2}-}$		1.9×10^{10}	0.3	p.r.; D.k. in soln. contg. 0.05 mol L ⁻¹ Na ₂ CO ₃ and 0.05 mol L ⁻¹ NaHCO ₃ to 5 mol L ⁻¹ CO ₃ ²⁻ and $(2.7-5.4) \times 10^{-5}$ mol L ⁻¹ Np(VI). Calcd. $k = 2.1 \times 10^9$ for $I = 0$ [751177], uncertain.	741170
		$(2.3 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{10}$		p.r.; D.k. at 600 nm in 0.05 mol L ⁻¹ sodium carbonate soln. contg. (0.2-8.0) $\times 10^{-4}$ mol L ⁻¹ Np(VI). The error is based on a least squares plot and reflects only the internal consistency of the data.	84A155

Table of rate constants — Continued

Reaction	pH	$k(L \text{ mol}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1})$	I	Comment	Ref.
Neptunium(VII) ion					
$e_{\text{aq}}^- + \text{Np(VII)} \rightarrow$	~ 13	$(2.9 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{10}$		p.r.; D.k. at 650 and 700 nm in soln. contg. $(2-8) \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ Np(VII)}$ and $0.1 \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ OH}^-$; $k = 3.2, 2.0, 2.1, 1.7, 1.3, 0.88$, and 0.6×10^{10} at $0.5, 1.0, 2.0, 5.0, 7.5, 10.0, 12.5 \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ OH}^-$, resp.	731123
$\text{Np(VII)} + \text{Np(V)} \rightarrow 2 \text{ Np(VI)}$	> 12	$(2.3 \pm 0.9) \times 10^7$		p.r., Calcd. from assumed mechanism and second order d.k. (620 and 412 nm) of Np(VII) in N_2O -satd. soln. contg. Np(VI) and $0.033-2.0 \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ LiOH}$.	78A463
Plutonium(III) ion					
$\cdot\text{OH} + \text{Pu}^{3+} \rightarrow \text{Pu(IV)}$	0	$(4.2 \pm 0.5) \times 10^8$		p.r.; C.k.; soln. contains $1.0 \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ HClO}_4$ and $(1-5) \times 10^{-2} \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ Pu(III)}$ and $5 \times 10^{-2} \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ KCNS}$; rel. to $k(\cdot\text{OH} + \text{SCN}^-) = 1.1 \times 10^{10}$.	85A236
$\text{NO}_3^- + \text{Pu}^{3+} \rightarrow \text{Pu(IV)} + \text{NO}_3^-$	~ 0	10^7		γ -r.; Calcd. from assumed mechanism and G values in $0.5-3 \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ HNO}_3$ in Pu(VI)-Np(VI) soln.	82G267
Plutonium(IV) ion					
$\text{NO}_3^- + \text{Pu(IV)} \rightarrow \text{PuO}_2^{2+} + \text{NO}_3^-$	~ 0	10^4		γ -r.; Calcd. from assumed mechanism and G values in $0.5-3 \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ HNO}_3$ in Pu(VI)-Np(VI) soln.	82G267
Plutonium (V) ion					
$\text{NO}_3^- + \text{PuO}_2^{2+} \rightarrow \text{PuO}_2^{2+} + \text{NO}_3^-$	~ 0	10^7		γ -r.; Calcd. from assumed mechanism and G values in $0.5-3 \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ HNO}_3$ in Pu(VI)-Np(VI) soln.	82G267
Tris(carbonato)dioxoplatonate(V) ion					
$\text{CO}_3^{2-} + \text{PuO}_2(\text{CO}_3)_3^{2-} \rightarrow \text{CO}_3^{2-} + \text{PuO}_2(\text{CO}_3)_3^{2-}$		2.7×10^7		p.r.; D.k. at 600 nm in 0.05 mol L^{-1} sodium carbonate soln.; Pu(V) produced by hydrated electron reaction with Pu(VI); CO_3^{2-} from $\cdot\text{OH} + \text{CO}_3^{2-}$.	84A155
Plutonium(VI) ion					
$e_{\text{aq}}^- + \text{PuO}_2^{2+} \rightarrow \text{PuO}_2^{2+}$	5.6	$(6.4 \pm 0.67) \times 10^{10}$	10^{-3}	p.r.; D.k.; $(0.12-1.0) \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ Pu(VI)}$, counter ion ClO_4^- ; at pH 6.1 $k = (5.76 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{10}$; $pK = 6.3 \pm 0.1$ [83A071]; $k = 1.4 \times 10^9$ on SiO_2 colloid [83Z122].	761084
$e_{\text{aq}}^- + \text{Pu(VI)} \rightarrow$	~ 14	$(1.9 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{10}$		p.r.; D.k. at 650 and 700 nm soln. contg. $(2.6-5.2) \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ Pu(VI)}$ in $2 \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ OH}^-$; $k = 2.0$ and 0.66×10^{10} at 5.0 and $7.5 \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ OH}^-$.	731123
Tris(carbonato)dioxoplatonate(VI) ion					
$e_{\text{aq}}^- + \text{PuO}_2(\text{CO}_3)_3^{2-} \rightarrow \text{PuO}_2(\text{CO}_3)_3^{2-}$		2.3×10^{10}	0.3	p.r.; D.k.; soln. cont. $0.1-5 \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ CO}_3^{2-}$ and $(3.85-4.85) \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ Pu(VI)}$. Calcd. $k = 2.2 \times 10^9$ for $I \rightarrow 0$ [751177], uncertain.	741170
		$(2.3 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{10}$	0.2	p.r.; D.k. at 600 nm in 0.05 mol L^{-1} sodium carbonate soln. contg. $(0.2-8.0) \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ Pu(VI)}$. The error is based on a least squares plot and reflects only the internal consistency of the data.	84A155
$\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{PuO}_2(\text{CO}_3)_3^{2-} \rightarrow$	8.3	$(6.9 \pm 0.8) \times 10^3$		stopped-flow; D.k. at 360 nm in soln. contg. $0.05 \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ NaHCO}_3$, $3 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ H}_2\text{O}_2$ and $6 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ Pu(VI)}$; doubling of H_2O_2 concn. gave $k = (7.2 \pm 0.7) \times 10^3$; peroxy complex forms and decays to Pu(V).	80A448

Table of rate constants — Continued

Reaction	pH	$k(L \text{ mol}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1})$	I	Comment	Ref.
