The Thermodynamics of the Krebs Cycle and Related Compounds

Cite as: Journal of Physical and Chemical Reference Data **19**, 1049 (1990); https:// doi.org/10.1063/1.555878 Submitted: 16 June 1988 . Published Online: 15 October 2009

Stanley L. Miller, and David Smith-Magowan



ARTICLES YOU MAY BE INTERESTED IN

Erratum: Heat Capacities and Entropies of Organic Compounds in the Condensed Phase [J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 13, Suppl. 1 (1984)] Journal of Physical and Chemical Reference Data **19**, 1075 (1990); https://doi.org/10.1063/1.555861

Selected Values of Heats of Combustion and Heats of Formation of Organic Compounds Containing the Elements C, H, N, O, P, and S. Journal of Physical and Chemical Reference Data 1, 221 (1972); https:// doi.org/10.1063/1.3253099

Thermodynamics of Enzyme-Catalyzed Reactions: Part 7–2007 Update Journal of Physical and Chemical Reference Data **36**, 1347 (2007); https:// doi.org/10.1063/1.2789450



Where in the world is AIP Publishing? Find out where we are exhibiting next



The Thermodynamics of the Krebs Cycle and Related Compounds

Stanley L. Miller

Department of Chemistry (B-017), University of California San Diego, La Jolla, California 92093

and

David Smith-Magowan^a

Chemical Thermodynamics Division, National Bureau of Standards, Gaithersburg, Maryland 20899

Received June 16, 1988; revised manuscript received March 20, 1990

A survey is made of the enthalpies of formation, third law entropies and Gibbs energies available for Krebs cycle and related compounds. These include formate, acetate, succinate, fumarate, glycine, alanine, aspartate and glutamate. The potential of the NAD+/NADH couple is recalculated based on the ethanol/acetaldehyde and isopropanol/acetone equilibria. The reported enzyme catalyzed equilibrium constants of the Krebs cycle reactions are evaluated with estimated errors. These 28 equilibria form a network of reactions that is solved by a least squares regression procedure giving Gibbs energies of formation for 21 Krebs cycle and related compounds. They appear to be accurate to \pm 0.4 kJ·mol⁻¹ for some compounds but $\pm 1 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ in less favorable cases. This procedure indicates which third law $\Delta_f G$ and enzyme equilibria are inaccurate, and allows very accurate ΔG to be determined for compounds related to the Krebs cycle by measuring enzyme equilibrium constants.

Keywords: enthalpy; entropy; enzyme equilibrium constants; Gibbs energies of formation; Krebs cycle compounds; NAD+/NADH potential; thermodynamic network.

Contents

1.	Introduction	1050
2.	The Network and Its Analysis	1050
	2.1. Conventions	1051
	2.2. Optically Active and Racemic Com-	
	pounds	1051
3.	Third Law Calculations and Ancillary Data.	1052
	3.1. Succinate and Fumarate	1052
	3.2. Glycine, Alanine, Aspartic Acid and	
	Glutamic Acid	1052
	3.3. Citrate	1053
	3.4. Formate	1053
	3.5. Acetate	1055
	3.6. Other Krebs Cycle Acids	1056
	3.7. Ionization Data	1056
	3.8. Gibbs Energies and Potentials for the	
	NAD ⁺ /NADH and NADP ⁺ /	
	NADPH systems	1058
4.	Evaluated Equilibria	1061
5.	Results and Discussion	1065
6.	Extensions and Improvements	1066

^{*}Deceased December 24, 1986.

(C)1990 by the U.S. Secretary of Commerce on behalf of the United-States. This copyright is assigned to the American Institute of Physics and the American Chemical Society.

Reprints available from ACS; see Reprints List at back of issue.

some of Sumbala and Terminalans

_ . _ .

/.	Glossary of Symbols and Terminology	1007
3.	Acknowledgements	1068

9.

. .

1067

List of Tables .

~

1.	CODATA values for elements and inor-	
	ganic compounds	1052
2.	Thermodynamic quantities for succinic acid	
	and fumaric acid	1053
3.	Thermodynamic data for several amino	
	acids	1054
4.	Selected third law $\Delta_f G$, $\Delta_f H$ and S° for	
	formic and acetic acids	1055
5.	$\Delta_{\rm f} H$ and $\Delta_{\rm sol} H$ of some Krebs cycle acids	1056
6.	Ionization data for some Krebs cycle com-	
	pounds	1057
7.	Calculation of the NAD ⁺ /NADH and	
	NADP ⁺ /NADPH potentials from the	
	ethanol/acetaldehyde and isopropanol/	
	acetone equilibria	1059
8.	Potentials of the pyridine nucleotide couples	
	at 25 °C, pH 0 and 7 and $I = 0.1 \text{ mol } \text{dm}^{-3}$.	1060
9.	Thermochemical network analysis of the	
	Krebs cycle network	1066
10.	Gibbs energies of formation in kJ·mol ⁻¹ of	
	Krebs cycle compounds	1067

List of Figures

1. Krebs cycle interconnections. 1051

1. Introduction

The Krebs cycle or citric acid cycle is the major pathway in aerobic organisms for the oxidation of sugars, fatty acids and some amino acids. The Krebs cycle produces NADH and FADH₂ from these compounds, which are oxidized by O_2 through the electron transport system producing ATP.

In anaerobic organisms the Krebs cycle does not operate in this manner unless there is a terminal electron acceptor to oxidize the NADH and FADH₂. Anerobic organisms still have the Krebs cycle enzymes, but the cycle is run in reverse and is used to synthesize alanine, aspartic acid and glutamic acid and amino acids derived from these. The cycle is believed to have originated as a synthetic cycle in primitive anaerobic bacteria [76 BRO].

In addition to amino acid synthesis, there is the glyoxylate bypass which is a modified Krebs cycle in certain microorganisms that permits the oxidation of acetate and the regeneration of the oxalacetate which is removed for amino acid synthesis and which is lost by decarboxylation.

These reactions form a network of interconnecting reactions, most of which are reversible, that can be used to determine the Gibbs energies of formation of these organic compounds. The usual method of calculating Gibbs energies of formation uses the enthalpy of formation from the enthalpy of combustion and the entropy from the third law and the measured heat capacities. This has not been particularly successful in practice to obtain accurate values of $\Delta_{f}G$ of organic compounds. usually because of the errors in the enthalpy of formation. A more accurate procedure would be to measure the equilibrium constants for a set of reactions, and combine these with a few third law $\Delta_f G$'s to obtain accurate values of $\Delta_t G$ for the remaining compounds. An equilibrium constant measured to an accuracy of 4% corresponds to an error of 0.1 kJ in the $\Delta_f G$. There are few $\Delta_{f}G$ available with an accuracy of 0.1 kJ, yet an accuracy of 4% on an equilibrium constant is not difficult to obtain in many cases. Thus a large number of very accurate $\Delta_f G$ can be obtained by determining equilibrium constants of an appropriately connected series of reactions.

This is not a new idea. Lewis and Randall [23 LEW/ RAN] discussed it many years ago and used it to determine the $\Delta_t G$ of urea and related compounds. Burton [53BUR/KRE], [57BUR] employed it to a limited extent for some biochemical compounds. However, the Krebs cycle is a more favorable case on which to apply this principle.

There are several sources for compiled reference values for the formation energies of the species in the Krebs cycle, including Burton and Krebs [57BUR], [53BUR/ KRE] Wilhoit [69WIL], and Johnson [60JOH]. Thauer et al. [77THA/JUN] presented a review of energy conservation in chemotrophic anaerobes which included extensive tables of formation Gibbs energies and Gibbs energies for numerous reactions relevant to metabolic activity. These were largely revisions of the data presented by Burton [57BUR]. There is also a recent book *Thermodynamic Data for Biochemistry and Biotechnology* [86HIN] that has a number of compilations.

Values for some species can also be found in the NBS Tables of Chemical Thermodynamic Properties (Technical Note 270-3) [68WAG/EVA] and a revised edition [82WAG/EVA]. Other compilations include gas phase data of Stull, Westrum and Sinke [69STU/WES], heats of formation by Cox and Pilcher [70COX/PIL] and Domalski [72DOM], and heat capacities and entropies of condensed phases [84DOM/EVA][85WIL/CHA].

2. The Network and Its Analysis

The regression procedure employed is the "CATCH" system used at the National Institute of Standards and Technology. It is described by Garvin *et al.* [76GAR/ PARa][76GAR/PARb] and Wagman *et al.* [77WAG/ SCH], and is based on procedures developed by Pedley and coworkers [69GUE/PED]. Some complications have been discussed by Barnes *et al.* [78BAR/PED]. The procedure has been designed to provide solution sets of thermochemical formation properties (Gibbs energies, enthalpies and entropies) based on input data sets drawn from the full spectrum of thermochemical measurements. As described earlier, the present study is limited to a set of measured equilibrium constants that is solved for a set of formation free energies.

The regression process takes place in two discreet steps. The first is an equally weighted least-sums solution of the entire system of equations to assess the overall consistency. The least sums basis is less sensitive to outlying values that the least-squares. The second step is a least squares solution in which each of the input data is weighted by a factor called the "average fit". This average fit factor is simply the arithmetic average of the uncertainty assigned to the original data value and the residual (observed - calculated) obtained from the least sums. This weighting scheme has been found to achieve a reasonable balance between the intrinsic uncertainty in the data and their consistency with other data in the network.

The network of reactions treated in this study is outlined schematically in Fig. 1. The metabolites for which the network was solved are connected by arrows with the inorganic species omitted as well as NAD⁺ and NADP⁺. The transamination reactions are also omitted but are equivalent to an amino acid dehydrogenase. Some of the species e.g., acetate, appear several times to avoid crossing arrows. The direction of the arrows is not significant but is generally in the favorable direction or in the direction of reduction. More complete discussion of individual reactions will follow below.



FIG. 1. Krebs cycle interconnections. NAD+, NADH, CO₂, H₂O not shown. The numbers refer to the reaction as listed in Table 9.

2.1. Conventions (Standard States and Activity Coefficients)

The gas constant employed is 8.31441 J/(mol K) and the Faraday is taken to be 96487 (C/mol). The Interunion Commission on Biothermodynamics [76INT] has recommended that measurements of enzyme equilibria be made at 25 °C with the ionic strength brought to 0.1 M (0.1 Molar) with KCl at the lowest effective buffer concentration. The unit of concentration recommended is mol dm⁻³; for convenience, a solution of concentration 0.1 mol dm^{-3} (for example) may be referred to as a 0.1 Msolution. This is recommended even though most mammals are at 37 ° and an ionic strength of 0.16 M. We follow these recommendations except for use where indicated of molal concentration (mol/kg of water). Note that a solution of molality 0.1 mol/kg of water is referred to as a 0.1 m solution.

The standard state of pure water is taken as unit activity, and water will be left out of the equilibrium constant equations. The standard state of 0.1 *M* KCl has a $\Delta_t G$ lower by 0.01 kJ·mol⁻¹ than pure water, but this difference will be neglected.

The Gibbs energies of formation can be corrected to zero ionic strength by using the activity coefficients in Table 6. These are single ion activity coefficients. There is a dispute as to whether these are meaningful, but the approximations used here are sufficiently accurate for a Gibbs energy table. A table of single ion activity coefficients is given by Kielland [37KIE]. Unless otherwise indicated, the values of γ_{\pm} are taken from Robinson and Stokes [59ROB/STO].

We will take $\gamma_+ = \gamma_- = \gamma_{\pm}$ for a 1:1 salt. For a 2:1 salt (e.g., Na₂ succinate) $\gamma_{\pm} = (\gamma_+^2 \gamma_-)^{1/3}$ and we approximate the single ion activity coefficients as $\gamma_+ = \gamma_{\pm}^{1/2}$ and $\gamma_- = \gamma_{\pm}^2$.

The activity coefficients for neutral molecules will be taken as 1.00. There are considerable data available on the salting out properties of various salts which are well approximated by the Sechenov equation [52LON/. MCD].

$$\log f_i / f_i^\circ = km$$

where f_i is the fugacity in the salt solution, f_i° is the fugacity in pure water, *m* is the molal salt concentration, and *k* is the Sechenov constant. The ΔG of transfer from water to a 0.1 molal KCl solution would rarely amount to as much as 0.1 kJ, and so this effect will be omitted.

2.2. Optically Active and Racemic Compounds

It is evident that the Gibbs energies, enthalpies and entropies of D- and L-isomers of the same compound must be equal. The enthalpy of formation of a racemic mixture in solution at infinite dilution will also equal that of the D- or L-isomer. The enthalpy of the solid racemic compound will be the same as the isolated isomer only if it is a conglomerate (i.e., a mixture of the D- and Lcrystals). Examples of conglomerates are sodium ammonium tartrate and glutamic acid. In many cases, the racemic mixture forms a DL-crystal, and this racemic crystal may have physical properties considerably different from the D- or L- crystal. Examples are proline and serine where the L-isomers are about ten times more soluble than the DL-crystals.

The entropy of the D- and L- compounds in the crystal or in solution are the same. For the DL mixture in solution the entropy differs from that for the isolated isomers by the symmetry factor:

$$S_{\rm DL} = S_{\rm L} + R \ln 2$$

The entropy of the DL-compound in solution is higher than that for the isolated isomers and the optically active isomer will spontaneously convert to the DL-mixture if a kinetic pathway is available. The Gibbs energy of the D- and L-compounds in the crystal and in solution are also the same. The $\Delta_r G$ of the DL mixture in solution differs from the isolated isomers by the entropy difference:

$$\Delta_{\rm f}G_{\rm DL} = \Delta_{\rm f}G_{\rm L} - RT\ln 2$$

The tables give the $\Delta_t G$ and S ° for only the L-isomer, as this is usually the naturally occurring isomer.

3. Third Law Calculations and Ancillary Data

In solving the network for the formation Gibbs energies for individual metabolites, the data for the various equilibria have been supplemented by formation properties for inorganic species and independent estimates of formation energies for several key compounds via third law calculations. These calculations include formate and acetate which are included in the NBS Tables. The NBS evaluation for these compounds, however is over twenty years old and considerable new data exists for obtaining the formation properties for the anions in aqueous solution.

Data for the inorganic compounds needed to supplement the network are listed in Table 1. They are taken from the CODATA compilation [89COX/WAG], and are generally the same as values tabulated in the NBS Tables with a few exceptions.

The compounds for which estimates have been made via third law calculation are fumarate, succinate, glycine, alanine, aspartic acid and glutamic acid. Discussions for each of these follow.

3.1. Succinate and Fumarate

The data necessary for third law calculations for succinate and fumarate are listed in Table 2.

The enthalpy of combustion of succinic acid is known quite reliably (it is nearly a calorimetric standard) with recent determinations [72VAN/MANa] and [70ZAI/NAZ] in excellent agreement with evaluated values [70COX/PIL], [77PED/RYL] and [86PED/NAY]. The absolute entropy has been calculated from heat capacity measurements [70VAN/WES] from 5 to 328 K removing uncertainty associated with the extrapolation from 90 to 0 K [30PAR/HUF] which gave the value of S° too high by 8.4 J·K⁻¹·mol⁻¹.

The enthalpy of solution of succinic acid and the acid dissociation constants are also known quite reliably. Vanderzee *et al.* [72VAN/MANb] have made a careful study of the enthalpy of solution, while the dissociation constants have been evaluated by Martell and Smith [74MAR/SMI]. The solubility and activity coefficients are given in the footnotes of Table 2.

TABLE 1.	CODATA $\Delta_t H$ and S° values for elements and inorganic
	compounds. $\Delta_f G$ values calculated from these $\Delta_f H$ and S° .
	The aqueous ions and aqueous gases refer to $1 \mod dm^{-3}$.
	The gases refer to 1 atm. These values are for $I=0$ and not
	$I = 0.1 \text{ mol } dm^{-3}$.

Compound	$\Delta_{\mathbf{f}} G^{\circ}$	$\Delta_{\mathrm{f}} H^{\circ}$	S°
	kJ∙mol ^{−1}	kJ-mol ⁻¹	$J \cdot mol^{-1}K^{-1}$
H ₂ (g)	0	0	130.57
H2 (aq)	17.73ª	4.04ª	57.57ª
C(graphite)	0	0	5.74
$N_2(g)$	0	0	191.50
O ₂ (g)	0	0	205.04
O2 (aq)	16.52 ^b	- 12.06 ^b	109.20 ^b
H₂O (l)	-237.19	-285.83	69.95
H ⁺ (aq)	0	0	0
OH-(aq)	-157.27	-230.02	-10.90
CO(g)	-137.15	-110.53	197.55
CO ₂ (g)	- 394.37	- 393.51	213.68
CO ₂ (aq)	386.00	-413.26	119.36
HCO ₃ (aq)	- 586.93	-689.93	98.4
$CO_3^{2-}(aq)$	- 527.98	-675.23	50.0
NH ₃ (g)	- 16.49	45.94	192.66
NH ₃ (aq)	-26.66°	-81.04 ^c	109.29°
NH4 ⁺ (aq)	79.46	-133.26	111.17
Na+(aq)		240.34	58.45
K ⁺ (aq)	-263.03	-252.14	101.20

^aFrom CODATA values for H₂(g) and $\Delta_{sol}G$, $\Delta_{sol}H$, $\Delta_{sol}S$ [77WIL/BAT].

