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1. Introduction

1.1. Scope

This is the second of a series of publications presenting evaluated chemical kinetic data important for the detailed understanding of the combustion of organic compounds. Previously$^1$, we dealt with the reactions of the most likely species present in methane combustion. We now add two new species, CH$_3$OH and CH$_2$OH, to this list and include the 41 reactions of these two compounds with the components involved in methane combustion and the reactions with each other. With the appropriate reactions in the earlier$^1$ data base we are now in a position to give a complete description of the chemistry of methanol combustion. We have selected methanol as the first addition to our data base because of the interest in its use as an alternative fuel. As a result more new kinetic data have been reported$^2$. There have also been attempts at modeling methanol decomposition systems$^3$. Finally, because methanol is the simplest of the alcohols, many of the reactions are of use in understanding and modeling the oxidation and pyrolysis of other alcohols.

Our approach has been to be as inclusive as possible. Having decided on the important species, we consider all the possible reactions of these species. Where experimental information is not available we give our best estimate. The absence of a recommended number implies that for all purposes the rate constant is sufficiently small that it can be ignored. The reaction grid containing the reactions that we have evaluated in the past and the one presented in this evaluation can be found in Fig. 1. In all cases we have given recommended values that cover the temperature range of 300–2500 K and the density range of $1 \times 10^{16}$–$1 \times 10^{21}$ molecules cm$^{-3}$.

1.2. Organization

The data are presented in the same fashion as in the earlier evaluation on methane combustion$^1$. The information contained here is meant to be used in conjunction with that in our earlier publication. A more detailed presentation of what is contained in this introduction can be found there. This discussion contains the minimal information necessary for the use of the present data.

The data are presented in three sections. The first contains a summary of the recommended rate expressions, the estimated error factors, and the page where a brief discussion can be found. The second section contains additional information on the individual reactions. It includes a summary of past work and the justification for the recommendations. The third section contains relevant thermodynamic and transport property data.
1.3. Guide to Summary Table

The summary table contains all the recommended rate expressions, the uncertainty factors and the page where a more detailed discussion can be found. For unimolecular processes or their reverse combination we give results in terms of the high pressure or low pressure limit and the departure from this limit in the form of the ratio $k/k_{M}$ or $k/k_{L}$ (where the subscript refers to the limiting high and low pressures) for 1 atm N$_2$ or Ar.

1.4. Guide to Chemical Kinetic Data Tables

This section contains information on past work, our analysis of the data, rate expression recommendations, and uncertainty factors. We retain the numbering system that we use for the methane combustion system: to the twenty-five species that are labeled from 2 to 26 we now add 38 (methanol) and 39 (hydroxymethyl). The label 1 is reserved for unimolecular reactions. We are also reserving the labels 27–37 for the species of importance to NO$_x$ formation processes. Since pairs of these numbers form a particular reaction, we now consider reactions 38 (methanol) and 39 (hydroxymethyl) with all lower numbered species and of course with themselves. These sequence numbers are at the top left hand corner of all the data tables. This is followed by a statement of the elementary reaction and, if applicable, the appropriate equilibrium constant.

In the next section is a synopsis of all previous work. It begins with a listing of the author(s) and the year of publication. In the case of a review, a note is made to this effect and in many cases this is used as a starting point for our efforts. This is followed by a synopsis of the reaction conditions, the derived rate expressions and the uncertainty limits. The latter are either given by the author or estimated by the reviewer on the basis of similar experiments. Most of the reactions are bimolecular and the units are cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$. For unimolecular and termolecular reactions the units are s$^{-1}$ and cm$^6$ molecule$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ respectively. As an aid to the user in those cases where there are considerable experimental data we also include a plot in the Arrhenius form of the data and our recommendations.

The next section contains our recommendations and a brief discussion of our rationale. We have discussed our approach in detail in our earlier paper. Here, we simply note that we have used BEBO transition states to fit hydrogen abstraction data over the 300–2500 K temperature range of interest. This leads in general to a $T^2-T'$ temperature dependence for the preexponential factor. Unimolecular reactions and related processes are pressure and species (weak colliders) dependent as well as temperature dependent. We have applied standard RRKM calculations to model the pressure and weak...
collider dependence. Unfortunately, the results could not be expressed in closed form. We have therefore presented the results in the form of a rate expression for either the high pressure or low pressure (strong collision) limit and two tables from which rate constants at reaction conditions can be obtained by interpolation. The choice of the appropriate rate expression was dictated by the closeness of the reaction to these limits under combustion conditions. The first table is the result of RRKM calculations on the basis of the strong collision assumption, with the collision partner being the reactant itself, and leads to values of \( k/k_\infty \) or \( k/k_0 \) as a function of temperature and pressure. These values are essentially correction factors to the limiting high or low pressure rate constants. The second table gives the collision efficiency, \( \beta(c) \) (on a per collision basis), as a function of step size down (energy removed per collision). The tabulated results are based on the relation of Troe. At the present time there is controversy regarding the magnitude and temperature dependence of this quantity. We have thus cast it in this form so that users can employ their own step sizes. The values in both tables refer to the reactant itself as the collider. In order to convert these values to those for an arbitrary collider we follow the approach of Troe and derive a correction factor, \( \beta(c) \), which is based on the ratio of the collisional properties of reactant and collider. Multiplying this factor by the collision efficiency derived earlier leads to a total collision efficiency, \( \beta(t)=\beta(e)\times \beta(c) \). \( \beta(t) \) is then used to scale the reaction pressure in our table and thus obtain an effective pressure for determining the rate constant ratios.

We illustrate the situation by carrying out fall-off calculations for methanol decomposition in the case of a dilute methanol in argon mixture at 1500 K. As an aid to the user, the calculations are carried out to many more places than justified by the accuracy of the data. This should prevent any ambiguities. We begin by determining \( \beta(c) \). This involves the calculation of a number of ratios. In the following, the numerator refers to the properties of the methanol-argon mixture, while the denominator refers to pure methanol itself.

a) Ratio of reduced masses (R); for methanol and argon this number is 17.78(methanol-argon)/16(methanol-methanol) = 1.111.

b) Ratio of collision diameters (C); for a methanol-argon mixture, this ratio is [3.626(methanol) + 3.542(argon)]/[3.626(methanol) x 2] = 0.985.

c) Ratio of collision integrals (W); where we use the approximation \( W=1/0.697 + 0.5185\times \log[kT/e (argon-methanol)] \) and \( \epsilon/k \) (argon-methanol) = \( \epsilon/k \) (argon)/k(\epsilon(methanol)/k) where \( \epsilon/k \) is the Lennard Jones well depth. Since \( \epsilon/k \) is 481.8 K for methanol and 93.3 K for argon, then the ratio of the collision integrals is 0.879/1.049 = 0.822.

The correction factor is then, \( \beta(c) = C^2W/R^{0.5} = 0.849 \).

For the methanol-argon mixture with a 600 cm\(^{-1}\) step size down the collision efficiency on a per collision basis at 1500 K is, \( \beta(e) = 0.082 \) (see 38,1). The total collision efficiency is then \( \beta(t) = \beta(c)\times \beta(e) = 0.082\times 0.849 = 0.07 \). At 20 atmospheres or \( 10^{20} \) molecules/cm\(^3\) this is equivalent to a density of \( 7\times 10^{14} \) molecules cm\(^{-3}\). From Table A of 38,1 we then find \( k/k_\infty = 0.38 \).

Systems for which the low pressure rate expression is given require the same procedure except that the final bimolecular rate constant is now given by the expression \( \beta(t)\times k_\infty \times (k/k_\infty) \). For chemical activation processes the rate expressions can be obtained in an analogous manner. In addition to the possible pressure dependence of the total rate, the ratio of decomposition to stabilization is also of importance. Thus, in these cases we include a table giving this ratio as a function of temperature and pressure on the assumption of strong collisions. Note that the procedure for correction for weak collision effects in the chemical activation systems is an untested approximation. Fortunately, for the systems considered here, pressure effects are relatively unimportant.

In the course of carrying out the earlier evaluation, we found that the data for unimolecular fall-off could generally be fitted, in the context of weak collisions, by step sizes of 50–100 cm\(^{-1}\) near room temperature and 500–800 cm\(^{-1}\) under higher temperature combustion conditions (>900 K). This was also borne out in the present study for methanol decomposition with argon as the weak collider. For strong colliders the situation is less clear, but the earlier existing data suggest step sizes down in the 1000–2000 cm\(^{-1}\) range. As an additional aid to the user and to eliminate the need to interpolate in the tables for some cases, we also include recommendations for \( k/k_\infty \) or \( k/k_0 \) values at 0.1, 1.0 and 10 atmospheres for \( N_2 \) or \( Ar \) and the reactant itself (model for strong collider) in the higher temperature region.

Finally, for each reaction we give the references used in the evaluation, the evaluator and the date. Hopefully, all the literature on this reaction previous to this date has been accessed. The author will be grateful to readers who will bring to his attention publications that have been inadvertently omitted.

### 1.5. Guide to Thermodynamic and Transport Tables

This section contains thermodynamic and transport properties of methanol and the hydroxymethyl radical. For the thermodynamic properties, the temperature range covered is from 300–1500 K. The properties tabulated include heat capacity, entropy, enthalpy of formation and Gibbs free energy of formation. In addition, we give a polynomial fit of the logarithm of the equilibrium constant of formation. The sources for this data can be found in a footnote. The transport properties are of use for evaluation of fall-off behavior. We therefore include the collision cross-section and the Lennard-Jones well depth.