Plutonium(VII) ion $\epsilon_{\text{eq}}^- + \text{Pu(VII)} \rightarrow \text{Pu(VI)}$	14	$(3.5 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{10}$		p.r.; D.k. at 650 and 700 nm in soln. contg. $(2-4) \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol L}^{-1}$ Pu(VII) and 1.0 mol OH^- ; $k = 4.2, 2.3, 2.0 \times 10^{10}$ at 2.0, 5.0 and $7.5 \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ OH}^-$.	731123
Technetate(VI) ion $\cdot\text{OH} + \text{TcO}_4^{2-} \rightarrow \text{OH}^- + \text{TcO}_4^-$		$(2 \pm 1) \times 10^9$		p.r.; D.k. at 360 nm in soln. contg. $5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol L}^{-1}$ KTcO_4 ; Tc(VI) from $\epsilon_{\text{eq}}^- + \text{TcO}_4^-$. Slower d.k. (1.5×10^9) attributed to $\text{Tc(VI)} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{Tc(VII)}$ and $2 \text{ Tc(VI)} \rightarrow \text{Tc(VII)} + \text{Tc(V)}$	77A245
Technetate(VII) ion $\epsilon_{\text{eq}}^- + \text{TcO}_4^- \rightarrow \text{TcO}_4^{2-}$	6.0-6.5	1.9×10^{10}		p.r.; D.k. at 580 nm in Ar-satd. soln. contg. tert-BuOH and $\text{K}^{99}\text{TcO}_4$.	81A173
	~7	$(1.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{10}$		p.r.; D.k. at 700 nm as well as p.b.k. at 360 nm in soln. contg. $5 \times 10^{-2} \text{ mol L}^{-1}$ tert-BuOH and $(1-6) \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ }^{99}\text{TcO}_4^-$.	77A245
	13	$(2.5 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{10}$		p.r.; D.k. in soln. contg. 0.10 mol L^{-1} NaOH and $(1-6) \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol L}^{-1} \text{ }^{99}\text{TcO}_4^-$.	78A390
Thorium(IV)-hydroperoxy complex $\text{HO}_2/\text{O}_2^- + \text{Th(IV)}-\text{HO}_2 \rightarrow \text{Th}^{4+} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{O}_2$	1	$(8.0 \pm 2.0) \times 10^5$		Flow-esr method; radical from Ce(IV)- H_2O_2 ; $2 k(\text{Th(IV)}-\text{HO}_2 \rightarrow \text{Th(IV)} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{O}_2) = (5 \pm 2) \times 10^2$.	739071
Thorium(IV) ion $\epsilon_{\text{eq}}^- + \text{ThSO}_4^{2+} \rightarrow \text{ThSO}_4^+$	3	$(1.0 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{10}$	$\rightarrow 0$	p.r.; D.k. at 650 nm in soln. contg. $(0.1-1.5) \times 10^{-2} \text{ mol L}^{-1}$ $\text{Th}(\text{SO}_4)_2$ and tert-BuOH ; soln. contains Th^{4+} , ThSO_4^+ and $\text{Th}(\text{SO}_4)_2$; k_{obs} (10^8-10^{10}) shown on graph	83A127
$\epsilon_{\text{eq}}^- + \text{Th}^{4+} \rightarrow$	3	$(1.9 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{10}$	$\rightarrow 0$	p.r.; D.k. at 650 nm in Ar-satd. soln. contg. $(0.1-1.5) \times 10^{-2} \text{ mol L}^{-1}$ $\text{Th}(\text{ClO}_4)_4$; rel. to $k(\epsilon_{\text{eq}}^- + \text{H}^+) = 2.3 \times 10^{10}$; k_{obs} shown on graph.	82A416
$\text{HO}_2/\text{O}_2^- + \text{Th}^{4+} \rightarrow \text{Th(IV)} - \text{HO}_2$	1	$(1.8 \pm 0.2) \times 10^6$		p.r.; P.b.k.; at 270 nm in soln. contg. $10^{-3} \text{ mol L}^{-1}$ $\text{Th}(\text{SO}_4)_2$, 0.1 mol L^{-1} HClO_4 and $5 \times 10^{-2} \text{ mol L}^{-1}$ H_2O_2 . $K_{\text{eq}} = (4 \pm 1) \times 10^4 \text{ L mol}^{-1}$.	741107
	~1	$> 5 \times 10^6$		Flow-esr method; radical from Ce(IV)- H_2O_2 ; $K_{\text{eq}} = (1.7 + 0.4) \times 10^5 \text{ L mol}^{-1}$.	739071
Uranium(III) ion $\cdot\text{OH} + \text{U}^{3+} \rightarrow \text{UOH}^{3+}$	~1	$(4.1 \pm 0.6) \times 10^8$		p.r.; D.k. at 350 nm in He-satd. soln. contg. 0.5 mol L^{-1} HClO_4 contg. $(0.3-2.4) \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol L}^{-1}$ U^{3+} ; inner-sphere mechanism.	85A122
$\text{Cl}_2^- + \text{U}^{3+} \rightarrow \text{UCl}^{3+} + \text{Cl}^-$	~1	$(4.2 \pm 0.6) \times 10^9$		p.r.; D.k. in He-satd. soln. contg. 0.5 mol L^{-1} HClO_4 contg. 0.1 mol L^{-1} NaCl ; inner-sphere mechanism; radical from $\cdot\text{OH} + \text{Cl}^-$.	85A122
$\text{Br}_2^- + \text{U}^{3+} \rightarrow \text{UBr}^{3+} + \text{Br}^-$	~1	$(3.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^9$		p.r.; D.k. in He-satd. soln. contg. 0.5 mol L^{-1} HClO_4 contg. 0.1 mol L^{-1} NaBr ; inner-sphere mechanism; radical from $\cdot\text{OH} + \text{Br}^-$.	85A122
$\text{I}_2^- + \text{U}^{3+} \rightarrow \text{UI}^{3+} + \text{I}^-$	~1	$(1.2 \pm 0.2) \times 10^9$		p.r.; D.k. in He-satd. soln. contg. 0.5 mol L^{-1} HClO_4 contg. 0.1 mol L^{-1} NaI ; inner-sphere mechanism; radical from $\cdot\text{OH} + \text{I}^-$.	85A122
$(\text{SCN})_2^- + \text{U}^{3+} \rightarrow \text{USCN}^{3+} + \text{SCN}^-$	~1	$(1.4 \pm 0.2) \times 10^9$		p.r.; D.k. in He-satd. soln. contg. 0.5 mol L^{-1} HClO_4 contg. 0.1 mol L^{-1} NaSCN ; inner-sphere mechanism; radical from $\cdot\text{OH} + \text{SCN}^-$.	85A122
$\cdot\text{CH}_3 + \text{U}^{3+} \rightarrow \text{UCH}_3^{3+}$	~1	$(1.5 \pm 0.2) \times 10^9$		p.r.; D.k. at 355 nm in He-satd. soln. contg. 0.5 mol L^{-1} HClO_4 contg. dimethyl sulfoxide; radical from $\cdot\text{OH} + \text{DMSO}$.	85A122

Table of rate constants — Continued

Reaction	pH	$k(\text{L mol}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1})$	I	Comment	Ref.