^bFrom CODATA values for O₂(g) and $\Delta_{sol}G$, $\Delta_{sol}H$, $\Delta_{sol}S$ [77WIL/BAT].

^cFrom CODATA values for NH₄⁺ and $\Delta_{ion}G$, $\Delta_{ion}H$, $\Delta_{ion}S$ [76CHR/HAN].

The data for fumaric acid on the other hand are considerably less reliable. The combustion enthalpy has been evaluated by Cox and Pilcher [70COX/PIL] and more recently by Pedlev et al. [86PED/NAY] with the two evaluations differing by $0.7 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$. The absolute entropy is based upon heat capacity measurements that have been extrapolated below 90 K [30PAR/HUFF], and the values for the enthalpy of solution and solubility are somewhat uncertain. The solubility and activity coefficients are discussed in the footnotes of Table 2. The acid dissociation constants have been subject to some disagreement. Robinson and Stokes [59ROB/STO] gave values of $pK_1 = 3.019$ and $pK_2 = 4.384$ while measurements by Bada and Miller [68 BAD/MIL] yielded values of $pK_1 = 2.94$ and $pK_2 = 4.10$ at I = 0.1 mol dm⁻³. Martell and Smith [74MAR/SMI] selected values of pK_1 = 2.94 and pK_2 = 4.109 at I = 0.1 mol dm⁻³ in good agreement with the measurements of Bada and Miller. More accurate measurements for the properties of fumaric acid are highly desirable as it is probably the best choice for a key value upon which to base the entire network by virtue of the large number of compounds in the network to which it is connected.

3.2. Glycine, Alanine, Aspartic Acid, and Glutamic Acid

The data for these compounds are listed in Table 3 for the L- isomers. Modern values for the absolute entropies

TABLE 2. Third law calculation of thermodynamic data for succinic acid and fumaric acid. The values of ΔG and ΔH are given in kJ-mol⁻¹ and ΔS in J-mol⁻¹·K⁻¹. The molality and ionic strength (I) are given in mol kg⁻¹.

	Succinic Acid	Ref	Fumaric Acid	Ref
$\Delta_r H(cr)$	940.81±0.42	a	-810.98±0.63	a
$\Delta_{\rm sol} H$	28.70 ± 0.04	b	35.8±0.5	с
$\Delta_{\rm f} H({\rm aq})$	-912.11±0.42		-775.18 ± 0.80	
$\Delta_{\rm ion} H$	2.73	h	-2.39	h
$\Delta_{\rm f} H$ (aq ion ⁻²)	909.37		- 777.57	
S°(cr)	167.3±0.2	d	166.1±4.0	е
$\Delta_{\rm f} S({\rm cr})$	-657.43		- 528.08	
m _{sat}	0.699	f	0.0456	g
Y _{sat}	1.0	f	1.0	g
$\Delta_{sol}S$	+93.30		+94.40	
S° (aq acid)	+260.62		+260.45	
$\Delta_{ion} S$	-179.1	h	-152.2	h
S° (aq ion ²⁻)	+81.5		+108.3	
$\Delta_{\rm f} G$ (cr)	744.80		653.54	
$\Delta_{\rm sol} G$	+0.89		+7.66	
$\Delta_f G(aq acid)$	- 743.92		-645.88	
$\Delta_{ion} G$	+56.15	h	+43.93	h
$\Delta_f G$ (ion ²⁻ , $I=0$)	-687.77		601.95	
γ_{-2}	0.40	i	0.36	i
$\Delta_f G$ (ion ²⁻ , $I=0.1$)) -690.03上0.44		604.50±1.51	

⁴[70COX/PIL] Later values for succinic acid are -940.35±0.54 [72VAN/MANa] and -940.19±0.21 [70ZAI/NAZ].

"[72bVAN/MANb].

^eFrom [69WIL]. The International Critical Tables give 8.90 kcal. Wasserman gives 7.98 kcal [30WAS].

⁴[70VAN/WES].

[30PAR/HUF] The C, was extrapolated from 90 K.

- Solubility at 25° is 0.706 mol dm⁻³ [58LIN/SEI], which corrected for ionization of 6.94% gives 0.699 mol dm⁻³ for the undissociated acid. γ taken as 1.0.
- sTThe solubility is given only by formula in [30LAN/SIN] as 0.0521 mol dm⁻³. The fumaric acid is 12.5% dissociated, so m = 0.0456 mol dm⁻³ for the undissociated acid. γ taken as 1.0. An earlier value of this solubility is m = 0.0603mol dm⁻³ [23WEI/DOW].
- ^bThese are for both ionizations. See Table 6 for pK values, ΔH and ΔS of ionization.
- ¹For succinate Kielland [37KIE] gives $\gamma_{-2} = 0.38$ at $I = 0.1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ and Komar et al. [67KOM/MUS] give $\gamma_{-2} = 0.469$ with 0.1 mol dm⁻³ KCl and $\gamma = 0.334$ with 0.1 mol dm⁻³ NaCl. For sodium fumarate Robinson and Stokes give by interpolation $\gamma_{\pm} = 0.600$ at $I = 0.1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ (m = 0.033), which gives $\gamma_{-2} = \gamma_{\pm}^2 = 0.360$. Komar et al. [72KOM/TKH] give $\gamma = 0.382$ with 0.1 mol dm⁻³ KCl and $\gamma = 0.331$ with 0.1 mol dm⁻³ NaCl.

are available for glycine and the L-isomers. The enthalpies of combustion are fairly reliable but can always be improved. The enthalpy of formation of the DL- alanine in solution confirms the value adopted for the L-isomer as it is nearly identical. The enthalpies of solution for aspartic acid and glutamic acid are weak because it is not clear whether the corrections were made for ionization at high dilution. The activity coefficients at saturation for aspartic and glutamic acids may also be in error.

3.3. Citrate

A good $\Delta_t H = -1837.6 \pm 0.4 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ for citric acid monohydrate is available [70COX/PIL], and but only a poor $\Delta_t H = -1544 \pm 5 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ for anhydrous citric acid has been determined. The third law entropy was determined by Evans *et al*. [62EVA/HOA] and they calculated the $\Delta_{sol}G$ based on the data of Levien [55LEV]. Evans *et al*. give

 $\Delta_{\rm f}G \text{ (monohydrate, cr)} = -1472.8 \pm 1.2 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ $\Delta_{\rm f}G \text{ (citric acid, aq)} = -1243.4 \pm 1.2 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ $\Delta_{\rm f}G \text{ (citrate}^{-3}, \text{aq)} = -1161.8 \pm 1.4 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$

The single ion activity coefficients are difficult to estimate, since the γ of the Na⁺ or K⁺ salts have not been measured. Using Kielland's value of $\gamma_{-3} = 0.18$ [37KIE] gives

$$\Delta_{\rm f} G$$
 (citrate, aq) = -1166.1 ± 2.5 kJ·mol⁻¹
 $I = 0.10 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$

An alternative procedure is to use the apparent pK's of citric acid to estimate the single ion activity coefficients. Using the data of Rajan and Martell [65RAJ/MAR] (p K_1 = 2.79, p K_2 = 4.30 and p K_3 = 5.65) we have γ_{-1} = 0.346, γ_{-2} = 0.179, γ_{-3} = 0.0284, and

 $\Delta_f G$ (citrate, aq) = -1170.6 \pm 2.5 kJ·mol⁻¹

 $I = 0.1 \text{ mol } dm^{-3} (KNO_3)$

Using the data of Tate *et al*. [65TAT/GRZ] $pK_1 = 2.88$, $pK_2 = 4.36$, $pK_3 = 5.85$) we have $\gamma_{-1} = 0.565$, $\gamma_{-2} = 0.224$ and $\gamma_{-3} = 0.0623$, giving

$$\Delta_f G$$
(citrate, aq) = -1168.7 ± 2.5 kJ·mol⁻¹
 $I = 0.1 \text{ mol } dm^{-3}$ [(CH₃)₄NCl]

The γ 's are in the expected direction since K⁺ is known to be complexed by citrate. We will use the γ_{-3} for the KNO₃ solutions, recognizing the low activity coefficient is probably due to complexing. Since KCl is frequently used for enzyme solutions, it is simpler to include the complexing in the $\Delta_f G$ rather than correcting for it.

The difficulty in determining the γ for a -3 ion, as demonstrated by the large variation in the calculated values, suggest that citrate is a poor compound to use in the network. Therefore, its third law $\Delta_t G$ has been left out of all the network calculations.

3.4. Formate

There are several thermochemical cycles by which the Gibbs energy of formation of the formate anion can be calculated, a comparison of which will give some idea of the reliability of the estimated value. TABLE 3. Third law calculation of thermodynamic data for several amino acids. ΔH and ΔG in kJ·mol⁻¹ and S° in J·mol⁻¹·K⁻¹. The molality and ionic strength (I) are in mol kg⁻¹.

	Glycine	Ref	L-Alanine	Ref	L-Aspartic	Ref	L-Glutamic	Ref
$\Delta_{\rm f} H({\rm cr})$	-528.52±0.50	a,f	-561.24±0.63	b,f	-973.32±0.92	a	-1005.25 ± 1.26	b,g
ΔH (soln)	$+14.16\pm0.01$	e	+7.49±0.03	i,h	$+25.82\pm0.10$	j	$+24.53\pm0.10$	j
$\Delta_{\rm f} H({\rm aq})$	-514.36 ± 0.50		-553.75 ± 0.63		-947.50 ± 0.93		-980.72 ± 1.27	
S°(cr)	+103.51	с	129.21	с	+170.12	с	+188.20	С
$\Delta_{\rm f} S({\rm cr})$	- 535.19	с	-645.80	с	-815.67	с	933.90	с
m _{sat}	3.33	с	1.862	с	0.0375	С	0.0586	с
Ysat	0.729	с	1.045	с	1.0	C	1.0	с
$\Delta_{sol}S$	+ 54.94		+ 30.59		+ 59.37		+ 58.70	
S°(aq)	+158.45		+159.80		+229.49		+246.90	
$\Delta_{\rm f} G({\rm cr})$	368.98		- 368.74		-730.19		-726.87	
$\Delta_{sol}G$	-2.22 ± 0.04	с	-1.63 ± 0.04	с	$+8.12\pm0.08$	С	$+7.03\pm0.02$	с
$\Delta_{\rm f} G(I=0)$	-371.20 ± 0.60		-370.37 ± 0.70		-722.07 ± 0.93		719.84±1.27	
γ_{+-} or γ_{0+-}	0.97		0.95		0.95		0.95	
$\Delta_{\rm f} G(I=0.1)$	-371.33		370.50		-722.20		-719.97	
$\Delta_{ion}G$					+22.26	k	+24.39	k
$\Delta_{\rm f} G^{+-+}(I=0)$)			•	- 699.94		-695.59	
$\gamma_{-+-}(I = 0.1)$					0.73	k	0.73	k
$\Delta_{\rm f} G^{-+-}(I=0)$.1) ·				-700.72		696.37	
$\Delta_{ion}H$					+4.64	k	+1.56	k
$\Delta_f H^{-+-}(aq)$					-942.86		-979.16	

*[70COX/PIL].

^b[70COX/PIL]. This is for D-alanine and D-glutamic acid. The values for the L-isomers seem to be in error.

°[76HUT][84DOM/EVA].

^d[43COH/EDS], p. 198 & 219

¶75SPI/WAD].

^fMore recent values are $\Delta_f H(\text{glycine}) = -528.61 \pm 0.21 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ and $\Delta_f H(\text{L-alanine}) = -559.48 \pm 0.46 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ [77NGA/SAB]. Values are also given by Kamaguchi *et al.* [75KAM/SAT] for $\Delta_f H(\text{L-alanine}) = -561.08 \pm 0.71 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$, $\Delta_f H(\text{D-alanine}) = -560.06 \pm 0.76 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$, $\Delta_f H(\text{D-alanine}) = -562.35 \pm 0.65 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$.

⁸Later values are $\Delta_f H(L$ -glutamic) = $-1003.32 \pm 1.17 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ and $\Delta_f H(D$ -glutamic) = $-1002.47 \pm 0.97 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ [75SAK/SEK].

^hAnother value can be obtained from DL-alanine. $\Delta_f H = -563.58 \pm 0.63 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ [70COX/PIL] and $\Delta_{sol} H = 9.13 \pm 0.03 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ [82ABA/SHE]. This gives $-554.45 \pm 0.64 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ for the aqueous DL-alanine.

ⁱ82ABA/SHE.

¹L-Aspartic acid [82VAS/KOC], L-glutamic acid [80MAT/AMA]. It is not clear whether the corrections for ionization on disolution were made. The data of Rodante and Tocci [85ROD/TOC] are close to these values. Earlier values are given in [76HUT].

*See Table 6.

Standard enthalpies of formation for the pure liquid and gas phases have been evaluated by Cox and Pilcher [70COX/PIL], Pedley and Rylance [77PED/RYL], and Pedley et al. [86PED/NAY]. We will use the values given by Cox and Pilcher which are -425.0 ± 0.4 $kJ \cdot mol^{-1}$ for the liquid and $-378.9 \pm 0.6 kJ \cdot mol^{-1}$ for the gas monomer. The enthalpy of solution has been determined [71KON/WAD] to be $-0.678 \pm 0.001 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$, yielding a value for $\Delta_f H(\text{HCOOH}(aq))$ of -425.7 ± 0.4 $kJ \cdot mol^{-1}$. The third law entropy of the liquid has been given as 129.59 J·K⁻¹·mol⁻¹ [85WIL/CHA] from the heat capacity data of Stout and Fisher [41STO/FIS]. This gives $\Delta_P S = 211.97 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ and $\Delta_P G =$ -361.80 kJ·mol⁻¹ for the liquid. The difficulty is to obtain the $\Delta_{sol}G$ at 25 °C, and there seems to be no accurate data on the water-formic acid phase diagram.

An alternative is to use the gas phase data and the ΔG of solution from the gas. Chao and Zwolinski [78CHA/ ZWO] give the ideal gas entropy of the formic acid monomer as $S^{\circ} = 248.86 \text{ J} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$ and $\Delta_f G =$ $-351.00 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ and $\Delta_f H = -378.57 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$. The Gibbs energy and entropy of solution are difficult to calculate due to complications from dimerization. Kaye and Parks [34KAY/PAR] reported $P_{atm}/m = 1.91 \times 10^{-4}$ atm (mol/kg)⁻¹ while Campbell and Campbell [34CAM/CAM] obtained a value of $P_{atm}/m = 1.71 \times 10^{-4}$ atm (mol/kg)⁻¹. These yield respectively, $\Delta_{sol}G = 21.21$ and 21.50 kJ·mol⁻¹. Taking the average of these two values yields $\Delta_{f}G(\text{HCOOH,aq}) = -372.38$ kJ·mol⁻¹. Taking $\Delta_{f}H = -425.7$ kJ·mol⁻¹ for the aqueous acid gives $\Delta_{f}S = -178.84$ and $S^{\circ} = 162.72$ J·K⁻¹·mol⁻¹.

The third law entropy of NaHCO₂ (24.80 cal K^{-1} ·mol⁻¹) has been measured [60WES/CHA]. The enthalpy of solution will be taken as +0.74±0.03 J·mol⁻¹ [75CHA/AHL, 82CHO/AHL]. The value of +1.13 [70SNE/GRE] may be too high because the final concentration was too high. Bonner has recently measured $m_{\rm sat} = 14.7$ mol kg⁻¹ and $\gamma_{\rm sat} = 0.877$ [88BON].

 $\Delta_{\rm sol}H/T + 2R\ln m_{\rm sat} + 2R\ln \gamma_{\rm sat} = 45.00 \,\mathrm{J\cdot K^{-1} \cdot mol^{-1}}$

$$= S^{\circ}$$
 (NaHCO₂, aq) $-S^{\circ}$ (NaHCO₂, cr)

This gives $S^{\circ} = 148.76 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ for aqueous sodium formate, and combined with $S^{\circ} = 58.45 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ for aqueous Na⁺ gives $S^{\circ} = 90.31 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ for aqueous formate.

The entropy of ionization of formic acid is 71.67 $J \cdot K^{-1} \cdot mol^{-1}$ [76CHR/HAN], so for aqueous formic acid $S^{\circ} = 161.98 \ J \cdot K^{-1} \cdot mol^{-1}$ and $\Delta_{\rm f}S = -179.37 \ J \cdot K^{-1} \cdot mol^{-1}$. This gives $\Delta_{\rm f}G = -425.7 + 53.48 = -372.2 \ \text{kJ} \cdot mol^{-1}$ for the aqueous acid using the third law entropy for sodium formate.