### 1.6. Acknowledgments
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1.7. References to the Introduction

2See references in Data Tables.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>Rate Constant</th>
<th>Uncertainty</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>38,1</td>
<td>$\text{CH}_3\text{OH} \rightarrow \text{CH}_4 + \text{OH}$</td>
<td>$k_0 = 1.9 \times 10^{16} \text{exp}(-46192/T) \text{s}^{-1}$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38,2</td>
<td>$\text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{HO}_2$</td>
<td>$3.4 \times 10^{-11} \text{exp}(-22600/T)$</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>481</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38,3</td>
<td>$\text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{H} \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{O} + \text{H}_2$ (a)</td>
<td>$k_{\text{at}} = 3.52 \times 10^{-17} \text{T}^{0.5} \text{exp}(-2450/T)$</td>
<td>1.5 to 600 K, 3 at 2000 K</td>
<td>481</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$k_a/k_b = 4$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38,4</td>
<td>$\text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{CH}_2\text{O} + \text{H}_2$ (b)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38,5</td>
<td>$\text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{O} \rightarrow \text{CH}_2\text{O} + \text{OH}$ (a)</td>
<td>$k_{\text{at}} = 6.44 \times 10^{-12} \text{T}^{2.5} \text{exp}(-1550/T)$</td>
<td>1.3 to 750 K, 2 at 1100 K</td>
<td>483</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$k_a/k_b = 2$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38,6</td>
<td>$\text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{CH}_2\text{O} + \text{H}_2$ (a)</td>
<td>$k_{\text{at}} = 1.1 \times 10^{-15} \text{T}^{2.5} \text{exp}(483/T)$</td>
<td>1.1 at 300 K, 3 at 2000 K</td>
<td>485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$k_a/k_b = 3.7 \text{exp}(-1020/T)$</td>
<td>1.6 to 500 K</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38,7</td>
<td>$\text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{HO}_2 \rightarrow \text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2$</td>
<td>$1.6 \times 10^{-13} \text{exp}(-6530/T)$</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>487</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38,15</td>
<td>$\text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{CH}_2\text{O} + \text{H}_2\text{O}$</td>
<td>$1.6 \times 10^{-20} \text{T}^{2.3} \text{exp}(-5556/T)$</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>487</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38,16</td>
<td>$\text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{CH}_3 \rightarrow \text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{CH}_4$ (a)</td>
<td>$k_a = 5.3 \times 10^{-23} \text{T}^{3.2} \text{exp}(-3609/T)$</td>
<td>1.4 to 800 K, 3 at 2000 K</td>
<td>488</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$k_b = 2.4 \times 10^{-23} \text{T}^{3.1} \text{exp}(-3490/T)$</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38,17</td>
<td>$\text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{C}_2\text{H}_5 \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{O} + \text{C}_2\text{H}_6$ (a)</td>
<td>$k_a = 5.3 \times 10^{-23} \text{T}^{3.2} \text{exp}(-4610/T)$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>489</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$k_b = 2.4 \times 10^{-23} \text{T}^{3.1} \text{exp}(-4500/T)$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38,18</td>
<td>$\text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{C}_2\text{H}_3 \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{O} + \text{C}_2\text{H}_4$ (b)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38,19</td>
<td>$\text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{C}_2\text{H}_5 \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{O} + \text{C}_2\text{H}_4$ (b)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38,21</td>
<td>$\text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{C}_2\text{H} \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{O} + \text{C}_2\text{H}_2$ (a)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38,22</td>
<td>$\text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{CH}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{CH}_3\text{CHO}$</td>
<td>$8.08 \times 10^{-21} \text{T}^{3} \text{exp}(-6210/T)$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38,23</td>
<td>$\text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{CH}_3\text{OO} \rightarrow \text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{CH}_3\text{OOH}$</td>
<td>$3 \times 10^{-13} \text{exp}(-6900/T)$</td>
<td>1.3 at 800 K, increasing to 2 at 1000 K</td>
<td>491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38,24</td>
<td>$\text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{CH}_3 \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{CH}_3\text{O}$</td>
<td>$5 \times 10^{-13} \text{exp}(-2050/T)$</td>
<td></td>
<td>491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38,25</td>
<td>$\text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{CH}_2 \rightarrow \text{CH}_3 + \text{CH}_2\text{OH}$</td>
<td>$2.5 \times 10^{-12}$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>492</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. Index of Reactions and Summary of Recommended Rate Expressions -- Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>Rate Constant ( k/cm^3\text{ molecule}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1} )</th>
<th>Uncertainty factor</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>38,26</td>
<td>( \text{CH}_2\text{OH} + ^3\text{CH}_2 \rightarrow \text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{CH}_3 ) (a) → ( \text{CH}_3 + \text{CH}_3 ) (b)</td>
<td>( k_a = 5.3\times 10^{-23} T^{3.2} \exp(-3609/T) ) ( k_b = 2.4\times 10^{-23} T^{3.1} \exp(-3490/T) )</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39,1</td>
<td>( \text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{M} \rightarrow \text{H} + \text{HCHO} + \text{M} )</td>
<td>( k_a = 7.5T^2 \exp(-17205/T) ) ( k(N_a, &gt;800K) = 1.9\times 10^{18} T^{-8} \exp(-21645/T) ) (strong collider)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39,2</td>
<td>( \text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{H}_2 \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{H} )</td>
<td>( 1.12\times 10^{-18} T^{2.0} \exp(-6722/T) )</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39,3</td>
<td>( \text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{HCHO} + \text{HO}_2 )</td>
<td>( 2.0\times 10^{-12} )</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39,4</td>
<td>( \text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{H} \rightarrow \text{HCHO} + \text{H}_2 ) (a) → ( \text{CH}_3 + \text{OH} ) (b)</td>
<td>( k_a = 1\times 10^{-11} ) ( k_b = 1.6\times 10^{-10} )</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>496</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39,5</td>
<td>( \text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{O} \rightarrow \text{HO}_2 + \text{HCHO} )</td>
<td>( 7\times 10^{-11} )</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>497</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39,6</td>
<td>( \text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{HCHO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} )</td>
<td>( 4\times 10^{-11} )</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>497</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39,7</td>
<td>( \text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{HO}_2 \rightarrow \text{HCHO} + \text{HO}_2 )</td>
<td>( 2\times 10^{-11} )</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39,8</td>
<td>( \text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{HO}_2 \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{HO}_2 )</td>
<td>( 5\times 10^{-13} \exp(-1300/T) )</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39,10</td>
<td>( \text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{CH}_4 \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{CH}_3 )</td>
<td>( 3.6\times 10^{-23} T^{3.1} \exp(-8166/T) )</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39,11</td>
<td>( \text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{C}_2\text{H}_6 \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{C}_2\text{H}_5 )</td>
<td>( 3.3\times 10^{-22} T^{3.6} \exp(-7033/T) )</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39,12</td>
<td>( \text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{HCHO} \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{HCO} )</td>
<td>( 9.1\times 10^{-21} T^{2.8} \exp(-2950/T) )</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39,15</td>
<td>( \text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{HCO} \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{CO} ) (a) → ( 2\text{HCHO} ) (b)</td>
<td>( k_a = 2\times 10^{-10} ) ( k_b = 3\times 10^{-10} )</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39,16</td>
<td>( \text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{CH}_3 \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{OH} ) (a) → ( \text{CH}_4 + \text{HCHO} ) (b)</td>
<td>( k_a = 2\times 10^{-11} ) ( \log(k_a/k_a^\infty) = 0.202 + 1.755\times 10^{-4} T - 5.61\times 10^{-7} T^2 ) (1 atm \text{H}_2, &gt;800K) ( k_b = 4\times 10^{-12} )</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39,17</td>
<td>( \text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{C}_2\text{H}_2 \rightarrow \text{n-C}_3\text{H}_7\text{OH} ) (a) → ( \text{C}_2\text{H}_6 + \text{HCHO} ) (b) → ( \text{C}_2\text{H}_4 + \text{CH}_3\text{OH} ) (c)</td>
<td>( k_a = 2\times 10^{-11} ) ( k_b = 4\times 10^{-12} ) ( k_c = 4\times 10^{-12} )</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39,18</td>
<td>( \text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{C}_2\text{H}_4 \rightarrow (\text{CH}_2)_3\text{OH} ) (a)</td>
<td>( k_a = 5\times 10^{-14} \exp(-3500/T) ) (reversed under combustion conditions) → ( \text{C}_2\text{H}_5 + \text{HCHO} ) (b)</td>
<td>( k_b = k_a^\infty(\exp(-2000/T)/(1+\exp(-2000/T))) )</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>Rate Constant</th>
<th>Uncertainty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$k/cm^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$</td>
<td>factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39,19</td>
<td>$\text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{C}_2\text{H}_2 \rightarrow \text{C}_2\text{H}_4 + \text{HCHO}$ (a)</td>
<td>$k_a=5\times10^{-11}$</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\rightarrow \text{C}_3\text{H}_5 + \text{OH}$ (b)</td>
<td>$k_b=2\times10^{-11}$</td>
<td>503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39,20</td>
<td>$\text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{C}_2\text{H}_2 \rightarrow \text{C}_3\text{H}_4\text{OH}$</td>
<td>$1.2\times10^{-12}\exp(-4531/T)$</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39,21</td>
<td>$\text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{C}_2\text{H}_2 + \text{HCHO}$ (a)</td>
<td>$k_a=6\times10^{-11}$</td>
<td>504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\rightarrow \text{C}_3\text{H}_3 + \text{OH}$ (b)</td>
<td>$k_b=2\times10^{-11}$</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39,22</td>
<td>$\text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{CH}_3\text{CO} \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{COCH}_2\text{OH}$</td>
<td>$2\times10^{-11}$</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39,23</td>
<td>$\text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{CH}_3\text{OO} \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{O} + \text{OH} + \text{HCHO}$</td>
<td>$2\times10^{-11}$</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39,24</td>
<td>$\text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{CH}_3\text{O} \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{HCHO}$</td>
<td>$4\times10^{-11}$</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39,25</td>
<td>$\text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{CH}_2 \rightarrow \text{CH}_2\text{CHO} + \text{H}$</td>
<td>$3\times10^{-11}$</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39,26</td>
<td>$\text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{CH}_2 \rightarrow \text{C}_2\text{H}_4 + \text{OH}$ (a)</td>
<td>$k_a=4\times10^{-11}$</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\rightarrow \text{CH}_3 + \text{HCHO}$ (b)</td>
<td>$k_b=2\times10^{-12}$</td>
<td>505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39,38</td>
<td>$\text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{CH}_3\text{OH} \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{HCHO}$</td>
<td>$1.3\times10^{-14}\exp(-6070/T)$</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39,39</td>
<td>$\text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{CH}_2 \rightarrow \text{HOC}_2\text{H}_2\text{OH}$ (a)</td>
<td>$k_a=1.6\times10^{-11}$</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{HCHO}$ (b)</td>
<td>$k_b=8\times10^{-12}$</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3. Chemical Kinetic Data Tables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Temp./K</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Reaction rate constant, $k$/cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$</th>
<th>Uncertainty factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cribb, et al. (1984)</td>
<td>1800-2560</td>
<td>1.3x10$^{18}$ Ar and N$_2$, (Shock tube, laser schlieren and mass spec.)</td>
<td>$k = 8.5x10^{18}T^{-7.08}\exp(-45317/T)$</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spindler, Wagner (1982)</td>
<td>1600-2100</td>
<td>8.0-200.0x10$^{17}$ Ar, (Shock tube, CH$_3$, OH detection)</td>
<td>$k = 9.4x10^{15}\exp(-45250/T)$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tsuboi, et al. (1991)</td>
<td>1500-1900</td>
<td>6.0x10$^{10}$ Ar, 3.0x10$^{10}$ Ar, 0.01 - 1% CH$_3$OH, (Shock tube, UV absorption and IR emission)</td>
<td>$k_0(Ar) = 3.3x10^{-7}\exp(-34420/T)$</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westbrook, Dryer (1979)</td>
<td>1000-2200</td>
<td>3.7x10$^{18}$ N$_2$, (Modeling flow reactor, and shock tube results)</td>
<td>$k = 5.0x10^{-6}\exp(-46280/T)$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowman (1975)</td>
<td>1500-2200</td>
<td>6.0-17.0x10$^{18}$ Ar, 0.75 to 4% CH$_3$OH, (Shock tube, optical detection of OH, O, H$_2$O)</td>
<td>$k = 6.7x10^{-9}\exp(-34200/T)$</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Comments and Recommendations