$\cdot\text{CH}_2\text{CO}_2\text{H} + \text{U}^{3+} \rightarrow \text{UCH}_2\text{CO}_2\text{H}^{3+}$	~1	$(1.2 \pm 0.2) \times 10^8$		p.r.; D.k. at 355 nm in He-satd. soln. contg. 0.5 mol L ⁻¹ HClO ₄ contg. acetic acid; radical from ·OH + CH ₃ CO ₂ H.	85A122
$\text{CH}_3\text{CHCO}_2\text{H} + \text{U}^{3+} \rightarrow \text{UCH}(\text{CH}_3)\text{CO}_2\text{H}^{3+}$	~1	$(2.1 \pm 0.3) \times 10^7$		p.r.; D.k. at 355 nm in He-satd. soln. contg. 0.5 mol L ⁻¹ HClO ₄ contg. propionic acid; radical from ·OH + CH ₃ CH ₂ CO ₂ H.	85A122
$\cdot\text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{U}^{3+} \rightarrow$	~1	$<2 \times 10^7$		p.r.; D.k. at 355 nm in He-satd. soln. contg. 0.5 mol L ⁻¹ HClO ₄ contg. methanol; radical from ·OH + CH ₃ OH.	85A122
$(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{COH} + \text{U}^{3+} \rightarrow$	~1	$<1 \times 10^7$		p.r.; D.k. at 355 nm in He-satd. soln. contg. 0.5 mol L ⁻¹ HClO ₄ and 2-propanol; radical from ·OH + 2-PrOH.	85A122
$\cdot\text{CH}_2\text{C}(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{OH} + \text{U}^{3+} \rightarrow$	~1	$<5 \times 10^6$		p.r.; D.k. at 355 nm in He-satd. soln. contg. 0.5 mol L ⁻¹ HClO ₄ and 0.88 mol L ⁻¹ <i>tert</i> -butyl alcohol and 4×10^{-5} mol L ⁻¹ U ³⁺ ; radical from ·OH + <i>tert</i> -BuOH.	85A122
$\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{U}^{3+} \rightarrow \text{U}^{4+} + \cdot\text{OH} + \text{H}_2\text{O}$	~1	$(2 \pm 1) \times 10^5$		p.r.; D.k. at 355 nm in He-satd. soln. contg. 0.5 mol L ⁻¹ HClO ₄ and 0.88 mol L ⁻¹ <i>tert</i> -BuOH; estd. from dependence on concn. of U ³⁺ and pulse intensity [H ₂ O ₂].	85A122
Uranium(IV) ion					
$e_{\text{aq}}^- + \text{U}^{4+} \rightarrow \text{U}^{3+}$	~0	1.0×10^{11}		p.r.; C.k.; obs. absorption of U(III) in soln. contg. 0.01–0.1 mol L ⁻¹ U(IV) and 1 mol L ⁻¹ HClO ₄ ; rel. to $k(e_{\text{aq}}^- + \text{H}^+)$ = 1.15×10^{10} .	81A410 85A236
$e_{\text{aq}}^- + \text{UOH}^{3+} \rightarrow \text{UOH}^{2+}$	~1	9.2×10^{10}	→0	p.r.; Calc. from measurements at 0.1 and 0.05 mol L ⁻¹ HClO ₄ at 0.01–0.1 mol L ⁻¹ U(IV) where U(IV) contains 20% and 30% of UOH ³⁺ and $k_{\text{obs}} = 6.5 \times 10^{10}$ and 6.8×10^{10} ; rel. to $k(e_{\text{aq}}^- + \text{H}^+)$ = 2×10^{10} and 1.5×10^{10} , resp.	81A410 85A236