The Gibbs energy of formation of formate can also be calculated from the formic hydrogenlyase equilibrium:

$$H_2(g) + HCO_3^-(aq) = HCOO^-(aq) + H_2O(l)$$

which involves only formate and substances already adopted from the CODATA key values. The equilibrium constant was measured by Woods [36WOO] yielding the values of $\Delta_r G = -0.715 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ and $\Delta_r H$ $= -22.64 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$. The enthalpy is somewhat unreliable as it was estimated from equilibrium determinations at only 25 and 38 °C. The resultant value of $\Delta_r G$ (formate,aq) = -350.37 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} and $\Delta_r G$ (formic acid, aq) = -371.79 kJ \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}. Using the $\Delta_r H = -425.7$ kJ \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} for the aqueous acid gives $\Delta_r S = -180.8$ and $S^\circ = 160.7 \text{ J} \cdot \text{K}^{-1} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$.

Alternatively, the non-enzymatic decomposition of formate via the equilibrium:

$$HCOOH(aq) = H_2(g) + CO_2(g)$$

will also yield a formation energy for the formate anion based only on the CODATA values. This equilibrium was measured by Bredig *et al.* [29BRE/CAR] from 20 to 90 °C, but at pressures of 60 to 100 atm. The fugacity corrections were discussed by Waring [52WAR] who calculated a value for $\Delta_f G$ (formic acid, aq) = -371.66 and -370.28 kJ·mol⁻¹ (corrected and uncorrected, respectively). This is in good agreement with the value obtained from the hydrogenlyase equilibrium and third law calculations, but the reliability of the fugacity correction is less than satisfactory for this application.

The decomposition of aqueous formic acid to carbon monoxide and water has also been examined by Branch [15BRA] at temperatures of 156 and 219 °C and can also be used to obtain the formation Gibbs energy of aqueous formic acid. This analysis has been discussed by Lewis and Randall [23LEW/RAN] and Waring [52WAR] who give values of $\Delta_f G$ (formic acid, aq) = -373.25 kJ·mol⁻¹ and -374.05 kJ·mol⁻¹ respectively. These agree well with the estimates by other means but are less reliable because of the large temperature correction.

We select $\Delta_f G$ from the formic hydrogenlyase reaction and the entropy from the sodium formate. We also use $pK_a = 3.751$, $\Delta_{ion}H = +0.04 \pm 0.20 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ and $\Delta_{ion}S = -71.67 \pm 0.71$, $J\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ and $\gamma = 0.778$ [59ROB/STO]. The lowering of $\Delta_f G$ of formate from the activity coefficient is arbitrarily put into the $\Delta_f H$. The $\Delta_f H$ for aq formic acid obtained from the formic hydrogenlyase equilibrium and S° from sodium formate differs by only 0.4 kJ from the value selected for the liquid by Cox and Pilcher combined with $\Delta_{sol}H$.

The selected values are given in Table 4. They are close to the NBS values for aqueous formic acid of $\Delta_f G = -372.3 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$, $\Delta_f H = -425.4 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ and $S^\circ = 163 \text{ J} \text{ K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$. The NBS values for formate are $\Delta_f G = -351.0 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$, $\Delta_f H = -425.6 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ and $S^\circ = 92 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ [68WAG/EVA][82WAG/EVA].

TABLE 4. Selected third law $\Delta_f G$, $\Delta_f H$ and S° for formic and acetic acids. Ionic strength (I) is in mol kg⁻¹.

	$\Delta_{\mathbf{f}} G$ kJ·mol ⁻¹	$\Delta_{f}H$ kJ·mol ⁻¹ J·n	S° nol ^{−1} ·K ^{−1}
Formic acid (aq)	-371.79±0.20	-425.3±0.4	162.0
Formate (aq)	-350.37 ± 0.20	-425.2 ± 0.4	90.3
Formate (aq, $I=0.1$)	-350.99 ± 0.20	-425.8 ± 0.4	90.3
Acetic acid (aq)	-396.1 ± 0.4	-485.5 ± 0.3	177.8
Acetate (aq)	-369.0 ± 0.4	-486.0 ± 0.3	85.3
Acetate (aq, I=0.1)	-369.6 ± 0.4	-480.6 ± 0.3	85.3

3.5. Acetate

The thermodynamic properties of aqueous acetic acid and the acetate anion present difficulties in obtaining a reliable value for ΔS of solution because of the formation of dimers in the gas phase as in the case of formic acid.

There are two modern enthalpies of combustion given in Cox and Pilcher -115.71 ± 0.10 and -115.79 ± 0.09 kcal mol⁻¹ with a selected value of -115.75 ± 0.07 kcal mol⁻¹ = -484.3 ± 0.3 kJ·mol⁻¹ [70COX/PIL]. We take the enthalpy of solution as -1.176 ± 0.004 kJ·mol⁻¹ [71KON/WAD] giving $\Delta_{\rm f}H = -485.5\pm0.3$ kJ·mol⁻¹ for the aqueous acid.

The pK_a = 4.756 is reliably known. There have been a number of calorimetric determinations of the ΔH of ionization in the range -0.07 to -0.137 kcal mol⁻¹ with ΔH from the temperature dependence of pK_a in the range -0.098 to -0.112 [77CHR/HAN]. We select $\Delta_{ion}H = -0.112$ kcal = -0.47 kJ and $\Delta_{ion}S = -22.11$ cal K⁻¹·mol⁻¹ = -92.5 JK⁻¹·mol⁻¹ [52EVE/LAN]. This gives $\Delta_t H = -486.0 \pm 0.3$ kJ·mol⁻¹ for the aqueous ion.

There are three direct methods of obtaining the entropy of the aqueous acid and anion. They are from a) the entropy of the liquid, b)the entropy of the gaseous monomer, and c) the entropy of the sodium salt. There is a modern entropy for the liquid of $S^{\circ} = 37.76$ cal $K^{-1} \cdot mol^{-1} = 158.0 J \cdot K^{-1} \cdot mol^{-1}$ [82MAR/AND] which replaces the Parks and Kelly value of $S^{\circ} = 38.2$ cal $K^{-1} \cdot mol^{-1}$ based on extrapolation of C_p below 90 K [29PAR/KEL]. The entropy of solution (liq \rightarrow 1m aq) can be calculated from the $\gamma = 3.20$ at $x_2 = 0$ given by Hansen *et al.* [55HAN/MIL].

$$\Delta_{\rm sol}S = \Delta_{\rm sol}H/T - R\ln\gamma + R\ln 55.51$$

This gives $\Delta_{sol}S = 19.79 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$, $S^{\circ} = 177.8 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ for the aqueous acid, and $S^{\circ} = 85.3 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ for acetate anion.

The statistical entropy of the gas phase monomer has been calculated a number of times and reviewed by Chao *et al.* [86CHA/HAL] who give $S^{\circ} = 283.36 \text{ J}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ at 1 atm for the gaseous monomer. There are many data on the volatility of of acetic acid-water solutions, which gives $\Delta_{sol}G$, but $\Delta_{sol}H$ of the monomer is difficult to estimate. This will be taken up in a subsequent paper.

The third law entropy of anhydrous sodium acetate has been measured [83FRA/PLA] as 138.10 J·K⁻¹·mol⁻¹. The enthalpies of solution include -17.32 ± 0.21 kJ·mol⁻¹ [65PAR], -16.59 kJ·mol⁻¹ [75CHO/AHL], -17.46 kJ·mol⁻¹ [82CHO/AHL], and -16.88 kJ·mol⁻¹ from the extrapolation of the data of Snell and Greyson [70SNE/GRE] using enthalpies of dilution of Parker [65PAR]. We select an an average value of -17.03 J·K⁻¹·mol⁻¹. It is to be noted that the $\Delta_{sol}H$ measured is very sensitive to water in the sodium acetate. Thus if 1% of the sodium acetate is hydrated after weighing, the $\Delta_{sol}H$ measured would be -16.16 kJ instead of -17.03 kJ.

Bonner has recently measured $m_{sat} = 15.20 \text{ mol kg}^{-1}$ and $\gamma_{sat} = 2.786$ [88BON]. These are super saturated solutions since the trihydrate of sodium acetate is soluble to only 6.16 *m*. However, sodium acetate solutions are well known for their tendency to supersaturate. We have

 $\Delta_{sol}H/T + 2 R \ln m_{sat} + 2R \ln \gamma_{sat}$ $= +15.17 = S^{\circ} (NaAc,aq) - S^{\circ} (NaAc,cr)$

This gives $S^{\circ} = 143.27 \text{ JK}^{-1} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ for aqueous sodium acetate, and $S^{\circ} = 143.27 - 58.42 = 84.85 \text{ J} \cdot \text{K}^{-1} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ for the acetate anion.

This is in good agreement with the $S^{\circ} = 85.3$ J·K⁻¹·mol⁻¹ from the acetic acid route, but alternative choices of the $\Delta_{sol}H$ would give less satisfactory results (86.3 for $\Delta_{sol}H = -16.59$ and 83.4 for $\Delta_{sol}H = -17.46$). We select $\Delta_{e}H$ from the enthalpy of combustion of the liquid, S° from the third law entropy of the liquid, and pK = 4.756 and $\gamma = 0.791$ from Robinson and Stokes [59ROB/STO].

The selected values are given in Table 4. These can be compared with the NBS values [68WAG/EVA] [82WAG/EVA]. For aqueous unionized acetic acid $\Delta_f G$ = -396.6 kJ·mol⁻¹, $\Delta_f H$ = -485.8 kJ·mol⁻¹, S° = 178.6 J·K⁻¹·mol⁻¹. For acetate ion $\Delta_f G$ = -369.4 kJ·mol⁻¹, $\Delta_f H$ = -485.76 kJ·mol⁻¹, $S^{\circ}_{\rm m}$ = 86.6 J·K⁻¹·mol⁻¹.

3.6. Other Krebs Cycle Acids

It will be difficult to obtain third law entropy values for a number of Krebs cycle acids such as lactic acid, malic acid, pyruvic acid, α -ketoglutaric acid, and oxaloacetic acid. Even if the S° of the crystal were known, the ΔS of solution will be difficult to measure. Oxaloacetic acid decarboxylates relatively rapidly. The others are very soluble, but more significantly the hydroxy acids form polyesters in concentrated solution and the keto acids may dimerize, thereby making osmotic coefficient measurements difficult. The alkali metal salts all seem to be very soluble.

The $\Delta_f H$ of the aqueous acid can be obtained from the enthalpy of combustion and $\Delta_{sol}H$. In some cases it may be advantageous to measure enthalpy of combustion and solution of the ammonium salt. Isocitric acid forms a lactone easily so the best approach would be to measure the enthalpy of combustion of the lactone and the $\Delta_{sol}H$ in NaOH to get $\Delta_f H$ of isocitrate.

Table 5 gives the available enthalpies of formation of the Krebs cycle acids.

TABLE 5. $\Delta_f H$ and $\Delta_{sol} H$ of some Krebs cycle acids.

Acid	$\Delta_{\rm f} H$	ΔH soln	$\Delta_{\rm f} H({\rm aq~acid})$		
	kJ⋅mol ⁻¹	kJ•mol ^{−1}	kJ·mol ^{−1}		
Glycolic	664.0±4.0ª	+15.94*	-651.0±4.5		
L-Lactic	-694.0 ± 1.0^{b}	+7.82°	-686.2 ± 1.0		
L-Malic	-1103.6 ^b	,			
DL-Malic	-1105.66±0.63b	21.85°	-1083.81 ± 0.64		
a-Hydroxygluta	ric				
Glyoxylic					
Pyruvic	-584.5 ± 6.0^{d}	- 19.10 ^f	-603.7		
Oxaloacetic	-984.5±4.0 ^s				
α-Ketoglutaric	-1026.2±0.9 ^b				
Isocitric					
cis-Aconitic	-1224.7±7.5 ^{b,h}				
trans-Aconitic	-1232.7±2.5 ^b				

^a[68WAG/EVA] error estimated.

"[70COX/PIL]

"[59SAV/GUN]

⁴[69STU/WES] error estimated.

[86APE]

[[25BLA]

^g[69WIL] error estimated

^hcis-Aconitric acid is the Krebs cycle intermediate, but it is unstable with respect to the *trans*-isomer.

3.7. Ionization Data

The values of pK_a , $\Delta_{ion}H$ and $\Delta_{ion}S$ used in this paper are listed in Table 6, together with the single ion activity coefficient data and the pK at I=0.1 mol dm⁻³. This is not intended to be complete since exten-sive compilations are available [64SIL/MAR] [71SIL/MAR] [74MAR/SMI] [76CHR/HAN] [79PER], but the data used are stated because a considerable number of values are available in the literature. The blank spaces in the table are evident, and such data would be useful.

THE THERMODYNAMICS OF THE KREBS CYCLE AND RELATED COMPOUNDS

1057

TABLE 6. Ionization data for some Krebs compounds.	ΔH in kJ-mol ⁻¹ and ΔS in J-mol ⁻¹ ·K ⁻¹ .
TABLE 6. Ionization data for some Kreos compounds.	and and all more than the second

.

Acid		pK.	Ref	$\Delta_{\rm ion}H$	R	lef	$\Delta_{ion}S$		γ.	γ_	Ref	pK' (I=0.1)
Formic		3.751	b	+0.04		ь	-71.7		1.00	0.778	c	3.64
Acetic		4.756	a	-0.42		ь	-92.5		1.00	0.796	с	4.66
Succinic pK_1		4.207	a	+3.18		b	69.9		1.00	0.762	с	4.09
Succinic pK_2		5.636	a	-0.45		ь	-109.2		0.762	0.40	d	5.37
Fumaric pK		3.095	b,f	+0.46		Ъ	57.7		1.00	0.762	d	2.98
Fumaric pK_2		4.602	b,f	-2.85		ь	-97.5		0.762	0.40	đ	4.32
Glycolic		3.831	b	+0.46		ь	-72.0		1.00	0.740	d	3.70
Lactic		3.858	b	0.43		ь	- 75.3		1.00	0.76	d	3.74
Malic pK_1		3.458	b	+2.95		b	- 56.1		1.00	0.70	d	3.30
Malic pK_2		5.097	b	-1.18		b	-101.3		0.70	0.35	d	4.80
a-Hydroxyglutaric p	К.	3.59	j			•			1.00	0.70	d	3.44
α -Hydroxyglutaric p	•	5.14	j						0.70	0.35	d	4.84
Glyoxylic		3.46	b	+2.22		ь	- 58.8		1.00	0.60	d	3.24
Pyruvic		2.490	k	+ 12.13		b			1.00	0.50	k	2.24
Oxaloacetic pK_1		2.490	k	T 14.13		•	-7.1		1.00	0.57	k	2.20
Oxaloacetic pK_1 Oxaloacetic pK_2		4.370	k						0.57	0.19	k	3.89
α -Ketoglutaric p K_1		2.26	1						1.00	0.19	ď	2.11
									0.70	0.40		
α -Ketoglutaric p K_2		4.80 3.128	1	+4.17		ь	46.0		1.00	0.40	d	4.56 2.79
Citric pK_1		4.761	b b	+4.17		6 b			0.346	0.340	e	4.30
Citric pK_2				-3.36		6 b	- 133.9		0.346		e	
Citric pK_3		6.396	b	- 5.50		D	133.9		1.000	0.0284	e	5.65
Isocitric pK_1		3.287	i							0.612	d	3.07
Isocitric pK_2		4.714	i						0.612	0.246	d	4.32
Isocitric pK_3		6.396	i						0.246	0.062	d	5.80
cis-Aconitic pK_1		1.9	m						1.00	0.61	d	1.7
cis-Aconitic pK_2		4.3	m						0.61	0.25	d	3.9
cis-Aconitic pK3		6.4	щ						0.25	0.062	d	5.8
Acid	pKa	Ref	Δ	h _{ion} H	Ref	Δ_{ion} S	5	Ref	γ.	γ_	Ref	$\mathbf{p}K' \ (I=0.3$
Glycine ⁺ ° pK ₁	2.350	b	+	4.01	b	-31.	5	b	0.73	0.97	g	2.47
Glycine ⁺⁻ pK_2	9.780	b	+4	14.1	Ъ	-39.	2	Ъ	0.97	0.73	g	9.66
Alanine ^{+°} p K_1	2.340	b	•	3.01	b	- 34.		b	0.73	0.95	d	2.45
Alanine ⁺⁻ p K_2	9.870	ь	+4	5.23	b	-37.	2	Ъ	0.95	0.73	đ	9.76
Aspartic ^{*+} ° pK ₁	1.990	b	•	7.46	b	—13.		b	0.73	0.95	d	2.10
Aspartic ^{°+-} pK ₂	3.900	ь		4.60	þ	— 59 .		ь	0.95	0.73	d	3.79
Aspartic ⁻⁺⁻ pK ₃	10.002	b	+3	37.76	b	64.	9	Ъ	0.73	0.40	d	9.74
Glutamic ^{°+°} pK ₁	2.162	b		0.27	b	-42.		b	0.73	0.95	d	2.28
Glutamic ^{°+-} pK ₂	4.272	ь		1.56	ь	—76 .		ь	0.95	0.73	d	4.16
Glutamic ⁻⁺⁻ pK_3	9.93	h	+4	10.07	b	- 44.	8	ь	0.73	0.40	d	9.67

*[64SIL/MAR] and [79PER]

[77CHR/HAN]

[59ROB/STO]

^dEstimated from γ_{\pm} of similar compounds.