The experimental results under almost identical experimental conditions vary by a factor of 30. The results of Westbrook and Dryer and of Spindler and Wagner are in agreement suggesting that the collision efficiencies for N$_2$ and Argon are similar. The rate constants of Bowman are a factor of 10 lower than those of Spindler and Wagner, while those of Tsuboi are a factor of 3 higher. The results of Cribb et al. occupy an intermediate position between the latter two results. We have fitted the data on the basis of the work of Spindler and Wagner, Cribb et al., Tsuboi, and Westbrook and Dryer, and the measured rate for the reverse process at room temperature (See Rn. 16,6 in Part 1). This leads to a step size down for argon of 500 cm$^{-1}$ and a high pressure rate expression of $k(\text{CH}_3\text{OH} \rightarrow \text{CH}_3 + \text{OH}) = 1.9x10^{16}\exp(-46192/T)$cm$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$

The results of Bowman lead to a step size down of 160 cm$^{-1}$ and is incompatible with the other measurements. Fall-off behavior on a strong collision basis can be found in Table A. Collision efficiencies as a function of temperature and step size down can be found in Table B. Fall-off behavior at 0.1, 1.0 and 10 atm in argon and CH$_3$OH over the range of 900-2500 K is as follows:
CHEMICAL KINETIC DATA BASE FOR COMBUSTION CHEMISTRY

\[
\begin{align*}
\log(k(A, 0.1)/k\infty) &= 0.574 - 1.64 \times 10^{-3} T - 3.54 \times 10^{-7} T^2 \\
\log(k(A, 1.0)/k\infty) &= 0.312 - 6.20 \times 10^{-4} T - 3.54 \times 10^{-7} T^2 \\
\log(k(A, 10)/k\infty) &= 4.39 \times 10^{-2} + 1.13 \times 10^{-4} T - 3.66 \times 10^{-7} T^2 \\
\log(k(CH_3OH, 0.1)/k\infty) &= 0.452 - 1.20 \times 10^{-3} T - 1.12 \times 10^{-7} T^2 \\
\log(k(CH_3OH, 1.0)/k\infty) &= 0.193 - 3.00 \times 10^{-4} T - 2.88 \times 10^{-7} T^2 \\
\log(k(CH_3OH, 10)/k\infty) &= -2.40 \times 10^{-2} - 2.39 \times 10^{-4} T - 3.35 \times 10^{-7} T^2 \\
\end{align*}
\]

where we have assumed a step size down of 500 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1000 cm\(^{-1}\) respectively.

The uncertainty in the rate constant is a factor of 2.

Table A. \(\log(k/k\infty)\) as a function of concentration and temperature for \(CH_3OH\) decomposition assuming strong collisions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(\log[M])</th>
<th>T/K</th>
<th>300</th>
<th>500</th>
<th>700</th>
<th>900</th>
<th>1100</th>
<th>1300</th>
<th>1500</th>
<th>1700</th>
<th>1900</th>
<th>2100</th>
<th>2300</th>
<th>2500</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>-0.54</td>
<td>-0.94</td>
<td>-1.3</td>
<td>-1.62</td>
<td>-1.90</td>
<td>-2.12</td>
<td>-2.37</td>
<td>-2.6</td>
<td>-2.81</td>
<td>-3.02</td>
<td>-3.21</td>
<td>-3.39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>-0.32</td>
<td>-0.64</td>
<td>-0.95</td>
<td>-1.24</td>
<td>-1.50</td>
<td>-1.70</td>
<td>-1.94</td>
<td>-2.16</td>
<td>-2.36</td>
<td>-2.56</td>
<td>-2.75</td>
<td>-2.92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
<td>-0.40</td>
<td>-0.66</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>-1.13</td>
<td>-1.22</td>
<td>-1.54</td>
<td>-1.75</td>
<td>-1.94</td>
<td>-2.12</td>
<td>-2.31</td>
<td>-2.47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
<td>-0.62</td>
<td>-0.82</td>
<td>-0.98</td>
<td>-1.18</td>
<td>-1.36</td>
<td>-1.54</td>
<td>-1.72</td>
<td>-1.89</td>
<td>-2.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
<td>-0.39</td>
<td>-0.55</td>
<td>-0.69</td>
<td>-0.86</td>
<td>-1.02</td>
<td>-1.18</td>
<td>-1.34</td>
<td>-1.50</td>
<td>-1.64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
<td>-0.45</td>
<td>-0.59</td>
<td>-0.72</td>
<td>-0.87</td>
<td>-1.01</td>
<td>-1.14</td>
<td>-1.27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td>-0.27</td>
<td>-0.37</td>
<td>-0.48</td>
<td>-0.60</td>
<td>-0.72</td>
<td>-0.83</td>
<td>-0.94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
<td>-0.30</td>
<td>-0.38</td>
<td>-0.47</td>
<td>-0.57</td>
<td>-0.66</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
<td>-0.28</td>
<td>-0.35</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table B. Collision efficiency, \(\beta_c\), as a function of temperature and step size down for \(CH_3OH\) decomposition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T/K</th>
<th>Step-size (cm(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>700</td>
<td>0.043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900</td>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1100</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1300</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1700</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1900</td>
<td>0.0045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2100</td>
<td>0.0034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2300</td>
<td>0.0025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Arrhenius plots of the rate constants $k_r$ for OH + CH$_3$ combination (in Argon), the reverse of Rn. 38,1, are given in Fig. 2. The values of $k_r$ were obtained from $k_{38,1}$ and the equilibrium constants of Rn. 38,1. The numbers on the curves are the logarithms of the gas concentration in molec/cm$^3$.

![Arrhenius plot](image)

**Fig. 2.** Arrhenius plots of the rate constants $k_r$ for OH + CH$_3$ combination, the reverse of Rn. 38,1.

(W. Tsang, April 1985)

**References**


### CHEMICAL KINETIC DATA BASE FOR COMBUSTION CHEMISTRY

**38.3** \( \text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{CH}_2\text{O} + \text{HO}_2 \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Reaction rate constant, ( k / \text{cm}^3 \text{molecule}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} )</th>
<th>Uncertainty factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Temp./K</td>
<td>[M]/molecule cm(^{-3})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Comments and Recommendations*


**38.4** \( \text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{H} \rightarrow \text{H}_2 + \text{CH}_2\text{OH} \) (a) \( \rightarrow \text{H}_2 + \text{CH}_3\text{O} \) (b)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Reaction rate constant, ( k / \text{cm}^3 \text{molecule}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} )</th>
<th>Uncertainty factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Temp./K</td>
<td>[M]/molecule cm(^{-3})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Comments and Recommendations*

Under most combustion conditions it is immaterial whether the reaction goes by path (a) or (b) since the newly formed radicals should decompose to \( \text{HCHO} \) and \( \text{H} \) quite rapidly. Reaction (a) is the most exothermic channel. We have fitted all the results on the basis of BDEO transition state calculations, leading to the recommended rate expression listed above. Uncertainty factor in \( k_{\text{a+b}} \) is 1.5 up...
to 600 K and 3 at 2000 K. Arrhenius plots of \( k_a + b \) are given in Fig. 3. From the data on methyl attack on methanol we estimate \( k_a/k_b \sim 4 \) with an uncertainty factor of 3.

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{Log}(k, \text{cm}^3\text{-molecule}^{-1} \text{-s}^{-1}) \\
10000/T(\text{K})
\end{array}
\]

Fig. 3. Arrhenius plots of the rate constants \( k_a + b \).

(W. Tsang, April 1985)
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\[ \text{38.5 } \text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{O} \rightarrow \text{OH} + \text{CH}_2\text{OH (a)} \]
\[ \rightarrow \text{OH} + \text{CH}_3\text{O (b)} \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Temp./K</th>
<th>[M]/molecule cm(^{-3})</th>
<th>Reaction rate constant, (k/\text{cm}^3\text{molecule}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1})</th>
<th>Uncertainty factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Failes, et al. (1982)</td>
<td>297-544</td>
<td>(10^{18} \text{ H}_2\text{O}, \text{CH}_3\text{OH, NO, (Hg-photosensitized decomp. of H}_2\text{O)})</td>
<td>(k_{a+b}=1.63\times10^{-11}\exp(-2267/T))</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keil, et al. (1981)</td>
<td>208-908</td>
<td>(3.0-10.0\times10^{16} \text{ O}_2, \text{CH}_3\text{OH (Discharge flow)}) (1.0-3.0\times10^{18} \text{ Ar, \text{O}_2, \text{CH}_3\text{OH (Flash photolysis)})</td>
<td>(k_{a+b}=2.7\times10^{-11}\exp(-2532/T))</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grotheer, Just (1981)</td>
<td>300-1006</td>
<td>(3\times10^{16} \text{ CH}_3\text{OH, O}_2) (Flow reactor, MS-detection of O atoms)</td>
<td>(k_{a+b}=5.7\times10^{-11}\exp(-2750/T))</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lalo, Vermeil (1981)</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>(3.0\times10^{17} \text{ Ar}) (Flow reactor, Resonance fluorescence detection of O)</td>
<td>(k_{a+b}=6.0\times10^{-17})</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owens, Roscoe (1975)</td>
<td>301-451</td>
<td>(3.0\times10^{16} \text{ CH}_3\text{OH, O}_2) (Flow reactor, O-atoms from O+NO emission)</td>
<td>(k_{a+b}=2.4\times10^{-12}\exp(-1540/T))</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LeFevre, et al. (1972)</td>
<td>273-438</td>
<td>(5.0\times10^{16} \text{ Ar}) (Discharge flow, ESR, MS)</td>
<td>(k_{a+b}=2.6\times10^{-12}\exp(-1140/T))</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Recommended value

\[ k_{a+b}=6.44\times10^{-19}T^{2.5}\exp(-1550/T) \text{cm}^3\text{molecule}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1} \]

Comments and Recommendations

Three of the most recent measurements on the rate of this reaction are in excellent agreement. We have carried out BEBO calculations and adjusted the activation energy to fit the experimental data in the 300-750 K range. The resulting expression is \(k_{a+b}=6.44\times10^{-19}T^{2.5}\exp(-1550/T)\text{cm}^3\text{molecule}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}\) with an uncertainty factor of 1.3 in the 300-750 K range and increasing to a factor of 2 at 1100 K. Reaction (a) is the exothermic channel and there are three available hydrogens. It is probably the preferred route under most conditions. Arrhenius plots of \(k_{a+b}\) are given in Fig.4.
Fig. 4. Arrhenius plots of the rate constants $k_{atb}$.