$\cdot\text{OH} + \text{U}^{4+} \rightarrow \text{OH}^- + \text{U}^{5+}$	~0	$(8.6 \pm 0.5) \times 10^8$		p.r.; C.K.; 1.0 mol L ⁻¹ HClO ₄ , $(1-5) \times 10^{-2}$ mol L ⁻¹ U(IV) and 5×10^{-3} mol L ⁻¹ KCNS (4–16% bound as UCNS ³⁺); rel. to $k(\text{OH}^- + \text{SCN}^-) = 1.1 \times 10^{10}$.	81A410 82A459 85A236
$\cdot\text{OH} + \text{UOH}^{3+} \rightarrow \text{OH}^- + \text{UOH}^{4+}$	~1	$(9.2 \pm 0.5) \times 10^8$		p.r.; C.K.; 1.0 mol L ⁻¹ HClO ₄ , $(1-5) \times 10^{-2}$ mol L ⁻¹ U(IV) and 5×10^{-3} mol L ⁻¹ KCNS (12–42% complexed as UCNS ³⁺); rel. to $k(\text{OH}^- + \text{SCN}^-) = 1.1 \times 10^{10}$.	81A410 82A459 85A236
$\text{UCl}^{3+} \xrightarrow{\text{H}_2\text{O}} \text{U}^{4+} + \text{Cl}^-$		$(3.4 \pm 0.6) \times 10^4 \text{ s}^{-1}$		p.r. Increase in light transmission following Cl ₂ ⁻ + U ³⁺ reaction.	85A122
Uranyl(V) ion					
$\text{UO}_2^{2+} + \text{UO}_2^{2+} \rightarrow \text{U}^{4+} + \text{UO}_2^{2+}$		6.8×10^5		f.p.; D.k. at 580 nm ($\epsilon = 50 \text{ L mol}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$), and p.b.k. at 656 nm U(IV) in soln. contg. 5 N H ₂ SO ₄ , 0.35 mol L ⁻¹ ethanol; U(V) from $e_{\text{aq}}^- + \text{U(VI)}$.	72A023
$(\text{SCN})_2^- + \text{UO}_2^{2+} \rightarrow \text{SCN}^- + \text{UO}_2^{2+}$	~1	$(3.5 \pm 0.08) \times 10^2$	2.50	stopped-flow; P.b.k. at 640 nm ($\epsilon = 40 \text{ L mol}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$) in HClO ₄ soln. contg. 1.3×10^{-2} mol L ⁻¹ U(V) (from U(VI) + Eu(II)), 0.75 mol L ⁻¹ H ⁺ ; k increases with [H ⁺] 0.25–2 mol L ⁻¹ to 8×10^2 and with addn. of sulfate ion (2.8×10^{-2} mol L ⁻¹) to $\sim 2 \times 10^3$.	749139
$(\text{SCN})_2^- + \text{UO}_2^{2+} \rightarrow \text{SCN}^- + \text{UO}_2^{2+}$	1.0	$(1.46 \pm 0.34) \times 10^9$		f.p.; D.k. (first order) in KCNS–U(VI) perchlorate soln. (both 2×10^{-3} mol L ⁻¹); radical from photooxidation of SCN ⁻ by UO ₂ ²⁺ ; reaction probably reoxidation of U(V) to U(VI); assume $[(\text{SCN})_2^-] = [\text{UO}_2^{2+}]$. Similar pseudo first order decays obs. in U(VI) soln. contg. KBr or NaHCO ₃ postulated to involve Br ₂ ⁻ or CO ₃ ²⁻ .	767279

Table of rate constants — Continued

Reaction	pH	$k(L \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1})$	I	Comment	Ref.