*See discussion under citrate third law data.

⁶Bada and Miller [68BAD/MIL] give $pK_1 = 2.937$ and $pK_2 = 4.172$ at I = 0.1 mol dm⁻³ measured with a glass electrode. $pK_1 = 3.019$ and $pK_2 = 4.172$ at I = 0.1 mol dm⁻³ measured with a glass electrode. 4.384 are given in Robinson and Stokes. $pK_1 = 3.095$ and $pK_2 = 4.602$ are given by Dahlgren and Long [60DAH/LON]. ⁶Cohn and Edsall [43COH/EDS] give $\gamma = 0.970$ and $\gamma = 0.954$ for glycine in 0.1 mol dm⁻³ KCl and 0.1 mol dm⁻³ NaCl respectively.

^bThe $pK_3 = 9.371$ given by Llapis and Ordonez [63LLA/ORD] is inconsistent with a considerable number of glass electrode values. We therefore use pK = 9.67 at 0.1 mol dm⁻³ KCl [53LUM/MAR] and calculate pK = 9.93 at I = 0 mol dm⁻³ using the activity coefficients. "[58HIT]

^jEstimated

^k[52PED]

[69JEN/KNO]

^m[84SCH/EMP]

3.8. Gibbs Energy and Potential for the NAD⁺/ NADH and NADP⁺/NADPH Systems

The two nicotinamide redox couples, NAD⁺/NADH (nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide, formerly referred to as DPN or diphosphopyridine nucleotide) and NADP/ NADPH (nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate, formerly referred to as TPN or triphosphopyridine nucleotide) are centrally important energy mediators in all biological systems and have been widely studied for many years. NADH is also a principal product of the Krebs cycle.

The reduction potentials (or Gibbs energy change) for these two systems have been measured directly by potentiometry and from equilibrium constants of several reactions in which they participate. The principal difficulty with potentiometric determinations is that NAD⁺ and NADH themselves do not react with the electrode, so dyes that are reversible to the electrode must be used as mediators. It is very difficult to prove that the NAD/ dye/electrode system is completely reversible. The major problem with calculations from equilibrium constants is the accuracy of the ancillary data needed to derive the reduction potential.

Clark [60CLA] presented an extensive evaluation of these potentials, based on the data available at the time. The best potentiometric measurements were those of Rodkey for NAD+/NADH [55ROD][59ROD] and NADP⁺/NADPH [59ROD/DON] who gave $E^{\circ} =$ -0.1042V pH 0 and $E^{\circ\prime} = 0.3113V$ pH 7 for NAD⁺/ NADH. The alcohol dehydrogenase measurements used were those of Burton and Wilson [53BUR/WIL] with isopropanol/acetone and of Bäcklin [58BAC] with ethanol/acetaldehvde. However, later measurements by Burton [74BUR] of equilibria catalyzed by yeast alcohol dehydrogenase and measurements by Happel et al. [74HAP/CHA] of the ethanol/acetaldehyde hydrogenation equilibrium, that have been reported in the intervening years, warrant some reconsideration of Clark's results.

The reactions and associated data from various sources that have been employed to evaluate the potentials for both couples are summarized in Table 7. The footnotes to the table give the source of the data as well as some, but not all, of the alternative values in the literature.

Burton [74BUR] measured the equilibrium constants for the reactions NAD⁺/ethanol and NAD⁺/isopropanol with yeast alcohol dehydrogenase enzyme, obtaining results that agree very well with previous determinations by Burton and Wilson [53BUR/WIL]. The potentials for NAD⁺ and NADP⁺ were obtained using thermodynamic data for the gas phase and aqueous phase equilibria for the dehydrogenations of ethanol and isopropanol.

In the case of gas phase dehydrogenation of ethanol, the Gibbs free energy of reaction was calculated from enthalpies of formation and entropies tabulated by Stull, Westrum and Sinke [69STU/WES]. However, the value calculated disagrees substantially with the measurements of the equilibrium constant reported by Happel *et al.* The disparity appears to stem from the values tabulated for the enthalpies of formation of ethanol and acetaldehyde. There are also differences in the $\Delta_{sol}G$ and $\Delta_{sol}H$ of solution between our calculation and Burton's.

The thermodynamic data for the dehydrogenation of isopropanol are less variable. Burton employed the values reported by Stull *et al.* for the gas phase formation free energies. The equilibrium in the gas phase was measured directly by Buckley and Herington [65BUC/HER] at several temperatures. Their enthalpy was in good agreement with that reported by Stull *et al.*, although the entropies adopted were slightly different. The $\Delta_{sol}G$ for acetone is significantly different, however.

There is a consistency in Table 7 in that the values of the aqueous reaction

ethanol + acetone = acetaldehyde + isopropanol

can be obtained from the difference of aqueous dehydrogenation of ethanol and isopropanol ($\Delta G = 17.7$, $\Delta H = 5.7 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$) and from the NAD⁺/ethanol and NAD⁺/ isopropanol reactions ($\Delta G = 17.4$, $\Delta H = 4.3 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$). However, it is apparent from the footnotes that a suitable choice of $\Delta_{sol}G$ and $\Delta_{sol}H$ can get the numbers to agree much better. One would have expected that the free energies and enthalpies of solution of such common compounds would be more accurately known, but this is not the case. Particularly uncertain are the $\Delta_{sol}G$ and $\Delta_{sol}H$ for acetaldehyde.

These ΔG values for NAD⁺/NADH can be converted to potentials at pH 0 and pH 7 and I=0.1 mol dm⁻³ by

$$E^{\circ} = -\Delta G^{\circ} / 2F \quad \text{pH 0}$$
$$E^{\circ\prime} = E^{\circ} + \frac{RT}{2F} \log (H^{+}) \quad \text{pH 7}$$

The values are given in Table 8. We select the average of the ethanol, isopropanol and potentiometric values giving

$$E^{\circ} = -0.1042 \pm 0.0005 \text{ V} \text{ pH 0}$$

 $E^{\circ} = -0.3113 \pm 0.0005 \text{ V} \text{ pH 7}$

The agreement of the potentiometric potential and the two equilibria are now excellent and removes the discrepancy that so troubled Clark [60CLA]. However this agreement may well be fortuitous.

The potential of the NADP⁺/NADPH has been measured at 30 °C (-0.3178 V) [59ROD/DON] and can be corrected to 25 °C by $dE^{\circ}/dT = -1.31 \times 10^{-3}$ V K⁻¹ [59ROD] to give -0.3104 V at 25 °C. This is very close to the potentiometric NAD⁺/NADPH potential of -0.3113 V.

THE THERMODYNAMICS OF THE KREBS CYCLE AND RELATED COMPOUNDS

TABLE 7.	Calculation of the NAD+/NADH and NADP+/NADPH potentials from the ethanol/acetaldehyde and isopropanol/acetone equi-
	libria. The standard state for H ₂ is 1 atm. The standard state for NAD ⁺ , NADH, NADP ⁺ , NADPH and H ⁺ is 1 m aqueous at an ionic
	strength of 0.1 m. The values in parenthesis are those used by Burton [74BUR].

		ΔG° kJ·mol ^{−1}	∆H° kJ·mol ⁻¹
CH ₃ CH ₂ OH(g) =	$CH_3CHO(g) + H_2$	36.4(35.0) *	69.8(68.4) ^b
$CH_3CH_2OH(aq) =$	CH ₃ CH ₂ OH(g)	13.0(13.4) °	52.6(52.8) ^d
$CH_3CHO(aq) =$	CH ₃ CHO(g)	6.0(6.1) °	43.3(43.7) ^f
$CH_3CH_2OH(aq) =$	$CH_3CHO(aq) + H_2$	43.4(41.6)	79.0(77.4)
$NAD^+ + CH_3CH_2OH(aq) =$	NADH + CH ₃ CHO(aq) + H ⁺	63.3(63.3) ^m	46.9(46.9) ^m
$NAD^+ + H_2 =$	NADH + H ⁺	20.0(21.8)	-32.1(-30.6)
$CH_3CHOHCH_3(g) =$	$CH_3COCH_3(g) + H_2$	21.4(20.5) ^g	55.6(55.0) ^h
$CH_3CHOHCH_3(aq) =$	CH ₃ CHOHCH ₃ (g)	12.0(12.0) ⁱ	58.6(57.4) ^j
$CH_3COCH_3(aq) =$	CH ₃ COCH ₃ (g)	7.7(8.4) ^k	41.0(40.9) ¹
$CH_3CHOHCH_3(aq) =$	$CH_3COCH_3(aq) + H_2$	25.7(24.4)	73.3(71.4)
$NAD^+ + CH_3CHOHCH_3(aq) =$	NADH + CH ₃ COCH ₃ (aq) + H ⁺	45.9(45.9) ^m	42.6(42.6) ^m
$NAD^+ + H_2 =$	NADH + H ⁺	20.2(21.7)	-30.7(-28.8)
NAD ⁺ + NADPH =	NADH + NADP ⁺	-1.0(-3.0) ⁿ	04.0(4.0) ⁿ
$NADP^+ + H_2 =$	NADPH + H ⁺	21.1(25.1) ⁿ	$-26.7(-25.3)^{n}$

*From measured equilibria by Happel et al. [74HAP/CHA] by our third law analysis.

^bA calorimetric value of +70.1 kJ was obtained at 82° by Kistiakowsky and coworkers [38DOL/GRE] and corrected to +69.1 kJ at 25°.

^cFrom $\Delta_{sol}G(g \rightarrow aq) = \Delta_{sol}G(l \rightarrow aq) + RT \ln P^{\circ}$, where P° is the vapor pressure of the liquid. This $\Delta_{sol}G$ is from [70DAV/SIL]. Burton used [35BUT/RAU]. Other values include 13.2 [68WAG/EVA], 12.9 kJ mol⁻¹ [78PEM/MAS].

^dFrom $\Delta_{sol}H(liq \rightarrow aq) = -10.2 \text{ kJ-mol}^{-1}$ and $\Delta_{vap}H = 42.4 [73WIL/ZWO]$. Other values of $\Delta_{sol}H(liq \rightarrow aq)$ include -10.6 [68WAG/EVA], -10.10 [69ALE/HIL], -10.18 [69ARN/KUV], and $-10.4 \text{ kJ-mol}^{-1} [52ROS/WAG]$ used by Burton.

^eFrom Kurz [67KUR] and used by Burton. Other values include $+5.9 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ [36WUR/FIL], +6.4 [70DAV/SIL], $+6.7 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ [69BUT/LIN]. ^fFrom $\Delta_{vap}H = 25.8 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ [49COL/DEV] and $\Delta_{sol}H(l \rightarrow aq) = -17.5$ [67KUR]. Other values for $\Delta_{vap}H$ are 27.2 [50COL/POP] and 26.1 kJ \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} [68WAG/EVA]. Other values for $\Delta_{sol}H$ are -18.4 kJ [69WAG/EVA], -18.4 [52BEL/CLU] and -17.2 by extrapolation [83FER/MAR], $-17.9 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ [69RAG/CAV] used by Burton.

⁸Average of $\Delta_r G = 21.5 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ from a third law analysis by us of the measured equilibria of Buckley and Herington [65BUC/HER], and $\Delta_r G = 21.8 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ is from the second law analysis. The data of Kolb & Burwell [45KOL/BUR] give a third law analysis of $\Delta_r G = +20.6$ and a second law analysis of $\Delta_r G = +21.3 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ [69STU/WES].

^hAverage of $\Delta_r G = 56.0$ kJ from a third law analysis by us of the Buckley & Herington data [65BUC/HER] and $\Delta_r G = 55.2$ kJ mol⁻¹ of their second law analysis. The direct calorimetric measurement of the heat of hydrogenation is +55.8 at 82 °C [38DOL/BRE] and corrected to 55.4 kJ mol⁻¹ at 25 °.

From Rytting et al. [78RYT/HUS]. Other values include 12.0 kJ-mol⁻¹ [35BUT/RAM] used by Burton, and 12.8 [65HIN/WEI].

 $J_{\text{From }\Delta_{sol}H(l \rightarrow aq) = -13.07 \text{ kJ-mol}^{-1}$ [69ARN/KOV] and $\Delta_{vap}H = 45.5$ [73WIL/ZWO]. Other values include -11.8 [51DIM/LAN] used by Burton, -13.0 [69ALE/HIL], and $-13.1 \text{ kJ-mol}^{-1}$ [81ROU/SOM].

^kFrom [73COX/PAR]. Other values include +8.4 kJ·mol⁻¹ [30BEA/MCV] used by Burton, +8.0 [69BUT/LIN], and +8.8 kJ·mol⁻¹ [31HAR]. ⁱFrom $\Delta_{sol}H = -10.0$ kJ·mol⁻¹ [73COX/PAR] and $\Delta_{vap}H = 31.0$ [77CHA/WIL]. Other values of $\Delta_{sol}H$ include -10.2 and -9.9 [72ARN/ BUR], -10.2 [81DEL/STR], and -9.7 [83BEN/CIL]. Burton used -9.9 kJ·mol⁻¹ without citing a source.

^mBurton gives $K = 6.91 \times 10^{-12}$ and $\Delta G = 63.7$ kJ·mol⁻¹ at I = 0.013 mol dm⁻³ for the ethanol reaction and $K = 7.71 \times 10^{-9}$ and $\Delta G = 46.5$ kJ at I = 0.013 mol dm⁻³ for the isopropanol reaction. These can be converted to I = 0 and I = 0.1 by Backlin's equation [58BAK], log $K = \log K (I=0) + 0.315 \sqrt{I}$, giving $K = 6.36 \times 10^{-12} (I=0 \text{ mol dm}^{-3})$ and $8.01 \times 10^{-12} (I=0.1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3})$, $\Delta G = 63.9$ kJ (I=0) and $63.3(I=0.1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3})$. Using the same ionic strength dependence for the isopropanol reaction converts $K = 7.71 \times 10^{-9}$ at I = 0.013 to $K = 7.10 \times 10^{-9}$ (I=0) and $8.93 \times 10^{-9} (I=0.1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3})$. $\Delta G = 46.5 (I=0)$ and $\Delta G = 45.9 (I=0.1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3})$.

"This is the difference of the NAD⁺ reduction and the transhydrogenase equilibrium. The NAD⁺ reduction values are the average of the ethyl alcohol and isopropanol equilibria. The ΔH is given in [74BUR].

TABLE 8. Potentials of the pyridine nucleotide couples at 25 ° pH 0 and 7 and $I = 0.1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$. The ΔG is in kJ-mol⁻¹ at pH 0.

∆G kJ•mol ⁻¹	<i>Е</i> °(рН 0) V	E°(pH 7) V	
		NAD	+ /NADH
19.96	0.1034	-0.3105	From ethanol/acetaldehyde (This calc)
21.75	-0.1127	-0.3198	From ethanol/acetaldehyde [74BUR]
20.25	-0.1049	-0.3120	From isopropanol/acetone (This calc)
21.54	-0.1116	-0.3187	From isopropanol/acetone [74BUR]
20.11	-0.1042	-0.3113	Potentiometric [55ROD]
20.11	0.1042	0.3113	Value selected
		NADP	+ ЛАПРН
19.34	-0.1033	0.3104	Potentiometric [59ROD/DON]
21.13	-0.1095	-0.3166	Transhydrogenase $K = 1.50$
21.13	-0.1095	0.3166	Value selected

The NADP⁺ /NADPH potential can be obtained from the NAD⁺ /NADH potential and the transhydrogenase equilibrium

$$NAD^+ + NADPH = NADH + NADP^+$$

This has been measured directly [53KAP/COL] as K = 1.43 at 37 °C but should be nearly the same at 25 °C. There are two measurements of the glutamate dehydrogenase equilibrium with both NAD⁺ and NADP⁺

> glutamate + NAD^+ + $H_2 O =$ α -ketoglutarate + NH_4^+ + NADH + H^+

glutamate + NADPH⁺ + $H_2 O = \alpha$ -ketoglutarate + NH_4^+ + NADPH + H^+

the difference being the transhydrogenase reaction. Olsen and Anfinsen [53OLS/ANF] gave K = 1.466 and Engel and Dalziel [67ENG/DAL] gave 1.60. Burton [74BUR] uses a figure of 3.32 from Engel and Dalziel but this is apparently the value at I=0 instead of I=0.1 mol dm⁻³. The average of the three transhydrogenase equilibria is 1.50 and $\Delta G = -1.00$ kJ. We take for the NADP⁺/NADPH potential for I = 0.1 mol dm⁻³

$$E^{\circ} = -0.1095 \pm 0.0040 \text{ V} \text{ pH 0}$$

 $E^{\circ} = -0.3166 \pm 0.0040 \text{ V} \text{ pH 7}$

The error is higher in this case because of the differences between the potentiometric determination and the transhydrogenation equilibrium.