(W. Tsang, April 1985)
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### CHEMICAL KINETIC DATA BASE FOR COMBUSTION CHEMISTRY

38,6 \( \text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \) (a)

\( \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{O} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \) (b)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>[M]/molecule cm(^{-3})</th>
<th>Reaction rate constant, (k/cm^3\text{molecule}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1})</th>
<th>Uncertainty factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Meier, et al. (1984,1985)</td>
<td>300-1000, 0.5-5x10(^{18}) He, trace methanol. (Laser induced fluorescence, mass. spec.)</td>
<td>(k_{\text{ab}}=1.1x10^{-11}\exp(-718/T))</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hagele, et al. (1983)</td>
<td>295-420, 3.0x10(^{17}) He, trace of CH(_3)OH, HNO(_3). (OH from HNO(_3), LPRF)</td>
<td>(k_{\text{ab}}=1.2x10^{-11}\exp(-810/T))</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vandooren, Van Tiggelen (1981)</td>
<td>1100-2000, 2.5x10(^{17}) (methanol flame)</td>
<td>(k_{\text{ab}}=8.0x10^{-11}\exp(-2286/T))</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ravishankara, Davis (1978)</td>
<td>296, 1.2x10(^{18}) He (Flash photolysis, resonance fluorescence)</td>
<td>(k_{\text{ab}}=1.0x10^{-12})</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overend, Paraskevopoulos (1978)</td>
<td>296, 1.6x10(^{18}), H(_2), 10% N(_2)O; also OH from H(_2)O in He. (Flash photolysis, Resonance absorption)</td>
<td>(k_{\text{ab}}=1.06x10^{-12})</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campbell, et al. (1976)</td>
<td>292, 3.0x10(^{18}), ~1% NO(_2), 0.1% H(_2)O in CO (Static)</td>
<td>(k_{\text{ab}}=9.6x10^{-14})</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osif, et al. (1975)</td>
<td>298, (8.0-60.0)x10(^{17}), CH(_3)OH, N(_2)O, CO</td>
<td>(k_{\text{ab}}=1.6x10^{-13})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommended value</td>
<td></td>
<td>(k_{\text{ab}}=1.1x10^{-19}T^{-2.53}\exp(483/T))cm(^3)molecule(^{-1})s(^{-1})</td>
<td>1.1 at 300K, 3 at 2000K</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommended value</td>
<td></td>
<td>(k_a/k_b=3.7\exp(-1020/T))</td>
<td>1.6 to 500K</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comments and Recommendations**

The room temperature values for this reaction are very well established from direct determinations. Using REBO calculations, we recommend the rate expression:

\[
k_{\text{ab}} = 1.1x10^{-19}T^{-2.53}\exp(-483/T)\text{cm}^3\text{molecule}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}
\]

with an uncertainty factor of 1.1 at room temperature and of 2 at 2000 K. Note that this expression goes smoothly through the high temperature results. The Arrhenius plots of \(k_{\text{ab}}\) are given in Fig. 5. The work of Hagele et al. leads to \(k_b/k_a = 3.7\exp(-1020/T)\). The results of Meier et al. suggest that the branching ratio is subject to considerable uncertainty.
Fig. 5. Arrhenius plots of the rate constants $k_a + b$.
(W. Tsang, April 1985)
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### Table 38,7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Reaction rate constant, $k$/cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$</th>
<th>Uncertainty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH$_3$0H + HOO $\rightarrow$ H$_2$O$_2$ + CH$_2$OH (a)</td>
<td>$k_a=1.6\times10^{-13}\exp(-6330/T)$</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comments and Recommendations**

There are no data. We suggest the use for $k_a$ of the same rate expression as recommended by Walker [R.W. Walker, “Rate Constants for Reactions in Gas Phase Hydrocarbon Oxidation”, in ‘Gas Kinetics and Energy Transfer’, ed. P.G. Ashmore and R.J. Donovan (Specialist Periodical Reports), The Chemical Society, London, 1977, Vol. 2, p. 286] for H$_2$O + C$_3$H$_8$ $\rightarrow$ C$_3$H$_7$ + H$_2$O$_2$, i.e.

$$k_a = 1.6\times10^{-13}\exp(-6330/T) \text{cm}^3\text{molecule}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$$

The uncertainty is a factor of 10. The exothermicity of the abstraction process will make (a) the favored channel. Reaction (b) is endothermic.

(W. Tsang, April 1985)

### Table 38,15

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Reaction rate constant, $k$/cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$</th>
<th>Uncertainty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH$_3$0H + HOO $\rightarrow$ CH$_2$OH + H$_2$CO (a)</td>
<td>$k_a=1.6\times10^{-20}\exp(-6596/T)$</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comments and Recommendations**

There are no data. We have used the equilibrium constant and the estimated rate expression for the reverse reaction (38,12) to obtain the following value for $k_a$

$$k_a=1.6\times10^{-20}\exp(-6596/T) \text{cm}^3\text{molecule}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$$

with an uncertainty of a factor of 10. The rate constant of the second channel is estimated to be a factor of 100 or more slower on the basis of the reverse process, CH$_3$0 + HCHO (24,12), and the equilibrium constant.

(W. Tsang, May 1985)
\[38,16 \text{ CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{CH}_3 \rightarrow \text{CH}_4 + \text{CH}_2\text{OH} \ (a)\]
\[\rightarrow \text{CH}_4 + \text{CH}_3\text{O} \ (b)\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Temp./K</th>
<th>[M]/molecule cm(^{-3})</th>
<th>Reaction rate constant, (k/cm^3\text{molecule}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1})</th>
<th>Uncertainty factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spindler, Wagner (1982)</td>
<td>1600-2100</td>
<td>0.6-33.0x10(^{18}) Ar</td>
<td>(k_{a+b} = 1.5x10^{-11}\exp(-4940/T))</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(Shock tube. CH(_3) ab-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>sorption in CH(_3)OH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerr, Parsonage (1976)</td>
<td>350-550</td>
<td></td>
<td>(k_a = 3.24x10^{-12}\exp(-5035/T))</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(review)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(k_b = 1.0x10^{-13}\exp(-4884/T))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommended value</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(k_a = 5.3x10^{-23}\exp(-3509/T))</td>
<td>1.4 up to 600K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommended value</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(k_b = 2.4x10^{-23}\exp(-3490/T))</td>
<td>3 at 2000K</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments and Recommendations

Using the results of Kerr and Parsonage and of a BBO calculation, we suggest:

\[k_a = 5.3x10^{-23}\exp(-3509/T)\text{ cm}^3\text{molecule}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}\]
\[k_b = 2.4x10^{-23}\exp(-3490/T)\text{ cm}^3\text{molecule}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}\]

with an uncertainty factor of 1.4 up to 600 K and increasing to 3 at 2000 K.

Arrhenius plots of \(k_a\) and \(k_b\) are given in Fig. 6.

---

**Fig. 6.** Arrhenius plots of the rate constants \(k_a\) and \(k_b\).  
(W. Tsang, April 1985)
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#### CHEMICAL KINETIC DATA BASE FOR COMBUSTION CHEMISTRY

**38.17 CH₃OH + C₂H₅ → C₂H₆ + CH₂OH (a)**

- **Reaction:** \( \cdot \)
- **Conditions:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Temp./K</th>
<th>[M]/molecule cm⁻³</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recommended value</td>
<td>( k_a = 5.3 \cdot 10^{-23} T^{3.17} \exp(-4610/T) ) cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommended value</td>
<td>( k_b = 2.4 \cdot 10^{-23} T^{3.1} \exp(-4500/T) ) cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comments and Recommendations**

There are no data. However, ethyl radicals are known to be considerably less reactive than methyl. We suggest the use of the rate expressions:

\[ k_a = 5.3 \cdot 10^{-23} T^{3.17} \exp(-4610/T) \text{cm}^3 \text{molecule}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \]

\[ k_b = 2.4 \cdot 10^{-23} T^{3.1} \exp(-4500/T) \text{cm}^3 \text{molecule}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \]

This is based on the reaction of CH₃ with CH₃OH (38.16) and the observed trends in reactivity of methyl and ethyl radicals with hydrocarbons. The uncertainties are a factor of 3.

(W. Tsang, April 1985)

#### \[ \cdot \]

---

#### CHEMICAL KINETIC DATA BASE FOR COMBUSTION CHEMISTRY

**38.19 CH₃OH + C₂H₅ → C₂H₆ + CH₂OH (a)**

- **Reaction:** \( \cdot \)
- **Conditions:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Temp./K</th>
<th>[M]/molecule cm⁻³</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recommended value</td>
<td>( k_a = 5.3 \cdot 10^{-23} T^{3.17} \exp(-3600/T) ) cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommended value</td>
<td>( k_b = 2.4 \cdot 10^{-23} T^{3.1} \exp(-3400/T) ) cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comments and Recommendations**

There are no data. As a very rough estimate we suggest for reaction (a) the use of our estimated rate expressions for methyl attack on methanol (38.16), i.e.

\[ k_a = 5.3 \cdot 10^{-23} T^{3.17} \exp(-3600/T) \text{cm}^3 \text{molecule}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \] and \( k_b = 2.4 \cdot 10^{-23} T^{3.1} \exp(-3400/T) \text{cm}^3 \text{molecule}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \). The uncertainties are a factor of 10.