Triscarbonatodioxouranate(V) ion					
$\text{CO}_3^{2-} + \text{UO}_2(\text{CO}_3)_3^{\frac{1}{2}-}$ $\rightarrow \text{CO}_3^{2-} + \text{UO}_2(\text{CO}_3)_3^{\frac{1}{2}-}$		4.9×10^8		p.r.; D.k. at 600 nm in 0.05 mol L ⁻¹ sodium carbonate soln.; U(V) produced by hydrated electron reaction; CO_3^{2-} from $\cdot\text{OH} + \text{CO}_3^{2-}$.	84A155
Uranium(VI)-hydroperoxy complex					
$\text{HO}_2/\text{O}_2^{2-} + \text{UO}_2-\text{HO}_2^{\frac{1}{2}+} \rightarrow \text{UO}_2^{\frac{1}{2}+}$ $+ \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{O}_2$	$(5 \pm 1) \times 10^5$			p.r.; D.k. at 330 nm; $k(2 \text{ UO}_2^{\frac{1}{2}+}-\text{HO}_2 \rightarrow \text{UO}_2^{\frac{1}{2}+} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{O}_2) = (8 \pm 2) \times 10^4$.	741107
	~ 1	$(9.0 \pm 1.5) \times 10^5$		Flow-esr method; $k(2 \text{ UO}_2^{\frac{1}{2}+}-\text{HO}_2 \rightarrow \text{UO}_2^{\frac{1}{2}+} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{O}_2) = (4 \pm 1) \times 10^4$.	739071
Uranyl(VI) ion					
$e_{\text{eq}}^- + \text{UO}_2^{\frac{1}{2}+} \rightarrow \text{UO}_2^{\frac{1}{2}+}$	5.3	$(1.7 \pm 0.01) \times 10^{10}$	10^{-3}	p.r.; D.k.; $(0.5-10) \times 10^{-4}$ mol L ⁻¹ U(VI), counter ion ClO_4^- ; 24% $\text{UO}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6\text{OH}^+$ [81A148], $pK_a = 5.2$ [78G312]; k reduced by factor of 10 in sodium dodecylsulfate soln. [81N168]. The error is based on a least squares plot and reflects only the internal consistency of the data.	761084
$e_{\text{eq}}^- + \text{UO}_2\text{OH}^+ \rightarrow \text{UO}_2\text{OH}$	6.8	$(1.3 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{10}$	10^{-3}	p.r.; D.k.; $(0.1-1) \times 10^{-4}$ mol L ⁻¹ U(VI), counter ion ClO_4^- ; 9% $\text{UO}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6^+$ [81A148]. The error is based on a least squares plot and reflects only the internal consistency of the data.	761084
$\text{H}\cdot + \text{UO}_2^{\frac{1}{2}+} \rightarrow \text{H}^+ + \text{UO}_2^{\frac{1}{2}+}$	~ 0	$(4.5 \pm 0.5) \times 10^7$		p.r.; C.k. in soln. contg. 1 mol L ⁻¹ HClO_4 , 5×10^{-4} mol L ⁻¹ BzOH , $0-10^{-2}$ mol L ⁻¹ U(VI) and 0.2 mol L ⁻¹ <i>tert</i> -BuOH; rel. to $k(\text{H} + \text{BzOH}) = 1 \times 10^9$.	82A420 85A236
$\text{HO}_2/\text{O}_2^{2-} + \text{UO}_2^{\frac{1}{2}+}$ $\rightarrow \text{UO}_2-\text{HO}_2^{\frac{1}{2}+}$	1	$(1.5 \pm 0.1) \times 10^5$		p.r.; P.b.k. at 320 nm in O_2 -satd. soln. contg. 0.1 mol L ⁻¹ HClO_4 , 0.1 mol L ⁻¹ H_2O_2 and $(0.1-1) \times 10^{-3}$ mol L ⁻¹ U(VI) sulfate; $K_{\text{eq}} = (1.7 \pm 0.3) \times 10^3$ L mol ⁻¹ .	741107
	~ 1	$> 1 \times 10^5$		Flow-esr method; radical from Ce(IV)- H_2O_2 ; $K_{\text{eq}} = (2.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^3$ L mol ⁻¹ .	739071
$\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{UO}_2^{\frac{1}{2}+} \rightarrow \text{UO}_2^{\frac{1}{2}+}-\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$	5.2	1.4×10^4		p.r.; P.b.k. in soln. contg. 1.0×10^{-4} mol L ⁻¹ U(VI) ($\sim 2 \times 10^{-5}$ mol L ⁻¹ H_2O_2 produced by p.r.).	761084
	5.2	$(5.9 \pm 0.05) \times 10^3$		stopped-flow; P.b.k. in soln. contg. $0.5-5 \times 10^{-4}$ mol L ⁻¹ U(VI) and $0.5-1 \times 10^{-3}$ mol L ⁻¹ H_2O_2 ; at 2.5×10^{-3} mol L ⁻¹ H_2O_2 $k = (1.31 \pm 0.16) \times 10^4$. The error is based on a least squares plot and reflects only the internal consistency of the data.	761084
Triscarbonatodioxouranate(VI) ion					