There is an additional source of error potentially present in all NAD^+ equilibrium measurements. Since the time when many of the measurements cited were performed, commercial preparations of NAD^+ have

been found to contain variable amounts of the α -isomer. As the enzymes react mainly with the natural β -isomer, the presence of 5-20% α -isomer, as is found in some commercial preparations [60KAP], would lead to a commensurate error in the measured equilibrium constant. The problem should be more acute with NAD⁺ than with NADP⁺, as the latter is typically synthesized enzymatically from NAD⁺, so that the product would only consist of the β -isomer. Even then the conversion of the β to α -isomer is acid catalyzed and fast ($t_{1/2}$ is a few hours at pH 5) so that initially pure preparations may become contaminated [75OPP/KAP][87KAM/MAL].

It is evident that a more accurate value of the NAD⁺ and NADP⁺ potentials awaits attention to the α -isomer problem, as well as using a system whose potential is more accurately known than ethanol/acetaldehyde or isopropanol/acctone. The best system would seem to be the formate/bicarbonate system, although the potential difference is rather large for an equilibrium measurement. Approximate equilibrium measurements have been made at 10 °C [76RUS/MUL] and at 55 ° [83YAM/SAI] which are in fair agreement with those calculated from the $\Delta_f G$ values, but measurements at 25 °C are needed. Even more accurate would be a potentiometric value whose reversibility could be demonstrated. The potentiometric measurement could be for NAD⁺/NADH or for some organic system that can be directly coupled to NAD⁺ (e.g., lactate/pyruvate or ethanol/acetaldehyde).

The enthalpy of reduction of NAD⁺ by H₂ and formate was measured by calorimetry [79REK/EGO] [81REK/EGO][86REK/GAL] with respective values of -27.2 ± 1.7 and -27.6 ± 1.7 kJ·mol⁻¹. These are less negative by nearly 5 kJ·mol⁻¹ than the value of -32.1kJ·mol⁻¹ given in Table 7. An attempt to resolve this discrepancy will not be made here, since this study deals mainly with Gibbs energies.

4. Evaluated Equilibria

Reaction 1. Succinate Dehydrogenase

fumarate + H₂ = succinate

$$\Delta G^{\circ} = -84.43 \pm 0.19 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$$

This is based on the potential measured by Borsook and Schott [31 BOR/SCHa,b] using succinate dehydrogenase, methylene blue mediator, and a platinum electrode.

fumarate +
$$2e^-$$
 + $2H^+$ = succinate
 E° = +0.4375 ± 0.0010 pH 0
 $E^{\circ\prime}$ = +0.0234 ± 0.0010 pH 7

The Gibbs energy is given by $\Delta G^{\circ} = -n F E^{\circ}$. An error of 0.0010 V corresponds to 0.20 kJ·mol⁻¹ in ΔG . Since the ratio of activity coefficients should be about 1, the ionic strength dependence should be small. Potential measurements of enzyme equilibrium have always been difficult and prone to large errors. However, this determination is one of the few that seems accurate. The ΔG value is confirmed by $\Delta E' / \Delta T$ (between 18 and 30 °C) giving $\Delta H = -124.9 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ that can be compared to thermal data yielding $\Delta H = -131.8 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ (Table 2). Borsook and Schott calculated the ΔG for this reaction from the heats of combustion and third law entropies and obtained remarkably good agreement, -20.14 kcal mol⁻¹ from the potential measurements compared to -20.46 kcal mol⁻¹ from the third law calculation. This paper was widely cited as evidence that thermodynamics is applicable to biological systems [81SLA]. The excellent agreement of the two $\Delta_r G$ is apparently the result of a cancellation of errors. The error in the entropy of succinate (2.0 cal $K^{-1} \cdot mol^{-1}$) by itself would result in an error in $\Delta_r G$ of 0.60 kcal mol⁻¹, and the errors in the $\Delta_{\rm f} H$ of succinic and fumaric acids were comparable.

Reaction 2. Fumarase

fumarate + H₂O = L-malate

$$\Delta G^{\circ} = -3.57 \pm 0.04 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$$

This is based on Krebs determination, at I=0.1 mol dm⁻³, of K=4.32 [53KREa] and is in close agreement with Bok and Alberty's value of 4.26 [53BOK/ALB]. Recent determinations by Goldberg *et al.* [85GAJ/GOL] at several ionic strengths lead to a value of 4.20 ± 0.05 which is also in very good agreement. It is confirmed by high temperature non-enzymatic, base catalyzed reaction [59ERI/ALB]. It is in agreement with the value of 4.25 ± 0.08 given by Cook *et al.* [80COO/BLA].

Reaction 3. Aspartase

fumarate +
$$NH_4^+$$
 = L-aspartate

$$\Delta G^{\circ} = -13.70 \pm 0.04 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$$

This is based on the measurements of $K = 3.98 \times 10^{-3}$ at I=0.1 mol dm⁻³ by Goldberg *et al.* [86GOL/GAJ]. The equilibrium was investigated at temperatures between 13 °C and 43 °C and ionic strengths between 0.066 and 0.366 mol kg⁻¹. There is a slight systematic difference between these results and those of Bada and Miller ($K = 4.64 \times 10^{-3}$ at I=0.1 mol dm⁻³) [68BAD/MIL], who investigated the enzyme-catalyzed reaction from 5 to 36 °C and compared the results with non-enzymatic attainment of equilibrium at temperatures of 118 °C and 136 °C. The difference may be due to the use of KCl as background electrolyte in one case [86GOL/GAJ] and NaCl in the other [68BAD/MIL].

Reaction 4. Malate Synthetase

acetate + glyoxylate = L-malate $\Delta G^{\circ} = -14.6 \pm 2.0 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$

Hersh [73HER] determined the equilibrium constant for the reaction :

acetyl-CoA + glyoxylate = malyl-CoA

obtaining a value of K = 330 at 30 °C or $\Delta G^{\circ} = -14.6$ kJ·mol⁻¹. Assuming that the free energies of hydrolysis of acetyl- and malyl-CoA are the same, as seems to be the case for acetyl CoA and succinyl CoA [78LYN/GUY], and neglecting the dependence on temperature, leaves this as the value for reaction 4. The approximations made should be within the stated uncertainty.

Reaction 5. Isocitrase

succinate + glyoxylate = isocitrate

$$\Delta G^{\circ} = -16.0 \pm 2.0 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$$

The active isomer is three $D_s(+)$ isocitrate [62VIC] but will be referred to here as isocitrate. Williams *et al.* [71WIL/ROC] reported K = 430 for direct determination of the equilibrium at 27 °C, (the range was 320 and 630). They calculated K = 630 from the ratio of forward and reverse rate constants. These measurements were obtained at pH 7.7 and $I = 0.1 \text{ mol } \text{dm}^{-3}$, corresponding to $\Delta G = -15.0 \text{ kJ}$ and -16.0 kJ, respectively. The average is -15.5 kJ, for 27 °C. However, at 25 °C the equilibrium should be slightly more to the right, so we have assigned a value of -16.0 kJ for this reaction.

This is a difficult equilibrium to measure. For example, a previous study [57SMI/GUN] reported a value of K = 34, but this was in error because the reaction mixtures contained cysteine which binds to glyoxylate. This is one of the more useful equilibria in the Krebs cycle.

Reaction 6. Citrate-oxaloacetate Lyase

acetate + oxaloacetate = citrate $\Delta G^{\circ} = -2.43 \pm 0.59 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ This is based on the value reported by Tate and Datta [65TAT/DAT] of $K = 3.08 \pm 0.72$ for 25 °C, I=0.1 mol dm⁻³ and oxaloacetate in the keto form. For aqueous oxaloacetate this becomes $K=2.65\pm0.72$. These measurements are complicated by complexing with magnesium ions, and the above has been corrected by extrapolation to Mg⁺² = 0. Harvey and Collins [63HAR/COL] report a value of 15.7 at 30 °C but the equilibrium was measured only from the cleavage direction. Guynn *et al.* [73GUY/GEL] report a value of $K=0.94\pm0.07$ at 38 °C and I=0.25 mol dm⁻³; however a reliable correction to 25 °C and I=0.1 mol dm⁻³ is not feasible because $\Delta_r H$ is not known and the γ corrections are large.

Reaction 7. Aconitase

cis-aconitate + H₂O = citrate

 $\Delta G^{\circ} = -8.49 \pm 0.04 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$

This is based on measurements of the amount of aconitate in equilibrium with citrate and isocitrate. There is an equivalent equilibrium of *cis*-aconitate + H_2O = isocitrate, but the difference between these ΔG values is that for isocitrate = citrate. There are several values for K of about 20 in the literature [43KRE/EGG] [66THO/ NAN], and several around 30 [43MAR/LEO][53KREa]. We will use Krebs value of 30.7 [53KREa].

Reaction 8. Aconitase

isocitrate = citrate

 $\Delta G^{\circ} = -6.11 \pm 0.20 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$

This is based on the results of Blair [69 BLA] at I=0.1 mol dm⁻³ and 25 °C. The Mg²+ was extrapolated to zero with the ionic strength maintained at 0.1 *M* by Na⁺, giving K = 11.73. With tetramethylammonium as cation, the value of *K* was 9.31 at I=0.1 mol dm⁻³. Other values include 14.7 [53KREa] and 7.8 [67ENG/DEN] The value of 11.73 is adopted here.

Reaction 9. Isocitrate Dehydrogenase

isocitrate + $NADP^+$ =

 α -ketoglutarate + NADPH + CO₂(g)

 $\Delta G^{\circ} = -8.0 \pm 1.2 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$

This is based on the measurements of Londesborough and Dalziel [68LON/DAL] at 25 °C and I=0.1 mol dm⁻³, who gave K = 25.6. The effect of ionic strength and Mg²⁺ was small, but there is considerable uncertainty in the potential of the NADP/NADPH redox couple as discussed above. The assigned error of 0.30

kcal is due primarily to the uncertainty in this potential. Cook et al. [80COO/BLA] give Keq for dissolved CO₂ as 1.04 ± 0.18 M which becomes 30.4 for CO₂ gas.

Reaction 10. Glycolate Dehydrogenase

glycolate + $NAD^+ =$

glyoxylate + NADH + H^+

 $\Delta G^{\circ} = +84.35 \pm 0.67 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$

This is based on Zelitch's measurement [55ZEL] of $K = 1.65 \pm 0.43 \times 10^{-15}$. Later measurements [68KOH/JAKb][70KOH/WAR] gave values of 2.61×10^{-15} and 2.90×10^{-15} . The systematic shift towards glyoxylate may be due to the presence of Tris buffer, which can complex the glyoxylate. The value of 6×10^{-18} of Cartwright and Hullin [66CAR/HUL] seems to be too low. Other problems suggest that the equilibrium should be reinvestigated to obtain a more reliable value.

Reaction 11. Lactate Dehydrogenase

L-lactate + NAD⁺ = pyruvate + NADH + H⁺ $\Delta G^{\circ} = 65.98 \pm 0.42 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$

We have selected $K = 2.76 \times 10^{-12}$ which is the value reported by Hakala *et al.* [56HAK/GLA]. They also measured the temperature coefficient, obtaining a value of $\Delta H = 43.1 \pm 0.8$ kJ·mol⁻¹ which is in agreement with an early calorimetric value of $\Delta H = 44.4 \pm 1.1$ kJ·mol⁻¹ [55KAT] but not with later values of $\Delta H = 61.9 \pm 1.3$ kJ·mol⁻¹ [75DON/BAR] and $\Delta H = 55.8 \pm 1.1$ kJ·mol⁻¹ [79SCH/HIN]. Cook *et al.* [80COO/BLA] reported an equilibrium constant of $K = 2.19 \pm 0.08 \times 10^{-12}$. There is a direct potentiometric measurement for the reaction Labeyrie [60LAB/NAS].

pyruvate + $2e^-$ + $2H^+$ = lactate

of $E^{\circ} = -0.188 \pm 0.003$ V at 25 °C and pH 7 (corrected from -0.190 V at 27 °). If the NAD⁺/NADH potential is taken as -0.320 V at 25 °C and pH 7, then $K = 3.4 \times 10^{-12}$ and $\Delta G = 65.4$ kJ mol⁻¹, but the agreement is much less if the NAD⁺/NADH potential is taken as -0.311V. Earlier measurements of Barron and Hastings [34BAR/HAS] and of Wurmser [33WUR/MAY] gave E° values of -0.158 V. These may be correctable to -0.167 V if they used DL-lactate instead of L-lactate, but -0.167 V is still in disagreement with the measurements of Labeyrie *et al*. An accurate potential for lactate/pyruvate would be most useful.

Reaction 12. Malate Dehydrogenase

L-malate + NAD⁺ =
oxaloacetate + NADH + H⁺
$$\Delta G$$
 = +68.37 ± 0.41 kJ·mol⁻¹

This is based on Burton and Wilson's [53 BUR/WIL] value of $K = 1.05 \times 10^{-12}$ for the reaction at I = 0.1 mol dm⁻³ ($K = 0.6 \times 10^{-12}$ at I = 0). Later results have been in very good agreement with this value. These include $K = 1.28 \times 10^{-12}$ [68KOH/JAKa], 0.79 $\times 10^{-12}$ [65YOS], $K = 1.03 \times 10^{-12}$ [62RAV/WOL], $K = 0.59 \times 10^{-12}$ [75SCH/RIF] and $K = 0.71 \times 10^{-12}$ [80COO/BLA]. Guynn *et al.* [73GUY/GEL] give $K = 1.02 \pm .03 \times 10^{-12}$ at I = 0.25, which corrects to $K = 0.73 \times 10^{-12}$ at I = 0.1 mol dm⁻³ using the ionic strength dependence given by Burton and Wilson [53BUR/WIL]. A calorimetric measurement gave $\Delta H = 89.5 \pm 0.8$ kJ·mol⁻¹ [76JES].

Reaction 13. Malic Enzyme

L-malate + $NADP^+ =$

pyruvate + $CO_2(g)$ + NADPH + H⁺

 $\Delta G = +1.00 \pm 1.20 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$

Ochoa and coworkers [53HAR/KOR] measured this equilibrium at pH = 7.4 and 22-25 °C, yielding

$$K = \frac{[\text{malate}] [\text{NADP}^+]}{[\text{pyruvate}] [\text{CO}_2 (aq)] [\text{NADPH}]} = 19.6$$

Schimerlik and Cleland [77SCH/CLE] give values of K = 83 and 22 as determined from ratios of rate constants and a value of $K = 33.0\pm2.0$ determined from a directly measured equilibrium constant. Using the value of $K = 19.6\pm10$ for this reaction and the value given in Table 1 for CO₂(g) = CO₂(aq) ($\Delta G^{\circ} = +8.37 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$) yields the value given above.

Reaction 14. Oxaloacetate Decarboxylase

 $oxaloacetate + H_2O = pyruvate + HCO_3^-$

 $\Delta G^{\circ} = -25.94 \pm 1.25 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$

The value selected is that reported by Wood *et al*. [66WOO/DAV]. It is based on a complicated set of equilibria involving phosphoenol pyruvate and ATP hydrolysis. It is difficult to evaluate, but it is consistent with the malic enzyme and malic dehydrogenase equilibria. The uncertainty assigned is estimated.

Reaction 15. a-Hydroxyglutarate Dehydrogenase

L- α -hydroxyglutarate + NAD⁺ = α -ketoglutarate + NADH + H⁺ ΔG = +67.53 ± 0.21 kJ mol⁻¹

This equilibrium was measured by Buckel & Miller [87BUC/MIL].

Reaction 16. Malate-lactate Transhydrogenase

L-lactate + oxaloacetate = pyruvate + L-malate

 $\Delta G^{\circ} = -1.46 \pm 0.60 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$

The equilibrium constant for this reaction is given in *Methods of Enzymology* [69ALL] as $K = 1.8 \pm 0.4$. The temperature is not specified but is assumed to be 25 °C.