(W. Tsang, May 1985)
38.21 $\text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{C}_2\text{H}_2 \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{H} + \text{CH}_2\text{OH}$ (a) 
$\rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{O} + \text{C}_2\text{H}_2$ (b)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Temp./K</th>
<th>[M]/molecule cm$^{-3}$</th>
<th>Reaction rate constant, $k$/cm$^3$molecule$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$</th>
<th>Uncertainty factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recommended value</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$k_a = 1 \times 10^{-11}$</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommended value</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$k_b = 2 \times 10^{-12}$</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comments and Recommendations**

There are no data. We suggest for this reaction the following rate expressions:

\[ k_a = 1.0 \times 10^{-11} \text{cm}^3\text{molecule}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1} \]
\[ k_b = 2.0 \times 10^{-12} \text{cm}^3\text{molecule}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1} \]

with uncertainties of a factor of 5. Our estimates are based on measurements of $\text{C}_2\text{H}$ attack on $\text{CH}_4$ (21,10) and $\text{C}_2\text{H}_6$ (21,11).

(W. Tsang, May 1985)

38.22 $\text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{CH}_3\text{CO} \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{CHO} + \text{CH}_2\text{OH}$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Temp./K</th>
<th>[M]/molecule cm$^{-3}$</th>
<th>Reaction rate constant, Uncertainty factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>log $K_p = 0.54426 - 1463.33/T - 19906/T^2 + 6.0754 \times 10^6/T^3$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Recommended value**

$8.05 \times 10^{-21}T^{2.99} \exp(-6210/T)$

**Comments and Recommendations**

There are no data. Using our estimate for the reverse rate, which should be typical for the attack of alkyl radicals on an aldehyde hydrogen, and the equilibrium constant we find

\[ k(\text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{CH}_3\text{CO} \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{CHO} + \text{CH}_2\text{OH}) = 8.05 \times 10^{-21}T^{2.99} \exp(-6210/T) \text{cm}^3\text{molecule}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1} \]

We estimate an uncertainty of a factor of 3. As expected, the rate constants are very similar to those for HCO attack on methanol. Hydroxyl hydrogen abstraction should be much slower and will not be important under combustion conditions.

(W. Tsang, May 1985)
38.23 $\text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{CH}_3\text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{OOH} + \text{CH}_2\text{O}$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Reaction rate constant, $k/\text{cm}^3\text{molecule}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$</th>
<th>Uncertainty factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anastasi, Hancock (1984)</td>
<td>600 $5.0\times10^{18}$ $\text{N}_2$, acetone, oxygen, methanol.</td>
<td>$3.2\times10^{-18}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recommended value</td>
<td>$3\times10^{-13}\exp(-6900/T)$</td>
<td>$1.3$ at $600\text{K}$, increasing to $2$ at $1000\text{K}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments and Recommendations

Assuming an $A$-factor of $3.0\times10^{-13}\text{cm}^3\text{molecule}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ we obtain the rate expression

$$ k(\text{CH}_3\text{O}_2 + \text{CH}_3\text{OH} \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{OOH} + \text{CH}_3\text{O}) = 3.0\times10^{-13}\exp(-6900/T)\text{cm}^3\text{molecule}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1} $$

We estimate the uncertainty to be a factor of $1.3$ at $600\text{K}$, increasing to a factor of $2$ at $1000\text{K}$.

(W. Tsang, May 1985)
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38.24 $\text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{CH}_3\text{O} \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{CH}_2\text{O}$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Reaction rate constant, $k/\text{cm}^3\text{molecule}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$</th>
<th>Uncertainty factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recommended value</td>
<td>$5\times10^{-13}\exp(-2050/T)$</td>
<td>$10$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments and Recommendations

There are no data. We recommend for this reaction the use of the rate expression $5.0\times10^{-13}\exp(-2050/T)\text{cm}^3\text{molecule}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$, which is $3$ times $(1$ tertiary hydrogen vs. $3$ in $\text{CH}_3\text{OH})$ attack on isobutane (J.A. Kerr and S.J. Moss, Handbook of Bimolecular and Termolecular Gas Reactions, Vol I, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1981).

The uncertainty is a factor of $10$.

(W. Tsang, May 1985)
WING TSANG

38.25 \ce{CH_3OH + ^1CH_2 \rightarrow C_2H_5OH (a)}
\rightarrow \ce{CH_3 + CH_2OH (b)}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Temp./K</th>
<th>([M]/\text{molecule cm}^{-3})</th>
<th>Reaction rate constant, (k/\text{cm}^3\text{molecule}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1})</th>
<th>Uncertainty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Recommended value</td>
<td>2.5x10^{-12}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments and Recommendations

Although there are no data, there is no doubt that insertion (a) is the main reaction. The hot adduct can dissociate or stabilize. On a strong collision basis the fractional decomposition rates are summarized in Table A. Collision efficiencies can be found in Table B. The absolute rate is estimated to be 2.5x10^{-12} cm^3 molecule^{-1} s^{-1}, with an uncertainty factor of 3. This is based on the rate of \(^1\ce{CH_2}\) insertion into the secondary \(\ce{CH_2}\) bonds in \(\ce{C_2H_5}\). Under combustion conditions at 0.1, 1.0 and 10 atm, the predominant reaction is decomposition. There is, however, also the possibility of a direct elimination to form \(\ce{C_2H_4 + H_2O}\). We are unable to assess the importance of this channel although we suspect that it will not make any larger contribution than a bond dissociation reaction. Note that the abstraction process (b) will yield the same products as decomposition.

Table A. \(\log \left( \frac{k_b}{k_{a+b}} \right)\) as a function of density and temperature for the insertion of \(^1\ce{CH_2}\) in methanol assuming strong collisions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(\log[M])</th>
<th>300</th>
<th>500</th>
<th>700</th>
<th>900</th>
<th>1100</th>
<th>1300</th>
<th>1500</th>
<th>1700</th>
<th>1900</th>
<th>2100</th>
<th>2300</th>
<th>2500</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>-0.37</td>
<td>-0.30</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>-0.71</td>
<td>-0.59</td>
<td>-0.43</td>
<td>-0.31</td>
<td>-0.21</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>-1.15</td>
<td>-0.98</td>
<td>-0.76</td>
<td>-0.57</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
<td>-0.30</td>
<td>-0.21</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>-1.62</td>
<td>-1.44</td>
<td>-1.18</td>
<td>-0.94</td>
<td>-0.72</td>
<td>-0.55</td>
<td>-0.41</td>
<td>-0.31</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>-2.11</td>
<td>-1.92</td>
<td>-1.65</td>
<td>-1.36</td>
<td>-1.10</td>
<td>-0.89</td>
<td>-0.70</td>
<td>-0.56</td>
<td>-0.44</td>
<td>-0.37</td>
<td>-0.29</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>-2.61</td>
<td>-2.41</td>
<td>-2.14</td>
<td>-1.84</td>
<td>-1.55</td>
<td>-1.31</td>
<td>-1.08</td>
<td>-0.80</td>
<td>-0.75</td>
<td>-0.62</td>
<td>-0.52</td>
<td>-0.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table B. Collision efficiency, $\beta_c$, for the decomposition of $\text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{OH}$ as a function of temperature and step size:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T/K</th>
<th>Step-size (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>700</td>
<td>0.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1100</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1300</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1700</td>
<td>0.0034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1900</td>
<td>0.0023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2100</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2300</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(W. Tsang, May 1985)

$38,26 \text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{^3CH}_2 \rightarrow \text{CH}_3 + \text{CH}_2\text{OH}$ (a)

$\rightarrow \text{CH}_3 + \text{CH}_3\text{O}$ (b)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Reaction rate constant, $k$/cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$</th>
<th>Uncertainty factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Temp./K</td>
<td>[M]/molecule cm$^{-3}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommended value</td>
<td>$k_a=5.3\times10^{-23}T^{3.17}\exp(-3800/T)$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommended value</td>
<td>$k_b=2.4\times10^{-23}T^{3.1}\exp(-3400/T)$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments and Recommendations

There are no data. All existing data on abstraction reactions of $\text{^3CH}_2$ are upper limits. For example, see $\text{^3CH}_2\text{H}_2$ (26,2), $\text{^3CH}_2\text{+H}_4$ (26,10), $\text{^3CH}_2\text{+C}_2\text{H}$ (26,11). The energetics of the processes is very similar to that for $\text{CH}_3$ abstraction. We therefore suggest the use of our recommended values for $\text{CH}_3$ attack on methanol:

\[
k_a(\text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{^3CH}_2 \rightarrow \text{CH}_3 + \text{CH}_2\text{OH}) = 5.2\times10^{-23}T^{3.17}\exp(-3800/T)\text{cm}^3\text{molecule}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}
\]

\[
k_b(\text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{^3CH}_2 \rightarrow \text{CH}_3 + \text{CH}_3\text{O}) = 2.4\times10^{-23}T^{3.1}\exp(-3400/T)\text{cm}^3\text{molecule}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}
\]

(W. Tsang, May 1985)
39.1 \( \text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{M} \rightarrow \text{H} + \text{HCHO} + \text{M} \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Temp./K</th>
<th>[M]/molecule cm(^{-3})</th>
<th>Reaction rate constant, (k/cm^3\text{molecule}^{-1}s^{-1})</th>
<th>Uncertainty factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recommended value</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(k_0 = 7.5T^{-2.5}\exp(-17205/T))</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommended value</td>
<td>900-2500</td>
<td>(\text{N}_2), 0.1-10 atm.</td>
<td></td>
<td>(1.9 \times 10^{19}T^{-8}\exp(-21645/T))</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comments and Recommendations**

There are no data. The values of the rate constant from the modelling of methanol pyrolysis are subject to large uncertainties. We have derived values of the rate constants using RRKM calculations and assuming a high pressure A-factor of \(2.0 \times 10^{13}\) (characteristic of radical decomposition) at 500 K and an activation energy for the reverse process of 13 kJ. The second order rate expression for hydroxymethyl decomposition is then \(7.5T^{-2.5}\exp(-17205/T)\text{cm}^3\text{molecule}^{-1}s^{-1}\). Corrections to take into account pressure effects can be found in Table A. Collision efficiencies can be found in Table B. The rate expression for decomposition at 1 atm \(\text{N}_2\) and 900-2500 K and with a step size down of 500 cm\(^{-1}\) is: \(1.9 \times 10^{19}T^{-8}\exp(-21645/T)\text{cm}^3\text{molecule}^{-1}s^{-1}\). The uncertainties are factors of 4. Over this temperature range, this expression should also be valid at 0.1 and 10 atm.