$e_{\text{eq}}^- + \text{UO}_2(\text{CO}_3)_3^{\frac{1}{2}-}$ $\rightarrow \text{UO}_2(\text{CO}_3)_3^{\frac{1}{2}-}$	11.4	$(1.3 \pm 0.01) \times 10^{10}$		p.r.; D.k. in soln. contg. 0.1 mol L ⁻¹ <i>tert</i> -BuOH and 0.01 mol L ⁻¹ carbonate and $0.4-7 \times 10^{-4}$ mol L ⁻¹ U(VI); k increased in hexadecyltrimethylammonium bromide soln. to 1×10^{11} [81N168]. The error is based on a least squares plot and reflects only the internal consistency of the data.	81A148
Bisoxalatodioxouranate(VI) ion					
$e_{\text{eq}}^- + \text{UO}_2(\text{ox})_2^{\frac{1}{2}-} \rightarrow \text{UO}_2(\text{ox})_2^{\frac{1}{2}-}$	5.0	$(2.8 \pm 0.13) \times 10^{10}$		p.r.; D.k. in soln. contg. 0.1 mol L ⁻¹ <i>tert</i> -BuOH and 0.01 mol L ⁻¹ oxalate and $0.4-7 \times 10^{-4}$ mol L ⁻¹ U(VI). The error is based on a least squares plot and reflects only the internal consistency of the data.	81A148

Table of rate constants — Continued

REACTION	pH	k ($\text{L mol}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$)	I	Comment	Ref.
Iminodiacetatodioxouranium(VI) $e_{\text{aq}}^- + \text{UO}_2\text{IDA}^- \rightarrow \text{UO}_2\text{IDA}^{2-}$	10.5	$(1.9 \pm 0.01) \times 10^{10}$		p.r.; D.k. in soln. contg. 0.1 mol L^{-1} <i>tert</i> -BuOH and 0.01 mol L^{-1} iminodiacetate and $0.4-7 \times 10^{-4}$ mol L^{-1} U(VI); k increased to 3.87 and 3.1×10^{10} resp. at concn. 0.05 and 0.25 mol L^{-1} iminodiacetate at pH 8. The error is based on a least squares plot and reflects only the internal consistency of the data.	81A148
Nitrilotriacetatodioxouranate(VI) ion $e_{\text{aq}}^- + \text{UO}_2\text{NTA}^- \rightarrow \text{UO}_2\text{NTA}^{2-}$	9.0	$(1.7 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{10}$		p.r.; D.k. in soln. contg. 0.1 mol L^{-1} <i>tert</i> -BuOH and 0.01 mol L^{-1} nitrilotriacetate and $0.4-7 \times 10^{-4}$ mol L^{-1} U(VI); k increased to 1.9 and 2.4×10^{10} , resp. at 0.05 and 0.25 mol L^{-1} nitrilotriacetate. The error is based on a least squares plot and reflects only the internal consistency of the data.	81A148

6. References to the Table

- 72A023 Spectrophotometric study of the short-lived products of the photoreactions of uranium(VI). Rygalov, L.N.; Chibisov, A.K.; Karyakin, A.V.; Bezrogova, E.V.; Myasoedov, B.F.; Nemodruk, A.A. *Theor. Exp. Chem.* **1**: 399-403 (1972) Translated from: *Teor. Exsp. Khim.* **8**: 484-9 (1972)
- 731123 Reactivity of neptunium and plutonium ions relative to the hydrated electron in aqueous alkaline solutions. Pikaev, A.K.; Mefod'eva, M.P.; Krot, N.N.; Spitsyn, V.I. *High Energy Chem.* **7**: 448-50 (1973) Translated from: *Khim. Vys. Energ.* **7**: 505-8 (1973)
- 739071 Hydroperoxyl radical reactions. I. Electron paramagnetic resonance study of the complexation of HO_2 with some metal ions. Meisel, D.; Czapski, G.; Samuni, A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **95**: 4148-53 (1973)
- 741107 Hydroperoxyl radical reactions. III. Pulse-radiolytic study of the reaction of the hydroperoxyl radical with some metal ions. Meisel, D.; Ilan, Y.A.; Czapski, G. *J. Phys. Chem.* **78**: 2330-4 (1974)
- 741170 Absolute rate constants of reactions of hydrated electron with neptunium and plutonium ions in aqueous carbonate solutions. Pikaev, A.K.; Mefod'eva, M.P.; Krot, N.N.; Spitsyn, V.I. *Bull. Acad. Sci. USSR, Div. Chem. Sci. (Engl. Transl.)* **23**: 2751-2 (1974) Translated from: *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Khim.* : 2847-8 (1975)
- 749139 Kinetics and mechanism of the disproportionation of uranium(V). Ekstrom, A. *Inorg. Chem.* **13**: 2237-41 (1974)
- 74M401 A kinetic study of the reduction of americium(VI) by hydrogen peroxide in aqueous perchlorate media. Woods, M.; Cain, A.; Sullivan, J.C. *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.* **36**: 2605-7 (1974)