Reaction 17. Isocitrate-pyruvate Transcarboxylase

pyruvate + isocitrate =

L-malate + α -ketoglutarate

 $\Delta G^{\circ} = -6.69 \pm 0.80 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$

This is not a single enzyme, but rather a combination of the malic enzyme and isocitrate dehydrogenase. Ochoa [53HAR/KOR][50OCH/VEI] gives a value of (~15 for the equilibrium constant. Since this is a directly measured equilibrium which does not require a potential for NADP, the uncertainty is taken to be ± 0.8 kJ.

Reaction 18. Glutamate Dehydrogenase

L-glutamate + NAD^+ + H_2O =

 α -ketoglutarate + NH₄⁺ + NADH + H⁺

 $\Delta G^{\circ} = +74.80 \pm 0.80 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$

Engel and Dalziel [67ENG/DAL] measured this equilibrium using both NAD⁺ and NADP⁺, obtaining values at $I = 0.1 \text{ mol } dm^{-3}$ of $K = 8.17 \times 10^{-14}$ and K = 5.10 \times 10⁻¹⁴ respectively. Olsen and Anfinsen [53OLS/ ANF] also measured these two systems and obtained values of $K = 14.4 \times 10^{-14}$ (NAD) and 8.9 $\times 10^{-14}$ (NADP). However, the ionic strength in this case was 0.47 mol dm⁻³. Several investigators have made determinations using only NADP. Cook, et al. [80COO/BLA] obtained the value $K = 4.4 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{-14}$ at an ionic strength of apparently 0.1 mol dm⁻³, Subramanian [78SUB] obtained a value of $K = 7.3 \times 10^{-14}$ at I =0.305 mol dm⁻³ which becomes $K = 4.1 \times 10^{-14}$ at $I = 0.1 \text{ mol } dm^{-3}$ using the ionic strength dependence of Engel and Dalziel. The value for NADP relative to that for NAD, as determined by Engel and Dalziel, has been used in estimating the NADP/NADPH potential. These subsequent measurements using the NADP reaction give values that are consistent with the choice of $K = 8.17 \times$ 10^{-14} for the equilibrium with NAD. A value of $\Delta H =$ 73.2 kJ·mol⁻¹ was obtained from the temperature dependence of the equilibrium constant [67ENG/DAL] and $\Delta H = 64.6 \pm 1.2 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ by calorimetry [78SUB][79SUB].

Reaction 19. Alanine Dehydrogenase

L-alanine + NAD⁺ + H₂O =
pyruvate + NH₄⁺ + NADH + H⁺
$$\Delta G^{\circ} = 75.15 \pm 0.80 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$$

The equilibrium measured at pH 10 [60OCO/HAL] and corrected for ionization gives $K = 3.85 \times 10^{-14}$. Yoshida and Freese [65YOS/FRE] reported an equilibrium constant of $K = 3.1 \times 10^{-14}$, while Grimshaw and Cleland [81GRI/CLE] obtained a value of $K = 6.8 \times 10^{-14}$ which is selected.

Reaction 20. Aspartate-glycine Transaminase

L-aspartate + glyoxylate = oxaloacetate + glycine $\Delta G^{\circ} = -10.2 \pm 1.20 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$.

This is based on an equilibrium constant of K = 61 determined by Gibbs and Morris [66GIB/MOR][70GIB/MOR]. The experiment was not well described in the reference so the error may be understated. In measuring this equilibrium, care should be taken to establish that the aspartate or other amino acid has not been racemized since glyoxylate is a catalyst for this reaction.

Reaction 21. Glutamate-alanine Transaminase

L-glutamate + pyruvate =

 α -ketoglutarate + L-alanine

 $\Delta G^{\circ} = -1.04 \pm 0.13 \text{kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$

Krebs [53KREb] has reported a carefully determined value for the equilibrium constant of 1.52. The deviation between experimental values was no more than 9% and determinations using the racemic mixture yielded the same value as using the L-isomer. The experimental conditions were very close to the recommended values of 25 °C and $I=0.1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$. Values of K = 1.6 and K = 1.7 at 37 °C have been reported [62SEG/BEA][65MAR/TER].

Reaction 22. Glutamate-aspartate Transaminase

L-glutamate + oxaloacetate =

 α -ketoglutarate + L-aspartate

 $\Delta G^{\circ} = -4.73 \pm 0.12 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$

This is based on the value of 6.74 for the equilibrium constant given by Krebs [53KREb]. The deviation among reported values is only 4% (in K) and all precautions against systematic errors appear to have been taken.

Reaction 23. Pyruvate Formate Lyase

The equilibrium constant for the reaction

pyruvate + H_2O = acetate + formate + H^+

 $\Delta G^{\circ} = -10.8 \pm 4.0 \text{ kJ}$

is obtained as the sum of the pyruvate-formate lyase reaction

$$O = O$$

$$\| O = O$$

$$\| CH_3 C COO^- + HPO_4^{2-} = CH_3 C PO_3^{2-} + HCO_2^{2-}$$

$$\Delta G^* = -7.7 \pm 3.0 \text{ kJ·mol}^{-1}$$

and the hydrolysis of acetylphosphate

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
& & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\$$

The first reaction was measured by Tanaka and Johnson [71TAN/JOH] as K = 23 at 37 ° with a substantial error since the acetyl phosphate is unstable. The ΔG for the hydrolysis of acetyl phosphate is Jenck's value [76JEN]

Reactions 24-28. Glutamate to Acetate and Pyruvate

Reaction 24 is the overall reaction.

L-glutamate + H_2O = acetate + pyruvate + NH_4^+ ΔG° = 8.70 ± 0.80 kJ·mol⁻¹

This is the sum of reactions 25-28 studied by Barker and coworkers. The sequence of reactions is:

L-glutamate = L-threo- β -methyl aspartate		
$\Delta G^{\circ} = +5.86 \pm 0.12 \text{ kJmol}^{-1} \qquad (Reaction \ 25)$		
L-three- β -methyl aspartate = mesaconate + NH_4^+		
$\Delta G^{\circ} = +3.56 \pm 0.42 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$	(Reaction 26)	
mesaconate + $H_2O = L$ -citramalate		
$\Delta G^{\circ} = -4.31 \pm 0.54 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$	(Reaction 27)	
L-citramalate = acetate + pyruvate		
$\Delta G^{\circ} = +3.60 \pm 0.04 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$	(Reaction 28)	

L-glutamate + H₂O = acetate + pyruvate + NH⁺₄ $\Delta G^{\circ} = +8.70\pm0.80 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$

Mesaconate is methyl fumarate and citramalate is α -methyl malate.



Barker et al. [64BAR/ROO][69BARa] measured

 $\frac{\text{(L-glutamate)}}{\text{(L-threo-}\beta\text{-methyl asparate)}} =$

 10.7 ± 0.4 at pH 8.2, 30 °

The correction to 25 ° should not be large.

Barker et al. [59BAR/SMY] measured.

 $\frac{(\text{mesaconate})(\text{NH}_{4}^{+})}{(\text{L-threo}-\beta-\text{methyl asparate})} =$

Barker et al. [69WAN/BARa][69WAN/BARb] measured

 $\frac{\text{L-citramalate})}{\text{(mesaconate)}} = 5.8 \pm 1.0$

The value was 4.6 in the forward direction and 7.0 in the reverse direction.

Buckel and Miller [87BUC/MIL] measured

 $\frac{(acetate)(pyruvate)}{(L-citramalate)} = 0.232 \pm 0.008 .$

This differs from an earlier value [67BAR][69BARb].

There are a number of reactions related to the Krebs cycle that have not been included because their equilibrium constants are not known. These include:

Reaction A. Citrate Synthetase (or Condensing Enzyme)

acetyl CoA + oxaloacetate + H_2O = citrate + CoA + H^+ $\Delta G^{\circ} \sim -32.2 \text{ kJ·mol}^{-1}$

This equilibrium constant is $K = 4.65 \times 10^3$ at 22 °C and pH 7.2 (2.93×10⁻² at pH 0) [52STE/OCH] and K =2.24×10⁶ at 38 °C and pH 7 (0.224 at pH 0) [63GUY/ GEL]. This reaction is related to Reaction 6 by the hydrolysis of acetyl-CoA, and the network could be refined if reliable measurements for this hydrolysis constant were available. The published values for the Gibbs energy of hydrolysis, variously reported as -30.8, -36.4 and -34.5 kJ·mol⁻¹ [55BUR] -31.5 [64JEN/ GIL] and -35.7 kJ·mol⁻¹ [78LYN/GUY], are too disparate to be used in the network.

Reaction B. a-Ketoglutarate Dehydrogénase

 α -ketoglutarate + NAD⁺ + H₂O = succinate + NADH + H⁺ + CO₂(g)

This reaction is irreversible as written ($\Delta G = -24.4$ kJ at pH 0 and -64.3 at pH 7) and is the sum of several reactions in the Krebs cycle, i.e.;

 α -ketoglutarate + NAD⁺ +CoA = succinyl-CoA + NADH + H⁺ + CO₂(g) succinyl-CoA + GDP + P_i = succinate + GTP GTP = GDP + P_i

The first reaction is probably reversible, but the K_{eq} apparently has not been measured. This combined with ΔG of hydrolysis of succinyl CoA would give a value of ΔG for reaction 9.

Reaction C. Glycine Dehydrogenase

glycine + NAD^+ + $H_2O =$ glycxylate + NH_4^+ + NADH + H^+

A value of the equilibrium constant of 2.3×10^{-11} has been reported for this reaction [62GOL/WAG], but this value is inconsistent with the transamination equilibria, so this reaction has not been included in the analysis.

Reaction D. Aspartate Dehydrogenase

aspartate + NAD^+ + H_2O = oxaloacetate + NH_4^+ + NADH + H^+

There is no direct measurement of this equilibrium $(\Delta G \sim 80 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1})$. However several transamination reactions can be manipulated to yield an equivalent reaction.

Reaction E. Pyruvate Decarboxylase

Pyruvate + NAD^+ + $H_2O =$ Acetate + NADH + CO_2 (g) + H^+

This reaction is considered irreversible ($\Delta G = -32$ kJ·mol⁻¹ at pH 0 and -72 kJ·mol⁻¹ at pH 7). However, the reaction is just measurable if the reaction is pulled to the left by reduction of the pyruvate to lactate.

5. Results and Discussion

The results of the CATCH analysis of this Krebs cycle network are shown in Table 9. The differences between the experimental and calculated ΔG of reaction are mostly less than the uncertainty of the experimental data. The "succinate + acetate" results appear to be superior to the "all 3rd law" calculation, even though one would expect superior results when the system is anchored with more third law data. However, it takes only one bad third law value to distort the results. The most probable compound with bad third law $\Delta_{f}G$ in this system is fumarate, where the uncertain entropy could result in a third law $\Delta_{f}G$ too low by 1 kJ·mol⁻¹ or more. The 3rd law aspartic acid and glutamic acid values may also be in error, in this case from the enthalpy of combustion. TABLE 9. CATCH analysis of the Krebs cycle network. The succinate + acetate calculation used only these third law $\Delta_f G$. The All 3rd law calculation used the $\Delta_f G$ of fumarate, glycine, alanine, aspartic acid and glutamic acid in addition.

		$\Delta_{\rm r}G/{\rm kJ}\cdot{\rm mol}^{-1}$	
Reaction	Expt	Succinte + acetate	All 3r law
. Fumarate + H_2 = Succinate		84.46	84.49
$H_2 = H_2 O = Malate$	-3.57	-3.34	-3.27
Fumarate + NH^+ = Aspartate	-13.72	-13.77	-13.80
Acetate + Glyoxylate = Malate	- 14.39	- 14.33	- 14.30
. Succinate + Glyoxylate = Isocitrate	-15.98	-16.11	15.93
Acetate + Oxaloacetate = Citrate	-2.43	-2.32	-2.36
Aconitate $+$ H ₂ O $=$ Citrate	8.49	8.49	8.49
Isocitrate = Citrate	-6.11	-6.15	-6.13
Isocitrate + NADP ⁺ = α -Ketoglutarate + CO ₂ (g) + NADPH	-8.03	7.86	
$Glycolate + NAD^+ = Glyoxylate + NADH + H^+$	84.35	84.35	84.3
Lactate + NAD^+ = Pyruvate + $NADH + H^+$	65.98	66.35	66.35
$Malate + NAD^{+} = Oxaloacetate + NADH + H^{+}$	68.37	68.35	68.34
Malate + NADP ⁺ = Pyruvate + $CO_2(g)$ + NADPH + H ⁺	1.00	1.07	0.24
$Oxaloacetate + II_2O - Pyruvate + HCO_3^-$	-25.94	-25.89	-25.6
α -Hydroxyglutarate + NAD ⁺ = α -Ketoglutarate + NADH + H ⁺	67.53	67.53	67.5
Lactate + Oxaloacetate = Pyruvate + Malate	- 1.46	- 1.99	-1.9
Pyruvate + Isocitrate = Malate + α -Ketoglutarate	-6.69	-6.79	-6.7
Glutamate + NAD^+ + $H_2O = \alpha$ -Ketoglutarate + NII_4^+ + $NADII$ + II^+	7 4 .70	74.12	74.1
Alanine + NAD^+ + H_2O = Pyruvate + NH_4^+ + $NADH$ + H^+	75.15	75.16	75.1
Aspartate + Glyoxylate = Oxaloacetate + Glycine	-10.21	-10.21	- 10.3
Glutamate + Pyruvate = α -Ketoglutarate + Alanine	-1.05		
Glutamate + Oxaloacetate = α -Ketoglutarate + Aspartate	-4.73	4.66	-4.7
$Pyruvate + H_2O = Acetate + Formate + H^+$	- 10.92	- 10.64	- 10.8
Glutamate + H_2O = Acetate + Pyruvate + NH_4^+	8.70	8.74	8.7
Glutamate = β -Methylaspartate	5.86	5.86	5.8
β -Methylaspartate = Mesaconate + NH ₄ ⁺	3.56	3.57	3.5
Mesaconate $+ H_2O = Citramalate$	4.31	4.30	-4.3
Citramalate = Acetate + Pyruvate	3.60	3.61	3.6
$NAD + H_2 = NADH + H^+$	20.12	19.92	20.0
$NADP + H_2 = NADPH + H^+$	21.02	21.04	20.9
Elements = Formate	-351.16	-351.17	-351.1
Elements = Succinate	690.07	690.08	689.8
Elements = Acetate	- 372.21	-371.55	371.2
Elements = Fumarate	604.25	-605.63	605.3
Elements = Glycine	- 371.29	370.61	370.7
Elements = Alanine	- 370.49	371.40	370.8
. Elements = Aspartate	- 700.74	698.87	698.6
. Elements = Glutamate	- 696.34	697.45	- 696.7

The $\Delta_f G$ of the compounds are given in Table 10. The results of the two calculations are mostly within 0.5 kJ·mol⁻¹ and none differ by more than 1.0 kJ·mol⁻¹. The differences with the third law $\Delta_f G$ is somewhat more but still satisfactory.

The errors given for $\Delta_f G$ in Table 10 may be to small, since they are dependent on the input data and the rather arbitrary errors assigned to the enzyme equilibria. In cases where the compounds are involved in a number of reactions, (e.g., fumarate and alanine), the error estimates are probably correct. The error estimates may be too low for compounds connected to the network by only one reaction. Examples are glycolate, glycine, and α -hydroxyglutarate. The $\Delta_f G$ for glyoxylate may be in error by an amount greater than indicated because all the equilibrium constants involving this compound are relatively uncertain.

The $\Delta_f G$'s in Table 10 are self-constant. Care should be taken in combining these values with those in other tables, since the combined errors in the $\Delta_r G$ could be quite large.

6. Extensions and Improvements

There are a number of branches to the Krebs cycle which can be put into this network. These include oxalic acid, fatty acids, alcohols, amines, other amino acids and even sugars. Equilibrium constants are not available for all the steps in these branches, so some experimental work will be required. TABLE 10. Gibbs energies of formation of Krebs cycle compounds. The "succinate + acetate" and "all third law" columns are as described in Table 9. The $\Delta_f G$ of NAD⁺ and NADP⁺ have been set at -418.40 kJ/mol and are not the actual values.