**Table A.** \(\log(k/k_0)\) as a function of concentration and temperature for \(\text{CH}_2\text{OH}\) decomposition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(\log([M]))</th>
<th>300</th>
<th>500</th>
<th>700</th>
<th>900</th>
<th>1100</th>
<th>1300</th>
<th>1500</th>
<th>1700</th>
<th>1800</th>
<th>2100</th>
<th>2300</th>
<th>2500</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>-0.40</td>
<td>-0.34</td>
<td>-0.28</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
<td>-0.21</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>-0.74</td>
<td>-0.62</td>
<td>-0.52</td>
<td>-0.46</td>
<td>-0.39</td>
<td>-0.34</td>
<td>-0.30</td>
<td>-0.26</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>-1.16</td>
<td>-1.10</td>
<td>-0.86</td>
<td>-0.74</td>
<td>-0.64</td>
<td>-0.56</td>
<td>-0.49</td>
<td>-0.44</td>
<td>-0.38</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
<td>-0.30</td>
<td>-0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>-1.68</td>
<td>-1.43</td>
<td>-1.26</td>
<td>-1.10</td>
<td>-0.97</td>
<td>-0.85</td>
<td>-0.75</td>
<td>-0.67</td>
<td>-0.59</td>
<td>-0.52</td>
<td>-0.46</td>
<td>-0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>-2.11</td>
<td>-1.91</td>
<td>-1.70</td>
<td>-1.52</td>
<td>-1.35</td>
<td>-1.20</td>
<td>-1.07</td>
<td>-0.96</td>
<td>-0.86</td>
<td>-0.77</td>
<td>-0.69</td>
<td>-0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>-2.60</td>
<td>-2.40</td>
<td>-2.20</td>
<td>-1.98</td>
<td>-1.79</td>
<td>-1.61</td>
<td>-1.46</td>
<td>-1.32</td>
<td>-1.20</td>
<td>-1.08</td>
<td>-0.98</td>
<td>-0.91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### CHEMICAL KINETIC DATA BASE FOR COMBUSTION CHEMISTRY

#### Table B. Collision efficiency, \( \beta_c \), as a function of step size down and temperature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T/K</th>
<th>Step-size (cm(^{-1}))</th>
<th>150</th>
<th>300</th>
<th>600</th>
<th>1200</th>
<th>2400</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>700</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1100</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1300</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1700</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.097</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1900</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.0074</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2100</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0015</td>
<td>0.0053</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2300</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.0038</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.0038</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(W. Tsang, May 1985)

#### 39.2 \( \text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{H}_2 \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{H} \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Reaction rate constant, ( k/\text{cm}^3\text{molecule}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1} )</th>
<th>Uncertainty factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \log K_p = -1.8034 - 1741.76/T + 12769/T^2 - 0.3547 \times 10^7/T^3 )</td>
<td>500-2500</td>
<td>1.12x10(^{-18})</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments and Recommendations:

There are no data. From \( k \) values for reverse reaction (38.4) and equilibrium constant we obtain the recommended expression. Estimated uncertainty factor is 4.

(W. Tsang, May 1985)

#### 39.3 \( \text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{HCHO} + \text{HO}_2 \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Reaction rate constant, ( k/\text{cm}^3\text{molecule}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1} )</th>
<th>Uncertainty factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Radford (1980)</td>
<td>300 1.6x10(^{16}) ( \text{He} ), ( (1.0-4.0) \times 10^{14} \text{CH}_2\text{OH}, \text{O}_2 )</td>
<td>2.0x10(^{-12})</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommended value</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.0x10(^{-12})</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments and Recommendations:

We recommend use of the reported value over all temperatures.

(W. Tsang, May 1985)

---

References

39, 4 H + CH₂OH → HCHO + H₂ (a)
→ CH₃ + OH (b)
→ CH₃OH (c)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Reaction rate constant, k/cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹</th>
<th>Uncertainty factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Temp./K</td>
<td>[M]/molecule cm⁻³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommended value</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>500 700 900 1100 1300 1500 1700 1900 2100 2300 2500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommended value</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>500 700 900 1100 1300 1500 1700 1900 2100 2300 2500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments and Recommendations
There are no data. We estimate that the disproportionation reaction (a) will be somewhat faster than that for C₂H₅TH (17, 3), i.e., kₐ = 1.0x10⁻¹¹ cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹ with an uncertainty of a factor of 3. The addition process (b) is the main reaction. We estimate its rate constant to be 1.6x10⁻¹⁰ cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹ with an uncertainty of a factor of 2. Calculations show that, because of the existence of an endothermic decomposition channel, the rate constant is virtually independent of pressure. The branching ratio on the basis of strong collisions can be found in Table A. Collision efficiencies, as a function of step size down and temperature, can be found in Table B. In the pressure range of 0.1, 1 and 10 atm, under higher temperature conditions decomposition is the predominant process. Here we assume 500 cm⁻¹ for step size down for N₂ and 1000 cm⁻¹ as the step size down for CH₃OH. This is based on the general trends established in our analysis in the Introduction.

Table A. log (kₐ/kₐ+c) as a result of hydrogen addition to hydroxymethyl radical under strong collision conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>log[M]</th>
<th>300</th>
<th>500</th>
<th>700</th>
<th>900</th>
<th>1100</th>
<th>1300</th>
<th>1500</th>
<th>1700</th>
<th>1900</th>
<th>2100</th>
<th>2300</th>
<th>2500</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>-0.43</td>
<td>-0.27</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>-0.76</td>
<td>-0.52</td>
<td>-0.35</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>-1.20</td>
<td>-0.86</td>
<td>-0.60</td>
<td>-0.43</td>
<td>-0.29</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>-1.68</td>
<td>-1.29</td>
<td>-0.95</td>
<td>-0.69</td>
<td>-0.50</td>
<td>-0.36</td>
<td>-0.27</td>
<td>-0.21</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>-2.16</td>
<td>-1.75</td>
<td>-1.36</td>
<td>-1.04</td>
<td>-0.80</td>
<td>-0.62</td>
<td>-0.48</td>
<td>-0.39</td>
<td>-0.31</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>-2.67</td>
<td>-2.24</td>
<td>-1.82</td>
<td>-1.45</td>
<td>-1.17</td>
<td>-0.95</td>
<td>-0.77</td>
<td>-0.63</td>
<td>-0.52</td>
<td>-0.44</td>
<td>-0.36</td>
<td>-0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>-3.18</td>
<td>-2.74</td>
<td>-2.30</td>
<td>-1.92</td>
<td>-1.60</td>
<td>-1.34</td>
<td>-1.17</td>
<td>-0.96</td>
<td>-0.81</td>
<td>-0.70</td>
<td>-0.61</td>
<td>-0.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## CHEMICAL KINETIC DATA BASE FOR COMBUSTION CHEMISTRY

Table B. Collision efficiency, $\delta c$, as a function of temperature and step size down

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T/K</th>
<th>Step-size (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>150</th>
<th>300</th>
<th>600</th>
<th>1200</th>
<th>2400</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>700</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1100</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1300</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1700</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1900</td>
<td>0.0045</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2100</td>
<td>0.0034</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2300</td>
<td>0.0026</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Th T. Tsang, May 1985)

### 39.5 $\text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{O} \rightarrow \text{HCHO} + \text{OH}$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Temp./K</th>
<th>[M]/molecule cm$^{-3}$</th>
<th>Reaction rate constant, $k$/cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$</th>
<th>Uncertainty factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Recommended value**

$7 \times 10^{-11}$  

2

**Comments and Recommendations**

There are no data. We estimate a rate constant of $7.0 \times 10^{-11}$ cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ with an uncertainty of a factor of 2.

(Th T. Tsang, May 1985)

### 39.6 $\text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{HCHO}$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Temp./K</th>
<th>[M]/molecule cm$^{-3}$</th>
<th>Reaction rate constant, $k$/cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$</th>
<th>Uncertainty factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Recommended value**

$4 \times 10^{-11}$  

2

**Comments and Recommendations**

There are no data. The estimated rate constant is $4.0 \times 10^{-11}$ cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ with an uncertainty of a factor of 2.

(Th T. Tsang, May 1985)

39.7 $\text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{HCO}$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Reaction rate constant, $k$/cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$</th>
<th>Uncertainty factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Temp./K</td>
<td>[M]/molecule cm$^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommended value</td>
<td>$2 \times 10^{-11}$</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments and Recommendations
There are no data. We recommend the rate constant $2.0 \times 10^{-11}$cm$^3$molecule$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$ with an uncertainty of a factor of 2.

(W. Tsang, May 1985)

39.8 $\text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{HO}_2$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Reaction rate constant, $k$/cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$</th>
<th>Uncertainty factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Temp./K</td>
<td>[M]/molecule cm$^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>log $K_p = -1.5963 + 2322.74/T - 80424.5/T^2 + 5.947 \times 10^6/T^3$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommended value</td>
<td>$5 \times 10^{-15} \exp(-1300/T)$</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments and Recommendations
There are no data. We have used our estimated rate expression for the reverse process (38,7) and the equilibrium constant to derive the following $k_{39,8}$ value $5.0 \times 10^{-15} \exp(-1300/T)$cm$^3$molecule$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$. The estimated uncertainty factor is 10.