- 751177 Influence of the nature of a matrix on the reactivity of electrons in irradiated systems. Pikaev, A.K.; Ershov, B.G.; Makarov, I.E. *J. Phys. Chem.* **79**: 3025-34 (1975)
- 761084 Pulse radiolysis studies of uranium(VI), neptunium(VI), neptunium(V), and plutonium(VI) in aqueous perchlorate media. Sullivan, J.C.; Gordon, S.; Cohen, D.; Mulac, W.; Schmidt, K.H. *J. Phys. Chem.* **80**: 1684-6 (1976)
- 761118 Pulse radiolysis studies of americium(III) and curium(III) ions in perchlorate media. The preparation of Am II, Am IV, Cm II and Cm IV. Sullivan, J.C.; Gordon, S.; Mulac, W.A.; Schmidt, K.H.; Cohen, D.; Sjoblom, R. *Inorg. Nucl. Chem. Lett.* **12**: 599-601 (1976)
- 761232 Preparation and investigation of properties of divalent americium ions in aqueous solutions by the impulse radiolysis method. Pikaev, A.K.; Shilov, V.P.; Spitsyn, V.I. *Bull. Acad. Sci. USSR, Div. Chem. Sci.* **25**: 2651 (1976) Translated from: *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Khim.* : 2840 (1976)
- 767279 A flash photolytic study of the photo-oxidation of some inorganic anions by the uranyl ion. Burrows, H.D.; Pedrosa de Jesus, J.D. *J. Photochem.* **5**: 265-75 (1976)
- 76G651 Radiolysis of alkaline aqueous solutions of hexa- and heptavalent neptunium and plutonium compounds. Pikaev, A.K.; Mefodeva, M.P.; Zakharova, F.A.; Shilov, V.P.; Krot, N.N.; Gelman, A.D.; Spitsyn, V.I. *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.* : 95-8 (1976)
- 771130 Use of the method of pulsed radiolysis to investigate the properties of americium(IV) in aqueous solutions. Pikaev, A.K.; Shilov, V.P.; Spitsyn, V.I. *Dokl. Phys. Chem.* **232**: 70-3 (1977) Translated from: *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **232**: 387-90 (1977)
- 77A243 Radiation-chemical oxidation of americium(III) ions in aqueous solutions. Pikaev, A.K.; Shilov, V.N.; Nikolaevskii, V.B.; Krot, N.N.; Spitsyn, V.I. *Sov. Radiochem.* **19**: 602-6 (1977) Translated from: *Radiokhimiya* **19**: 720-4 (1977)
- 77A245 Pulsed radiolysis of neutral aqueous solutions of potassium pertechnetate. Pikaev, A.K.; Kryuchkov, S.V.; Kuzina, A.F.; Spitsyn, V.I. *Dokl. Phys. Chem.* **236**: 992-5 (1977) Translated from: *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **236**: 1155-8 (1977)
- 78A044 Pulse-radiolysis studies of americium ions in aqueous perchlorate media. Gordon, S.; Mulac, W.A.; Schmidt, K.H.; Sjoblom, R.K.; Sullivan, J.C. *Inorg. Chem.* **17**: 294-6 (1978)
- 78A390 Production, detection, and characterization of transient hexavalent technetium in aqueous alkaline media by pulse radiolysis and very fast scan cyclic voltammetry. Deutsch, E.; Heineman, W.R.; Hurst, R.; Sullivan, J.C.; Mulac, W.A.; Gordon, S. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* : 1038-40 (1978)
- 78A463 Pulse radiolysis study of reaction rate of O_2^- radicals with neptunium(VI) ions in aqueous solutions. Pikaev, A.K.; Shilov, V.P. *Bull. Acad. Sci. USSR, Div. Chem. Sci.* **27**: 1886-9 (1978) Translated from: *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Khim.* : 2136-9 (1978)
- 80A130 A pulse radiolysis study of the reduction of neptunium (V) by the hydrated electron. Schmidt, K.H.; Gordon, S.;

- Thompson, R.C.; Sullivan, J.C. *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.* **42**: 611-5 (1980)
- 80A446 The reaction between Pu(VI) and H₂O₂ in aqueous bicarbonate media. Formation of a Pu(VI)-H₂O₂ complex(I). Nash, K.; Noon, M.E.; Fried, S.; Sullivan, J.C. *Inorg. Nucl. Chem. Lett.* **16**: 33-5 (1980)
- 81A148 Pulse radiolysis studies of U(VI) complexes in aqueous media. Nash, K.; Mulac, W.; Noon, M.; Fried, S.; Sullivan, J.C. *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.* **43**: 897-9 (1981)