	$\Delta_{\rm f}G/{\rm kJ}\cdot{\rm mol}^{-1}$	
	succinate + acetate	all 3rd law
Formate	-350.99 ± 0.20	-350.99 ± 0.20
Acetate	-371.57 ± 0.33	-371.33 ± 0.37
Succinate	-690.08 ± 0.29	-689.74 ± 0.35
Fumarate	-605.61 ± 0.35	-605.24 ± 0.34
Mesaconate	-608.54 ± 0.68	-607.83 ± 0.61
Glycolate	-523.68 ± 0.82	-523.75 ± 0.91
L-Lactate	-520.29 ± 0.52	-519.92 ± 0.48
L-Malate	-846.14 ± 0.41	-845.91 ± 0.36
L-Citramalate	-850.03 ± 0.67	-849.35 ± 0.61
L-a-Hydroxyglutarate	-848.58 ± 0.83	-847.90 ± 0.83
Glyoxylate	-460.25 ± 0.44	-460.30 ± 0.44
Pyruvate	-474.85 ± 0.46	-474.44 ± 0.44
Oxaloacetate	-798.71 ± 0.46	-798.40 ± 0.50
α -Ketoglutarate	-801.97 ± 0.75	-801.26 ± 0.72
Glycine	-370.60 ± 0.69	-370.77 ± 0.51
L-Alanine	-371.38 ± 0.49	-370.88 ± 0.39
L-Aspartate	-698.85 ± 0.37	-698.52 ± 0.35
L-Threo- β -methylaspartate	-691.57 ± 0.67	-690.83 ± 0.57
L-Glutamate	-697.43 ± 0.67	-696.90 ± 0.55
Citrate	-1172.59 ± 0.62	-1172.11 ± 0.62
D-Isocitrate	-1166.44 ± 0.61	-1165.98 ± 0.61
cis-Aconitate	-926.91 ± 0.75	-926.43 ± 0.80
NAD ⁺	-418.40 ± 0.00	-418.40 ± 0.00
NADH	-397.48 ± 0.31	-397.51 ± 0.36
NADP+	-418.40 ± 0.00	-418.40 ± 0.00
NADPH	-396.38 ± 0.36	-396.53 ± 0.41

The present network can be improved in a number of ways. Enthalpies of combustion can always be improved. It is recognized how difficult these measurements are, but the data are badly needed. It is suggested that the enthalpies of combustion be measured on different compounds of the same substance. Thus, to obtain better data on the acetate ion, it would be better to measure five samples of acetic acid and five of ammonium acetate instead of ten samples of acetic acid, which is difficult to obtain water free. It is necessary to have the enthalpy of solution to compare the results, but $\Delta_{sol}H$ are relatively easy to obtain accurately. Similarly it is better to split the samples among D, L and DL-alanine instead of using only L-alanine.

There are a number of third law entropies that would be useful to have, with fumarate being the prime candidate since it is more central to the Krebs cycle than succinate. There seems little point in obtaining third law entropies of compounds for which the entropy of solution is difficult to obtain. Examples are lactic acid, pyruvic acid and isocitric acid, which are very soluble and which form polyesters, aldol products and a lactone. The heat capacity should be measured on the compound which is in equilibrium at saturation. It was fortunate that the S° of anhydrous sodium acetate was usable because of the metastability of the supersaturated solutions, even though the stable phase is the trihydrate. Third law entropies have been obtained for L-serine and L-proline, but the solid phases at saturation are hydrates. In addition the DL-amino acids are very much less soluble in these two cases, and the activity coefficients have been measured nearly to saturation for these racemic amino acids.

Modern enthalpies of solution for a number of amino acids are needed with aspartic acid, glutamic acid, asparagine and glutamine being prime candidates. Those planning to make measurements of $\Delta_{sol}H$ should select compounds with modern third law entropies and enthalpies of combustion.

The problems of the NAD⁺ and NADP⁺ potentials have been reviewed along with the problem of the α -isomer. An accurate potentiometric measurement would be ideal. Although the potential at I=0 mol dm⁻³ is good to have, the real need is at I=0.1 mol dm⁻³. The next most accurate way to obtain this potential is to measure the equilibrium constant for reaction with formate/bicarbonate at 25 °C. This is an accurately known potential although the equilibrium lies far to the side of reduction of NAD⁺. Even better would be the equilibrium using H₂.

The lyase reactions tie the Krebs cycle network tightly together, so that really accurate $\Delta_r G$ for the citrate, isocitrate and malate lyase reactions would be very valuable. Several carboxylation reactions would be of interest, examples being acetate to pyruvate and succinate to α -ketoglutarate. These reactions are very unfavorable at the level of the keto acid, but reduction to the amino acid or hydroxy acid would be measurable with a strongly reducing couple such as formate/bicarbonate.

Accurate equilibrium constants are usually easier to measure with pH independent reactions than with those involving NAD⁺/NADH. Examples are transamination and amination equilibria, e.g.

alanine + α -ketoglutarate = pyruvate + glutamate

alanine + H_2O = lactate + NH_4^+

These reactions are various sums of the alanine, glutamate and lactate dehydrogenases, but more accurate data for the dehydrogenases should be obtainable using the transamination and amination equilibria combined with one good dehydrogenase equilibrium, e.g., alanine dehydrogenase.

7. Glossary of Symbols and Terminology

English

m	concentration of solution expressed as molality
	(amount of solute per kg of water)
n	moles of substance
x	mole fraction

S. L. MILLER AND D. SMITH-MAGOWAN

E °	Emf at pH 0
E *'	Emf at pH 7
F	Faraday (96487 C mol ^{-1})
G	Gibbs energy
H	enthalpy
Ι	ionic strength
K	equilibrium constant
М	concentration of solution expressed as molarity
	(amount of solute per 1000 cm ³ of solution)
Р	pressure (atm)
R	gas constant (8.31441 $J \cdot mol^{-1} \cdot K^{-1}$)
S	entropy
Τ	thermodynamic temperature
V	volts
NAD ⁺	Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide
	Reduced nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide
NADP ⁺	Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate
NADPH	Reduced nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide
	phosphate
CoA	Coenzyme A
ATP	Adenosine triphosphate
ADP	Adenosine diphosphate
AMP	Adenosine monophosphate
GTP	Guanosine triphosphate
GDP	Guanosine diphosphate
$\mathbf{P_i}$	Inorganic orthophosphate
Greek:	
$\gamma \Delta$	activity coefficient
Δ	change in a property
c	- 4-
Superscri	DIS:
•	standard state quantity
	standard state quantity
Subscript.	5:
f	formation property
r	formation property reaction property
sol	
ion	solution property
1011	ionization property
Physical 2	States :
ao	aqueous, standard state of the indicated species
aq	aqueous solution, concentration not specified

aq	aqueous solution, concentration not specified
cr	crystalline solid
g	gas
1	liquid

8. Acknowledgements

This work was supported by NBS Grant 60NANB6D0656 to one of us (SLM). We thank Drs. R. Goldberg, E. Domalski and M. Chase for helpful comments, and L. Lane for manuscript preparation.

9. References

15BRA	Branch, G. E. K. (1915) The free energy of formation of formic acid, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 37, 2316-2326.
23LEW/RAN	Lewis, G. N., & Randall, M. (1923) Thermodynamics (McGraw Hill, New York), p. 584-585.
23WEI/DOW	
25BLA	Blaschko, H. (1925) Über die Verbrennungswärme der Brenztraubensäure und ihre Physiologische Bedeu- tung, Biochem. Z. 158, 428-434.
29BRE/CAR	Bredig, G., Carter, S. R., & Enderli, M. (1929) Über das Gleichgewicht der Kohlendioxyd-Abspaltung aus Ameisensaure und ihr Potential, Monatsch. 54, 1023- 1030.
29PAR/KEL	Parks, G. S., Kelley, K. K., & Huffman, H. M. (1929) Thermal data on organic compounds. V. A revision of the entropies and free energies of nineteen organic compounds, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 51 , 1969-1973.
30BEA/MCV	Beare, W. G., McVicar, G. A., & Ferguson, J. B. (1930) The determination of vapour and liquid compo- sitions in binary systems. II. Acetone-water at 25 °, J. Phys. Chem. 34, 1310-1318.
30LAN/SIN	Lange, N. A., & Sinks, M. H. (1930) The solubility, specific gravity and index of refraction of aqueous so- lutions of fumaric, maleic and <i>i</i> -malic acids, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 52, 2602–2604.
30PAR/HUF	Parks, G. S., & Huffman, H. M. (1930) Thermal data on organic compounds. IX. A study of the effect of unsaturation on the heat capacities, entropies and free energies of some hydrocarbons and other compounds, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 52, 4381-4391.
30WAS	Wassermann, A., (1930) Die zwischenmolekularen Bindungsfestigkeiten der Fumar- und Maleinsäure and ihrer Dimethylester, Z. Physik. Chem. A 146, 418- 445.
31BOR/SCHa	Borsook, H., & Schott, H. F. (1931) The role of the enzyme in the succinate-enzyme-fumarate equilibrium, J. Biol. Chem. 92, 535-557.
31BOR/SCHb	Borsook, H., & Schott, H. F. (1931) The free energy, heat, and entropy of formation of <i>l</i> -malic acid, J. Biol. Chem. 92 , 559–567.
31HAR	Hartley, G. S. (1931) Diffusion and distribution in a solvent of graded composition, Trans. Faraday Soc. 27, 10–29.
33WUR/MAY	Wurmser, M. R., & Mayer-Reich, M. N. (1933) L'Equilibre entre les acides lactique et pyruvique, J. Chim. Phys. 30, 249-265.
34BAR/HAS	Barron, E. S. G, & Hasting, A. B. (1934) Studies on biological oxidations. III. The oxidation-reduction po- tential of the system lactate-enzyme-pyruvate, J. Biol. Chem. 107, 567-578.
34CAM/CAM	Campbell, A. N., & Campbell, A. J. R. (1934) The thermodynamics of binary liquid mixtures: formic acid and water, Trans. Faraday Soc. 30, 1109-1114.
34KAY/PAR	Kaye, W. A., & Parks, G. S. (1934) The partial pres- sures of formic and acetic acids above some aqueous solutions; and their partial molal free energies at 1. O molal concentration, J. Chem. Phys. 2, 141-142.
35BUT/RAM	Butler, J. A. V., Ramchandani, C. N., & Thomson, D. W. (1935) The solubility of non-electrolytes. Part I. The free energy of hydration of some aliphatic alcohols, J. Chem. Soc. 1935 , 280–285.

- 36WOO Woods, D. D. (1936) Hydrogenlyases. IV. The synthesis of formic acid by bacteria, Biochem. J. 30, 515-527.
- 36WUR/FIL Wurmser, R., & Filitti-Wurmser, S. (1936) Sur l'équilibre entre l'alcool isopropylique et l'acetone en présence d'alcooldéshydrase. Potential d'oxydoréduction du système –CHOH-=CO-, J. Chim. Phys. 33, 577-584.

1068

THE THERMODYNAMICS OF THE KREBS CYCLE AND RELATED COMPOUNDS

- 37KIE Kielland, J. (1937) Individual activity coefficients of ions in aqueous solutions, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 59, 1675– 1678.
- 38DOL/GRE Dolliver, M. A., Gresham, T. L., Kistiakowsky, G. B., Smith, E. A., & Vaughan, W. E. (1938) Heats of organic reactions. VI. Heats of hydrogenation of some oxygen-containing compounds, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 60, 440-450.
- 41STO/FIS Stout, J. W., & Fisher, L. H. (1941) The entropy of formic acid. The heat capacity from 15 to 300 °K. Heats of fusion and vaporization, J. Chem. Phys. 9, 163-168.
- 43COH/EDS Cohn, E. J., & Edsall, J. T. (1943) Proteins, Amino Acids and Peptides (Reinhold, New York), pp. 261-264.
- 43KRE/EGG Krebs, H. A., Eggleston, L. V. (1943) The effect of citrate on the rotation of the molybdate complexes of malate, citramalate and isocitrate, Biochem. J. 37, 334-338.
- 43MAR/LEO Martius, C., & Leonhardt, H. (1943) Über aconitase, Hoppe-Seyler's Z. Physiol. Chem. 278, 208-212.
- 45KOL/BUR Kolb, H. J., & Burwell, R. L., Jr. (1945) Equilibrium in the dehydrogenation of secondary propyl and butyl alcohols, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 67, 1084–1088.
- 49COL/DEV Coleman, C. F., & De Vries, T. (1949) The heat capacity of organic vapors. V. Acetaldehyde, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 71, 2839-2844.
- 50COL/POP Coles, K. F., & Popper, F. (1950) Vapor-liquid equilibria, Ind. Eng. Chem. 48, 1434–1438.
- 500CH/SAL Ochoa, S., Salles, J. B. V., & Ortiz, P. J. (1950) Biosynthesis of dicarboxylic acids by carbon dioxide fixature. III. Enzymatic synthesis of *l*-malic acid by reductive carboxylation of pyruvic acid, J. Biol. Chem. 187, 863-874.
- 500CH/VEI Ochoa, S., Veiga Salles, J. B., & Ortiz, P. J. (1950) Biosynthesis of dicarboxylic acids by carbon dioxide fixation. III. Enzymatic synthesis of *l*-malic acid by reductive carboxylation of pyruvic acid, J. Biol. Chem. 187, 863-874.
- 51DIM/LAN Dimmling, W., & Lange E. (1951) Verdünnungs- und Löungswärmen von n-Propylalkohol und iso-Propylalkohol in Wasser bei 25 °C, Z. Elektrochemie 55, 322-327.
- 52BEL/CLU Bell, R. P., & Clunie, J. C. (1952) The hydration of acetaldehyde in aqueous solution, Trans. Faraday Soc. 48, 439-442.
- 52EVE/LAN Everett, D. H., Landsman, D. A., & Pinsent, B. R. W. (1952) The thermodynamics of ionization of some fatty acids, Proc. Roy. Soc. A215, 403-415.
- 52LON/MCD Long, F. A., & McDevit, W. F. (1952) Activity coefficients of nonelectrolyte solutes in aqueous salt solutions, Chem. Rev. 51, 119-169.
- 52PED Pedersen, K. J. (1952) The dissociation constants of pyruvic and oxaloacetic acid, Acta Chem. Scand. 6, 243-256.
- 52ROS/WAG Rossini, F. D., Wagman, D. D., Evans, W. H., Levine, S., & Jaffe, I. (1952) Selected values of chemical thermodynamic properties, U. S. Natl. Bur. Standards Circular No. 500 (U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D. C.).
- 52STE/OCH Stern, J. R., & Ochoa, S., & Lynen, F. (1952) Enzymatic synthesis of citric acid. V. Reaction of acetyl coenzyme A., J. Biol. Chem. 198, 313-321.
- 52WAR Waring, W. (1952) Some thermodynamic properties of formic acid, Chem. Reviews 51, 171–183.
- 53BOC/ALB Bock, R. M., & Alberty, R. A. (1953) Studies of the enzyme fumarase. I. Kinetics and equilibrium, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 75, 1921–1925.

- 53BUR/KRE Burton, K., & Krebs, H. A. (1953) The free-energy changes associated with the individual steps of the tricarboxylic acid cycle, glycolysis and alcoholic fermentation and with the hydrolysis of the pyrophosphate groups of adenosinetriphosphate, Biochem. J. 54, 94-107.
- 53BUR/WIL Burton, K., & Wilson, T. H. (1953) The free-energy changes for the reduction of diphosphopyridine nucleotide and the dehydrogenation of *L*-malate and *L*-glycerol 1-phosphate, Biochem. J. 54, 86-94.
- 53HAR/KOR Harary, I., Korey, S. R., & Ochoa, S. (1953) Biosynthesis of dicarboxylic acids by carbon dioxide fixation. VII. Equilibrium of the "malic" enzyme reaction, J. Biol. Chem. 203, 595-604.
- 53KAP/COL Kaplan, N. O., Colowick, S. P., & Neufeld, E. F. (1953) Pyridine nucleotide transhydrogenase. III. Animal tissue transhydrogenases, J. Biol. Chem. 205, 1-15.
- 53KREa Krebs, H. A. (1953) The equilibrium constants of the fumarase and aconitase systems, Biochem. J. 54, 78-82.
 53KREb Krebs, H. A. (1953) Equilibria in transamination sys
 - tenes, Biochem. J. 54, 82–86.
- 53LUM/MAR Lumb, R. F., & Martell, A. E. (1953) Metal chelating tendencies of glutamic and aspartic acids, J. Phys. Chem. 57, 690.
- 53OLS/ANF Olson, J. A., & Anfinsen, C. B. (1953) Kinetic and equilibrium studies on crystalline L-glutamic acid dehydrogenase, J. Biol. Chem. 202, 841-856.
- 55BUR Burton, K. (1955) The free energy change associated with the hydrolysis of the thiol ester bond of acetyl coenzyme A, Biochem. J. 59, 44-46.
- 55HAN/MIL Hansen, R. S., Miller, F. A., & Christian, S. D. (1955) Activity coefficients of components in the systems water-acetic acid, water-propionic acid and water-n-butyric acid at 25°, J. Phys. Chem. 59, 391-395.
- 55KAT Katz, S. (1955) The thermodynamics of the reaction of pyruvic acid with reduced diphosphopyridine nucleotide, Biochim. Biophys. Acta 17, 226-232.
- 55LEV Levien, B. J. (1955) A physicochemical study of aqueous citric acid solutions, J. Phys. Chem. 59, 640-644.
- 55ROD Rodkey, F. L. (1955) Oxidation-reduction potentials of the diphosphopyridine nucleotide system, J. Biol. Chem. 213, 777-786.
- 55ZEL Zelitch, I. (1955) The isolation and action of crystalline glyoxylic acid reductase from tobacco leaves, J. Biol. Chem. 216, 553-575.
- 56HAK/GLA Hakala, M. T., Glaid, A. J., & Schwert, G. W. (1956) Lactic dehydrogenase. II. Variation of kinetic and equilibrium constants with temperature, J. Biol. Chem. 221, 191-209.
- 57BUR Burton, K. (1957) Free energy data of biological interest, Ergb. Physiol. Biol. Chem. Exp. Pharm. 49, 275-298.
- 57SMI/GUN Smith, R. A., & Gunsalus, I. D. (1957) Isocitritase: Enzyme properties and reaction equilibrium, J. Biol. Chem. 229, 305-319.
- 58BAC Bäcklin, K. I. (1958) The equilibrium constant of the system ethanol, aldehyde, DPN⁺, DPNH and H⁺, Acta Chem. Scan. 12, 1279–1285.
- 58HIT Hitchcock, D. I. (1958) The ionization constant of isocitric acid, J. Phys. Chem. 62, 1337-1339.
- 58LIN/SEI Linke, W. F., & Seidell, A. (1958) Solubilities, (Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J.).
- 59BAR/SMY Barker, H. A., Smyth, R. D., Wilson, R. M., & Weissbach, H. (1959) The purification and properties of βmethylaspartase, J. Biol. Chem. 234, 320-328.
- 59ERI/ALB Erickson, L. E., & Alberty, R. A. (1959) Kinetics and mechanism of the base-catalyzed hydration of fumarate to malate, J. Phys. Chem. 63, 705-709.