(W. Tsang, May 1985)

39.9 $\text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{CH}_4 \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{CH}_3$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Reaction rate constant, $k$/cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$</th>
<th>Uncertainty factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Temp./K</td>
<td>[M]/molecule cm$^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>log $K_p = -0.2933 - 1935.86/T - 20872/T^2 + 3.602 \times 10^6/T^3$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommended value</td>
<td>$3.6 \times 10^{-23} + 2.14 \exp(-8166/T)$</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments and Recommendations
There are no data. We have used the rate expression for the reverse process, $\text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{CH}_3 \rightarrow \text{CH}_4 + \text{CH}_2\text{OH}$ (38,16), and the equilibrium constant to derive the rate expression.
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\[ k(\text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{CH}_4 \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{CH}_3) = 3.8 \times 10^{-23}T^{3.16}\exp(-8166/T)\text{cm}^3\text{molecule}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1} \]

The uncertainties are due mostly to possible errors in the heat of formation of \( \text{CH}_2\text{OH} \), which we estimate, will lead to an uncertainty factor of 3 from 700 K up. (W. Tsang, May 1985)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>39.11 ( \text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{C}_2\text{H}_6 \rightarrow \text{C}_2\text{H}_5 + \text{CH}_3\text{OH} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conditions</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>log ( K_p ) = 0.02297-913.89/T-25937/T^2+1.4666 \times 10^6/T^3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comments and Recommendations**
There are no data. We have used our estimated rate expression for the reverse reaction and the equilibrium constant to derive
\[ k(\text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{C}_2\text{H}_6 \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{C}_2\text{H}_5) = 3.3 \times 10^{-22}T^{2.95}\exp(-7033/T)\text{cm}^3\text{molecule}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1} \]
The uncertainty is a factor of 5. (W. Tsang, May 1985)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>39.12 ( \text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{HCHO} \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{HCO} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conditions</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommended value</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comments and Recommendations**
There are no data. In view of the constancy of the rate of alkyl radical attack on the aldehydic H at 182 C (J. A. Kerr and A. F. Trotman-Dickenson, "The Reactions of Alkyl Radicals," in 'Progress in Reaction Kinetics, Vol 1,' G. Porter ed., Pergamon Press, NY, 1961, p. 107), we suggest use of our recommended rate expression for \( \text{CH}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{CO} (12,10) \) leading to:
\[ k(\text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{HCHO} \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{HCO}) = 9.1 \times 10^{-21}T^{2.81}\exp(-2950/T)\text{cm}^3\text{molecule}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1} \]
with an uncertainty of a factor of 3. (W. Tsang, May 1985)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Reaction rate constant, $k$/cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$</th>
<th>Uncertainty factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recommended value</td>
<td>$k_a = 2 \times 10^{-10}$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommended value</td>
<td>$k_b = 3 \times 10^{-10}$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comments and Recommendations**

There are no data. We believe that disproportionation will be the predominant process and that it will favor the most exothermic channel. We estimate rate constants of $2.0 \times 10^{-10}$ cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$ for (a) and $3.0 \times 10^{-11}$ cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$ for (b). The uncertainties are a factor of 3 for each process.

(W. Tsang, May 1985)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Reaction rate constant, $k$/cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$</th>
<th>Uncertainty factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recommended value</td>
<td>$k_a = 2 \times 10^{-11}$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommended value</td>
<td>$k_b = 4 \times 10^{-12}$</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comments and Recommendations**

There are no data. The high pressure rate constant for combination should be $2.0 \times 10^{-11}$ cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$ with an uncertainty of a factor of 2. Fall-off behavior on a strong collision basis can be found in Table A. Collision efficiencies as a function of temperature and step size down are given in Table B. Departure from strong collision behavior at 0.1, 1.0 and 10.0 atms for (Ar) and $C_2H_5OH$ are as follows:

$$
\log(k(Ar, 0.1)/k) = 0.794 - 8.694 \times 10^{-4}T - 3.794 \times 10^{-7}T^2 \\
\log(k(Ar, 1.0)/k) = 0.202 + 1.755 \times 10^{-4}T - 5.614 \times 10^{-7}T^2 \\
\log(k(Ar, 10)/k) = -0.29 + 8.827 \times 10^{-4}T - 6.307 \times 10^{-7}T^2 \\
\text{and}$$

$$
\log(k(C_2H_5OH, 0.1)/k) = 0.486 - 3.630 \times 10^{-4}T - 4.395 \times 10^{-7}T^2 \\
\log(k(C_2H_5OH, 1.0)/k) = -0.070 + 5.603 \times 10^{-4}T - 5.893 \times 10^{-7}T^2 \\
\log(k(C_2H_5OH, 10)/k) = -0.405 + 9.694 \times 10^{-4}T - 5.666 \times 10^{-7}T^2 \\
\text{and}$$
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where we have assumed step sizes down of 500 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1000 cm\(^{-1}\), respectively. The results for argon should also be applicable for nitrogen.

For the disproportionation process, we estimate \(k_b/k_a \approx 0.2\) with an uncertainty of a factor of 5.

Table A. Fall off behavior \(\log(k/k_0)\) for the combination of methyl and hydroxymethyl radicals assuming strong collisions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(\log[M])</th>
<th>T/K</th>
<th>500</th>
<th>700</th>
<th>900</th>
<th>1100</th>
<th>1300</th>
<th>1500</th>
<th>1700</th>
<th>1900</th>
<th>2100</th>
<th>2300</th>
<th>2500</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>-0.36</td>
<td>-0.59</td>
<td>-0.87</td>
<td>-1.16</td>
<td>-1.50</td>
<td>-1.81</td>
<td>-2.11</td>
<td>-2.4</td>
<td>-2.08</td>
<td>-2.95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>-0.21</td>
<td>-0.39</td>
<td>-0.62</td>
<td>-0.87</td>
<td>-1.19</td>
<td>-1.46</td>
<td>-1.74</td>
<td>-2.01</td>
<td>-2.27</td>
<td>-2.52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
<td>-0.63</td>
<td>-0.90</td>
<td>-1.15</td>
<td>-1.40</td>
<td>-1.64</td>
<td>-1.88</td>
<td>-2.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>-0.26</td>
<td>-0.43</td>
<td>-0.65</td>
<td>-0.86</td>
<td>-1.08</td>
<td>-1.29</td>
<td>-1.52</td>
<td>-1.73</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>-0.27</td>
<td>-0.44</td>
<td>-0.61</td>
<td>-0.80</td>
<td>-0.99</td>
<td>-1.18</td>
<td>-1.37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>-0.28</td>
<td>-0.41</td>
<td>-0.56</td>
<td>-0.72</td>
<td>-0.88</td>
<td>-1.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>-0.26</td>
<td>-0.37</td>
<td>-0.49</td>
<td>-0.62</td>
<td>-0.76</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
<td>-0.31</td>
<td>-0.41</td>
<td>-0.59</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
<td>-0.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table B. Collision efficiency, \(\beta_c\), for the combination of methyl and hydroxymethyl radicals as a function of temperature and step size down

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T/K</th>
<th>150</th>
<th>300</th>
<th>600</th>
<th>1200</th>
<th>2400</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.173</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>700</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>0.124</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1100</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1300</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1700</td>
<td>0.0034</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1900</td>
<td>0.0023</td>
<td>0.0082</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2100</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
<td>0.0055</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2300</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.0037</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>0.0024</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(W. Tsang, May 1985)
\begin{align*}
39.17 \quad & C_2H_5 + CH_2OH \rightarrow n-C_3H_7OH \quad \text{(a)} \\
& \quad \rightarrow C_2H_4 + \text{CHO} \quad \text{(b)} \\
& \quad \rightarrow C_2H_4 + \text{CH}_3\text{OH} \quad \text{(c)} \\
\end{align*}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Temp. / K</th>
<th>[M] / molecule cm(^{-3})</th>
<th>Reaction rate constant, (k/\text{cm}^3\text{molecule}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1})</th>
<th>Uncertainty factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recommended value</td>
<td>(k_a = 2 \times 10^{-11})</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommended value</td>
<td>(k_b = 4 \times 10^{-12})</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommended value</td>
<td>(k_c = 4 \times 10^{-12})</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments and Recommendations

There are no data. The combination rate must be very close to \(2 \times 10^{-11}\) cm\(^3\) molecule\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) with an uncertainty of a factor of 2. Fall off behavior does not become important until temperatures are in excess of 1000 K. In view of the instability of ethyl and hydroxymethyl, their lifetimes at 1000 K will be short and combination cannot be an important process. We estimate the rate constants of reactions (b) and (c) to be \(4 \times 10^{-12}\) cm\(^3\) molecule\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) with an uncertainty of a factor of 5. (W. Tsang, May 1985)

\begin{align*}
39.18 \quad & CH_2OH + C_2H_4 \rightarrow CH_2CH_2CH_2OH \quad \text{(a)} \\
& \quad \rightarrow C_2H_5 + \text{CHO} \quad \text{(b)} \\
\end{align*}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Temp. / K</th>
<th>[M] / molecule cm(^{-3})</th>
<th>Reaction rate constant, (k/\text{cm}^3\text{molecule}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1})</th>
<th>Uncertainty factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recommended value</td>
<td>(k_a = 8 \times 10^{-14}\exp(-3500/T))</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>(reversed under combustion conditions)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommended value</td>
<td>(k_b = k_a \exp(-2000/T)/(1+\exp(-2000/T)))</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments and Recommendations

There are no data. The rate constant for the addition process, as for \(n\)-propyl addition to ethylene (41,18), is estimated to be \(8.0 \times 10^{-14}\exp(-3500/T)\) cm\(^3\) molecule\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) since the rates of alkyl radical addition to ethylene are remarkably similar (J. A. Kerr and A. F. Trotman-Dickenson, "The Reactions of Alkyl Radicals," in "Progress in Reaction Kinetics" Vol. I (G. Porter, ed) Pergamon Press, New York, 1961, p. 105). However, under most combustion conditions the reaction is reversible. For reaction (b), which involves a 1-4 hydrogen shift, we suggest multiplying this rate expression by the factor \(\exp(-2000/T)/(1+\exp(-2000/T))\). The uncertainty is a factor of 5. (W. Tsang, May 1985)
39.19 \( \text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{C}_2\text{H}_4 \rightarrow \text{C}_2\text{H}_6 + \text{HCBO} \) (a) 
\( \rightarrow \text{C}_3\text{H}_5 + \text{OH} \) (b)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Reaction rate constant, ( k/\text{cm}^3\text{molecule}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1} )</th>
<th>Uncertainty factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Temp./K ( [M]/\text{molecule cm}^{-3} )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommended value</td>
<td>( k_a = 5 \times 10^{-11} )</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommended value</td>
<td>( k_b = 2 \times 10^{-11} )</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments and Recommendations

There are no data. The large reaction exothermicity will lead to disproportionation products with a rate constant near collisional \( 5 \times 10^{-11} \text{cm}^3\text{molecule}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1} \), with an uncertainty of a factor of 2.5. The combination process (b) will lead to a hot adduct, which will decompose under combustion conditions to give \( \text{C}_3\text{H}_5 \) and \( \text{OH} \). The rate expression is \( 2 \times 10^{-11} \text{cm}^3\text{molecule}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1} \) with an uncertainty of a factor of 2.

(N. Tsang, May 1985)

39.20 \( \text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{C}_2\text{H}_2 \rightarrow \text{C}_3\text{H}_4\text{OH} \) (a) 
\( \rightarrow \text{C}_2\text{H}_5 + \text{HCBO} \) (b)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Reaction rate constant, ( k/\text{cm}^3\text{molecule}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1} )</th>
<th>Uncertainty factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Temp./K ( [M]/\text{molecule cm}^{-3} )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommended value</td>
<td>( k_b = 1.2 \times 10^{-12} \exp(-4531/T) )</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments and Recommendations

There are no data. The rates of addition should be close to those for the addition of alkyl radicals to acetylene. The major uncertainty is the relative importance of the reverse reaction as compared to the hydrogen shift reaction (b). In view of the exothermicity of the latter, we believe it will be the major process and recommend \( k(\text{C}_2\text{H}_5 + \text{CH}_2\text{OH} \rightarrow \text{C}_2\text{H}_3 + \text{HCBO}) = 1.2 \times 10^{-12} \exp(-4531/T) \text{cm}^3\text{molecule}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1} \).