- 81A173 ^{99m}Tc generators. II. Physicochemical factors in the radiolytic reduction of pertechnetate. Heller-Grossman, L.; Abrashkin, S.; Shafferman, A.; Davis, M.A.; Taube, R.A. *Int. J. Appl. Radiat. Isot.* **32**: 501-6 (1981)
- 81A410 Mechanism of the radiolysis of acid aqueous solutions of tetravalent uranium ions. Pikaev, A.K.; Shilov, V.P.; Fedoseev, A.M. *Dokl. Phys. Chem.* **260**: 987-90 (1981) Translated from: *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **260**: 1407-11 (1981)
- 81G249 Oxidation of Np(IV) in HNO₃ during γ -radiolysis. Kulikov, I.A.; Milovanova, A.S.; Vladimirova, M.V. *Sov. Radiochem.* **23**: 479-84 (1981) Translated from: *Radiokhimiya* **23**: 584-9 (1981)
- 81N168 Rates of the reactions of uranium(VI) and the hydrated electron in micellar systems. Meisel, D.; Mulac, W.; Sullivan, J.C. *Inorg. Chem.* **20**: 4247-50 (1981)
- 82A376 Study of reactivity of neptunium ions toward OH radicals in perchloric acid solutions by pulse radiolysis method. Shilov, V.P.; Fedoseev, A.M.; Pikaev, A.K. *Bull. Acad. Sci. USSR, Div. Chem. Sci.* **31**: 832-4 (1982) Translated from: *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Khim.* : 940-2 (1982)
- 82A416 Thorium(III): Reduction of thorium perchlorate by the hydrated electron. Koulkes-Pujo, A.M.; Martin-Rovet, D.; Folcher, G.; Plissonnier, M.; Pascal, J.L. *Nouv. J. Chim.* **6**: 571-2 (1982)
- 82A420 Investigation of the reactivity of uranium and neptunium ions relative to hydrogen atoms in aqueous solutions by the pulsed radiolysis method. Shilov, V.P.; Pikaev, A.K. *High Energy Chem.* **16**: 372-4 (1982) Translated from: *Khim. Vys. Energ.* **16**: 468-9 (1982)
- 82A459 Study of the kinetics of the reaction of hydroxyl radicals with uranium(IV) ions in chloric acid solutions by pulse radiolysis. Shilov, V.P.; Pikaev, A.K.; Fedoseev, A.M. *Khim. Vys. Energ.* **16**: 89-90 (1982)
- 82G267 Radiolytic reduction of Pu(VI) and oxidation of Pu(IV) in the presence of neptunium in HNO₃ solutions. Vladimirova, M.V.; Kulikov, I.A.; Sosnovskii, O.A.; Nikishova, L.K. *Sov. Radiochem.* **24**: 267-86 (1982) Translated from: *Radiokhimiya* **24**: 322-30 (1982)
- 83A071 The hydrolysis of neptunium(VI) and plutonium(VI) studied by the pulse radiolysis transient conductivity technique. Schmidt, K.H.; Gordon, S.; Thompson, M.; Sullivan, J.C.; Mulac, W.A. *Radiat. Phys. Chem.* **21**: 321-8 (1983)
- 83A127 Valence III of thorium produced by pulse radiolysis of aqueous thorium sulfate solution. Martin-Rovet, D.; Koulkes-Pujo, A.M.; Plissonnier, M.; Folcher, G. *Radiat. Phys. Chem.* **21**: 473-9 (1983)
- 83A171 Pulse radiolysis studies of californium(III) in aqueous perchlorate solution. Evidence for the preparation of californium(II). Sullivan, J.C.; Morss, L.R.; Schmidt, K.H.; Mulac, W.A.; Gordon, S. *Inorg. Chem.* **22**: 2338-9 (1983)
- 84A155 Reactions of uranium(V), neptunium(V), and plutonium(V) with the carbonate radical ion. Mulac, W.A.; Gordon, S.; Schmidt, K.H.; Wester, D.; Sullivan, J.C. *Inorg. Chem.* **23**: 1639-41 (1984)
- 85A122 Kinetics and mechanism of single electron oxidations of the tervalent uranium ion, U³⁺ (aq), by free radicals in aqueous solutions. Golub, D.; Cohen, H.; Meyerstein, D. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* : 641-4 (1985)
- 85A236 Reactivity of neptunium, plutonium, and uranium ions with primary products of water radiolysis. Shilov, V.P.; Fedoseev, A.M.; Pikaev, A.K. *Sov. Radiochem.* **27**: 117-20 (1985) Translated from: *Radiokhimiya* **27**: 127-30 (1985)