This is similar to that for alkyl radical addition to acetylene. The uncertainty is a factor of 3.

(N. Tsang, May 1985)
39.21 \( \text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{C}_2\text{H} \rightarrow \text{C}_2\text{H}_2 + \text{HCHO} \) (a) 
\( \rightarrow \text{C}_3\text{H}_3 + \text{OH} \) (b)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Reaction rate constant, ( k/\text{cm}^3\text{molecule}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1} )</th>
<th>Uncertainty factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Temp./K</td>
<td>[M]/molecule cm(^{-3})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommended value</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommended value</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments and Recommendations

There are no data. Taking into account the very exothermic nature of reaction (a), we estimate \( k_a(\text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{C}_2\text{H} \rightarrow \text{C}_2\text{H}_2 + \text{HCHO}) = 6.0 \times 10^{-11} \text{cm}^3\text{molecule}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1} \). The second decomposition channel involves combination followed by decomposition of the hot adduct. We estimate \( k_b(\text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{C}_2\text{H} \rightarrow \text{C}_3\text{H}_3 + \text{OH}) = 2.0 \times 10^{-11} \text{cm}^3\text{molecule}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1} \). The uncertainties are a factor of 5 and 3 respectively.

(W. Tsang, May 1985)

39.22 \( \text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{CH}_2\text{CO} \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{COCH}_2\text{OH} \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Reaction rate constant, ( k/\text{cm}^3\text{molecule}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1} )</th>
<th>Uncertainty factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Temp./K</td>
<td>[M]/molecule cm(^{-3})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommended value</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments and Recommendations

There are no data. Combination is the main process. The rate constant is estimated to be \( 2.0 \times 10^{-11} \text{cm}^3\text{molecule}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1} \), with an uncertainty of a factor of 2.

(W. Tsang, May 1985)

39.23 \( \text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{CH}_3\text{O}_2 \cdot \text{CH}_3\text{O} + \text{OH} + \text{HCHO} \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Reaction rate constant, ( k/\text{cm}^3\text{molecule}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1} )</th>
<th>Uncertainty factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Temp./K</td>
<td>[M]/molecule cm(^{-3})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommended value</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments and Recommendations

There are no data. Under combustion conditions combination followed by rapid decomposition of the peroxyde and \( \cdot \text{OCH}_2\text{OH} \) will lead to the production of \( \text{CH}_3\text{O} \), \( \text{OH} \) and \( \text{HCHO} \). We estimate the rate constant to be \( 2.0 \times 10^{-11} \text{cm}^3\text{molecule}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1} \) with an uncertainty of a factor of 3.

(W. Tsang, May 1985)
### CHEMICAL KINETIC DATA BASE FOR COMBUSTION CHEMISTRY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Reaction rate constant, $k$/cm$^3$molecule$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$</th>
<th>Uncertainty factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Temp./K</td>
<td>(M)/molecule cm$^{-3}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recommended value</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>$4 \times 10^{-11}$</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comments and Recommendations**

There are no data. We estimate the rate constant to be $4.0 \times 10^{-11}$ cm$^3$molecule$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$ with an uncertainty of a factor of 2.

(W. Tsang, May 1985)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Reaction rate constant, $k$/cm$^3$molecule$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$</th>
<th>Uncertainty factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Temp./K</td>
<td>(M)/molecule cm$^{-3}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recommended value</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>$3 \times 10^{-11}$</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comments and Recommendations**

There are no data. However, since $^1$CH$_2$ is known to insert extremely rapidly into C-H bonds, there can be no doubt that the product is an extremely hot adduct, which decomposes to acetaldehyde and a hydrogen atom. We suggest the use of a rate constant of $3.0 \times 10^{-11}$ cm$^3$molecule$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$, with an uncertainty factor of 2.

(W. Tsang, May 1985)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Reaction rate constant, $k$/cm$^3$molecule$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$</th>
<th>Uncertainty factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Temp./K</td>
<td>(M)/molecule cm$^{-3}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recommended value</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>$k_a=4 \times 10^{-11}$</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recommended value</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>$k_b=2 \times 10^{-12}$</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comments and Recommendations**

There are no data. One expects a fast combination, with a rate constant of $\sim 4.0 \times 10^{-11}$ cm$^3$molecule$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$, followed by decomposition of the adduct, since the reaction is highly exothermic. The uncertainty is a factor of 3. The disproportionation reaction may be considerably slower. We estimate a rate constant of $2.0 \times 10^{-12}$ cm$^3$molecule$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$ with an uncertainty of a factor of 3.

(W. Tsang, May 1985)
### 39.38 CH$_2$OH + CH$_3$OH $\rightarrow$ CH$_2$O + CH$_3$OH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Reaction rate constant, $k$/cm$^3$molecule$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Uncertainty factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temp./K</td>
<td>[M]/molecule cm$^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Log $K_p = -1.561 - 1817.2/T + 49176/T^2 - 1.444 \times 10^7/T^3$

Recommended value  

1.3x10$^{-14}$exp($-6070/T$)  
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**Comments and Recommendations**

There are no data. We have calculated the following recommended rate expression  

$k_{CH_2OH + CH_3OH \rightarrow CH_2O + CH_3OH} = 1.3 \times 10^{-14} \exp(-6070/T) \text{cm}^3\text{molecule}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$,  

using the estimated reverse rate (38,14) and the equilibrium constant. The estimated uncertainty is a factor of 10.

(W. Tsang, May 1985)

### 39.39 CB$_2$OH + CH$_2$OH $\rightarrow$ HOCH$_2$CH$_2$OH (a)  
$\rightarrow$ CH$_3$OH + HCHO (b)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Reaction rate constant, $k$/cm$^3$molecule$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Uncertainty factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temp./K</td>
<td>[M]/molecule cm$^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$k_a = 1.0 \times 10^{-11}$  

$k_b = 5 \times 10^{-12}$

**Comments and Recommendations**

The results of Meier et al. are in the expected range. One would expect that the greater exothermicity of the disproportionation process (b) than that for alkyl radicals would lead to a higher disproportionation to combination ratio. Therefore, we assign the value $k_b/k_a = 0.5$, with an uncertainty of a factor of 2.

(W. Tsang, May 1985)

**References**

4. Thermodynamic Data Tables

### Thermodynamic properties of CH₃OH (Species No. 38)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T/K</th>
<th>C_p</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>ΔH_f</th>
<th>ΔG_f</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>44.14</td>
<td>239.86</td>
<td>-201.17</td>
<td>-162.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>59.71</td>
<td>266.06</td>
<td>-207.86</td>
<td>-134.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>700</td>
<td>73.85</td>
<td>288.40</td>
<td>-212.71</td>
<td>-103.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900</td>
<td>84.98</td>
<td>308.44</td>
<td>-215.98</td>
<td>-103.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1100</td>
<td>93.60</td>
<td>326.45</td>
<td>-217.94</td>
<td>-40.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1300</td>
<td>100.25</td>
<td>342.54</td>
<td>-219.07</td>
<td>-7.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500</td>
<td>108.41</td>
<td>357.27</td>
<td>-219.57</td>
<td>24.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ \log K_p = -8.7463 + 12055.9/T - 402680/T^2 + 3.47379 	imes 10^7/T^3 \]

*September 1985*


### Thermodynamic properties of CH₂OH (Species No. 39)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T/K</th>
<th>C_p</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>ΔH_f</th>
<th>ΔG_f</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>43.01</td>
<td>255.55</td>
<td>-17.53</td>
<td>-2.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>54.82</td>
<td>280.28</td>
<td>-21.01</td>
<td>8.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>700</td>
<td>64.79</td>
<td>300.38</td>
<td>-25.27</td>
<td>20.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900</td>
<td>72.61</td>
<td>317.64</td>
<td>-27.72</td>
<td>34.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1100</td>
<td>78.75</td>
<td>332.83</td>
<td>-29.41</td>
<td>48.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1300</td>
<td>83.53</td>
<td>346.39</td>
<td>-30.56</td>
<td>62.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500</td>
<td>87.22</td>
<td>358.62</td>
<td>-31.39</td>
<td>76.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ \log K_p = -3.89504 + 2016.76/T - 305220/T^2 + 2.87909 	imes 10^7/T^3 \]

*September 1985*

Frequencies are: 3300 (1), 2950 (2), 1400 (3), 1030 (1), 800 (1). 1 Free Rotor; Moment of Inertia = 1.67 x 10⁻⁴₀ gm cm⁻² and symmetry = 1. IₓIᵧIž = 4.45 x 10⁻¹¹⁻⁷ gm⁻³ cm⁶. Symmetry No. = 1. Heat of Formation at 300 K = -17.53 kJ mol⁻¹ from W. Tsang, Int. J. Chem. Kin. 7, 173 (1976)
Parameters in the Lennard-Jones Potential used to calculate transport properties pertinent to unimolecular reactions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substance</th>
<th>$\sigma$ (Angstroms)</th>
<th>$\epsilon/k$ (K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Argon</td>
<td>3.542</td>
<td>93.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helium</td>
<td>2.551</td>
<td>10.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krypton</td>
<td>3.655</td>
<td>178.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neon</td>
<td>2.82</td>
<td>32.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air</td>
<td>3.711</td>
<td>78.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methane</td>
<td>3.758</td>
<td>148.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon Monoxide</td>
<td>3.690</td>
<td>91.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon Dioxide</td>
<td>3.841</td>
<td>195.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetylene</td>
<td>4.033</td>
<td>231.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylene</td>
<td>4.163</td>
<td>224.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethane</td>
<td>4.443</td>
<td>215.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen(N2)</td>
<td>2.827</td>
<td>59.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen(N2)</td>
<td>3.708</td>
<td>72.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen(O2)</td>
<td>3.467</td>
<td>106.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfur Hexafluoride</td>
<td>5.128</td>
<td>222.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>2.641</td>
<td>804.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>3.628</td>
<td>481.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydroxymethyl</td>
<td></td>
<td>we used same values as for methanol</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>