- 59ROB/STO Robinson, R. A., & Stokes, R. H. (1959) Electrolyte Solutions, 2nd Ed. (Butterworth, London).
- 59ROD Rodkey, F. L. (1959) The effect of temperature on the oxidation-reduction potential of the diphosphopyridine nucleotide system, J. Biol. Chem. 234, 188–190.
- 59ROD/DON Rodkey, F. L., & Donovan, J. A., Jr. (1959) Oxidation-reduction potentials of the triphosphopyridine nucleotide system, J. Biol. Chem. 234, 677-680.
- 59SAV/GUN Saville, G., & Gundry, H. A. (1959) The heats of combustion, solution and ionization of lactic acid, Trans. Faraday Soc. 55, 2036–2038.
- 59SAV/GUN Saville, G., & Gundry, H. A. (1959) The heats of combustion, solution and ionization of lactic acid, Trans. Faraday Soc. 55, 2036-2038.
- 60CLA Clark, W. M. (1960) Oxidation-Reduction Potentials of Organic Systems (Williams and Wilkins, Baltimore), pp. 487-505.
- 60DAH/LON Dahlgren, G., Jr., & Long, F. A. (1960) Relative hydrogen bonding of deuterium. I. Ionization constants of maleic and fumaric acids and of their monoethyl esters in H₂O and D₂O, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 82, 1303– 1308.
- Johnson, M. J. (1960) Enzymic equilibria and thermodynamics, in *The Enzymes*, 2nd Edition, Edited by P.
 D. Boyer, H. Lardy and K. Myrbäck (Academic Press, New York), Vol. III, pp. 407-441.
- 60KAP Kaplan, N. O. (1960) The pyridine coenzymes, in *The Enzymes*, 2nd edition, Edited by P. D. Boyer, H. Lardy, & K. Myrback (Academic Press, New York,), Vol. 3B, pp. 105–169.
- 60LAB/NAS Labeyrie, F., Naslin, L., Curdel, A., & Wurmser, R. (1960) Nouvelle détermination du potentiel d'oxydoréduction du système lactate-pyruvate, Biochim. Biophys. Acta 41, 509-515.
- 600CO/HAL O'Conner, R. J., & Halvorson, H. O. (1960) Intermediate metabolism of aerobic spores. V. The purification and properties of L-alanine dehydrogenase, Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 91, 290-299.
- 60WES/CHA Westrum, E. F., Jr., Chang, S. S., & Levitin, N. E. (1960) The heat capacity and thermodynamic properties of sodium formate from 5 to 350 °K, J. Phys. Chem. 64, 1553-1554.
- 62EVA/HOA Evans, D. M., Hoare, F. E., & Melia, T. P. (1962) Heat capacity, enthalpy and entropy of citric acid monohydrate, Trans. Faraday Soc. 58, 1511-1514.
- 62GOL/WAG Goldman, D. S., & Wagner, M. J. (1962) Enzyme systems in the mycobacteria. XIII. Glycine dehydrogenase and the glyoxylic acid cycle, Biochim. Biophys. Acta 65, 297-306.
- 62RAV/WOL Raval, D. N., & Wolfe, R. G. (1962) Malic dehydrogenase. II. Kinetic studies of the reaction mechanism, Blochemistry 1, 263-269.
- 62SEG/BEA Segal, H. L., Beattie, D. S., & Hopper, S. (1962) Purification and properties of liver glutamic-alanine transaminase from normal and corticoid-treated rats, J. Biol. Chem. 237, 1914–1920.
- 62VIC Vickery, H. B. (1962) A suggested new nomenclature for the isomers of isocitric acid, J. Biol. Chem. 237, 1739-1741.
- 63HAR/COL Harvey, R. J., & Collins, E. B. (1963) The citritase of *Streptococcus diacetilactis*: Substrate, products, and equilibrium, J. Biol. Chem. **238**, 2648–2653.
- 63LLO/ORD Llopis, J., & Ordonez, D. (1963) Etude Thermodynamique de la dissociation l'acide glutamique, J. Electroanal. Chem. 5, 129-146.
- 64BAR/ROO Barker, H. A., Rooze, V., Suzuki, F., & Iodice, A. A. (1964) The glutamate mutase system. Assays and properties, J. Biol. Chem. 239, 3260-3266.

- 64JEN/GIL Jencks, W. P., & Gilchrist, M. (1964) The free energies of hydrolysis of some esters and thiol esters of acetic, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 86, 4651-4654.
- 64SIL/MAR Sillén, L. G. & Martell, A. E. (1964) Stability of Metal Ion Complexes, Chemical Society of London, Spec. Pub. No. 17.
- 65BUC/HER Buckley, E., & Herington, E. F. G. (1965) Equilibria in some secondary alcohol + hydrogen + ketone systems, Trans. Faraday Soc. 61, 1618-1625.
- 65HIN/WEI Hine, J., & Weimar, R. D., Jr. (1965) Carbon Basicity, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 87, 3387-3396.
- 65MAR/JEN Martinez-Carrion, M., & Jenkins, W. T. (1965) D-Alanine-D-glutamate transaminase. I. Purification and characterization, J. Biol. Chem. 240, 3538-3551.
- 65PAR Parker, V. B. (1965) Thermal properties of aqueous uni-univalent electrolytes, National Bureau Standards Reference Data Series.
- 65RAJ/MAR Rajan, K. S., & Martell, A. E. (1965) Equilibrium studies of uranyl complexes. III. Interaction of uranyl ion with citric acid, Inorgan. Chem. 4, 462–469.
- 65TAT/DAT Tate, S. S., & Datta, S. P. (1965) The equilibrium of the reaction catalysed by citrate oxaloacetate-lyase, Biochem. J. 94, 470-477.
- 65TAT/GRZ Tate, S. S., Grzybowski, A. K., & Datta, S. P. (1965) The stability constants of magnesium citrate complexes, J. Chem. Soc. 1965, 3905-3912.
- 65YOS Yoshida, A. (1965) Enzymic properties of malate dehydrogenase of *Bacillus subtilis*, J. Biol. Chem. 240, 1118-1124.
- 65YOS/FRE Yoshida, A., & Freese, E. (1965) Enzymic properties of alanine dehydrogenase of *Bacillus subtilis*, Biochim. Biophys. Acta. **96**, 248-262.
- 66CAR/HUL Cartwright, L. N., & Hullin, R. P. (1966) Purification and properties of two glyoxylate reductases from a species of *Pseudomonas*, Biochem. J. 101, 781-791.
- 66GIB/MOR Gibbs, R. G., & Morris, J. G. (1966) Formation of glycine from glyoxylate in *Micrococcus denitrificans*, Biochem. J. 99, 27p-28p.
- 66THO/NAN Thomson, J. F., Nance, S. L., Bush, K. J., & Szczepanik, P. A. (1966) Isotope and solvent effects of deuterium on aconitase, Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 117, 65-74.
- 66WOO/DAV Wood, H. G., Davis, J. J., & Lochmüller, H. (1966) The equilibria of reactions catalyzed by carboxytransphosphorylase, carboxykinase, and pyruvate carboxylase and the synthesis of phosphoenolpyruvate, J. Biol. Chem. 241, 5692-5704.
- 67BAR Barker, H. A. (1967) Citramalate Lyase of Clostridium tetanomorphum, Arch. Mikrobiol. 59, 4–12.
- 67ENG/DAL Engel, P. C., & Dalziel, K. (1967) The equilibrium constants of the glutamate dehydrogenase systems, Biochem. J. 105, 691-695.
- 67ENG/DEN England, P. J., Denton, R. M., & Randle, P. J. (1967) The influence of magnesium ions and other bivalent metals ions on the aconitase equilibrium and its bearing on the binding of magnesium ions by citrate in rat heart, Biochem. J. 105, 32c-33c.
- 67KOM/MUS Komar, N. P., Musailov, O. S., & Shang, T. V. (1967) A study of protonation of the succinate ion, J. Anal. Chem. USSR 22, 817-825.
- 67KUR Kurz, J. L. (1967) The hydration of acetaldehyde. I. Equilibrium thermodynamic parameters, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 89, 3524–3528.
- 68BAD/MIL Bada, J. L., & Miller, S. L. (1968) Equilibrium constant for the reversible deamination of aspartic acid, Biochemistry 7, 3403-3408.
- 68KOH/JAKa Kohn, L. D., & Jakoby, W. B. (1968) Tartaric acid metabolism. IV. Crystalline L-malic dehydrogenase from *Pseudomonas acidovorans*, J. Biol. Chem. 243, 2472-2478.

- 68KOH/JAKb Kohn, L. D., & Jakoby, W. B. (1968) Tartaric acid metabolism. VI. Crystalline oxaloglycolate reductive decarboxylase. J. Biol. Chem. 243, 2486-2493.
- 68LON/DAL Londesborough, J. C., & Dalziel, K. (1968) The equilibrium constant of the isocitrate dehydrogenase reaction, Biochem. J. 110, 217-222.
- 68WAG/EVA Wagman, D. D., Evans, W. H., Parker, V. B., Halow, I., Bailey, S. M., & Schumm, H. (1968) Selected values of chemical thermodynamic properties, Technical Note 270-3(National Bureau of Standards, Washington, D. C.).
- 69ALE/HIL Alexander, D. M., & Hill, D. J. T. (1969) The heats of solution of alcohols in water, Aust. J. Chem. 22, 347-356.
- 69ALL Allen, S. H. G. (1969) Malate-lactate transhydrogenase from *Micrococcus lactilyticus*, Methods Enzymol.
 13. 262-269.
- 69ARN/KOV Arnett, E. M., Kover, W. B., & Carter, J. V. (1969) Heat capacities of organic compounds in solution. I. Low molecular weight alcohols in water, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 91, 4028-4034.
- 69BARa Barker, H. A. (1969) Glutamate mutase (methylaspartate mutase), Meth. Enzymol. 13, 319-330.
- 69BARb Barker, H. A. (1969) Citramalate pyruvate lyase, Meth. Enzymol. 13, 344–346.
- 69BLA Blair, J. M. (1969) Magnesium and the aconitase equilibrium: Determination of apparent stability constants of magnesium substrate complexes from equilibrium data, European J. Biochem. 8, 287-291.
- 69BUT/LIN Buttery, R. G., Ling, L. C., & Guadagni, D. G. (1969) Food Volatiles. Volatilities of aldehydes, ketones, and esters in dilute water solution, J. Agr. Food Chem. 17, 385-389.
- 69GUE/PED Guest, M. F., Pedley, J. B., & Horn, M. (1969) Analysis by computer of thermochemical data on boron compounds, J. Chem. Thermo. 1, 345-352.
- 69JEN/KNO Jen, J., & Knoche, W. (1969) Zur Hydratation der α-Ketoglutarsäure, Ber. Bunsenges. Phys. Chem. 73, 539-541.
- 69RAG/CAV Ragaini, V. & Cavenaghi, C. (1969) Calori di soluzione e di idratazione del sistema acqua-acetaldeide, Chim. Ind. (Milan) 51, 370-373.
- 69STU/WES Stull, D. R., Westrum, E. F., Jr., & Sinke, G. C. (1969) The Chemical Thermodynamics of Organic Compounds, (John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York).
- 69WAN/BARa Wang, C. C., & Barker, H. A. (1969) Purification and properties of L-citramalate hydrolyase, J. Biol. Chem. 244, 2516-2526.
- 69WAN/BARb Wang, C. C., & Barker, H. A. (1969) L-Citramalate hydrolyase, Meth. Enzymol. 13, 331-344.
- Wilhoit, R. C. (1969) Selected values of thermodynamic properties, in *Biochemical Microcolorimetry*, H.
 D. Brown, Ed. (Academic Press, New York), pp. 305-317.
- 70COX/PIL Cox, J. D., & Pilcher, G. (1970) Thermochemistry of Organic and Organometallic Compounds (Academic Press, London).
- 70DAV/SIL d'Avila, S. G., & Silva, R. S. F. (1970) Isothermal vapor-liquid equilibrium data by total pressure method. Systems acetaldehyde-ethanol, acetaldehyde-water, and ethanol-water, J. Chem. Engr. Data 15, 421-424.
- 70GIB/MOR Gibbs, R. G., & Morris, J. G. (1970) Glycine-glyoxylate metabolism: β-hydroxyaspartate pathway (Micrococcus denitrificans), Methods Enzymol. 17A, 981-987.
- 70KOH/WAR Kohn, L. D., Warren, W. A., & Carroll, W. R. (1970) The kinetic properties of spinach leaf glyoxylic acid reductase, J. Biol. Chem. 245, 3831-3839.
- 70SNE/GRE Snell, H., & Greyson, J. (1970) Water structure in solutions of the sodium salts of some aliphatic acids, J. Phys. Chem. 74, 2148-2152.

- 70VAN/WES Vanderzee, C. E., & Westrum, E. F., Jr. (1970) Succinic acid. Heat capacities and thermodynamic properties from 5 to 328 K. An efficient drying procedure, J. Chem. Thermo. 2, 681-687.
- 70ZAI/NAZ Zaikin, I. D., & Nazaruk, L. N. (1970) Heat of combustion of succinic acid, Russian J. Phys. Chem. 44, 1376.
- 71KON/WAD Konicek, J., & Wadsö, I. (1971) Thermochemical properties of some carboxylic acids, amines and Nsubstituted amides in aqueous solution, Acta Chem. Scand. 25, 1541-1551.
- 71SIL/MAR Sillen, L. G., & Martell, A. E. (1971) Stability constants of metal-ion complexes: Part I. Inorg. Ligands, Part II. Organic including macromolecule ligands, Special Publication No. 25 (Chemical Society of London).
- 71TAN/JOH Tanaka, N., & Johnson, M. J. (1971) Equilibrium constant for conversion of pyruvate to acetyl phosphate and formate, J. Bact. 108, 1107-1111.
- 71WIL/ROC Williams, J. O., Roche, T. E., & McFadden, B. A. (1971) Mechanism of action of isocitrate lyase from *Pseudomonas indigofera*, Biochemistry 10, 1384–1390.
- 72ARN/BUR Arnett, E. M., Burke, J. J., Carter, J. V., & Douty, C. F. (1972) Solvent Effects in Organic Chemistry. XV. Thermodynamics of solution for nonelectrolytes in aqueous acid and salt solutions, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 94, 7837-7852.
- 72DOM Domalski, E. S. (1972) Selected values of heats of combustion and heats of formation of organic compounds containing the elements C,H,N,O,P, and S, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 1, 221-277.
- 72KOM/TKH Komaar, N. P., & Tkhuet, N. M. (1972) Protonization of the fumarate ion, J. Anal. Chem. USSR 27, 1517-1521.
- 72VAN/MANaVanderzee, C. E., Monsson, M. ., & Sunner, S. (1972) The energy of combustion of succinic acid, J. Chem. Thermo. 4, 533-540.
- 72VAN/MANb Vanderzee, C. E., Monsson, M., Wadsö, I., & Sunner, S. (1972) Enthalpies of formation of mono- and diammonium succinates and of aqueous ammonia and ammonium ion, J. Chem. Thermo. 4, 541-550.
- 73COX/PAR Cox, B. G., Parker, A. J., and Waghorne, W. E. (1973) Liquid junction potentials between electrolyte solution in difference solvents, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 95, 1010-1014.
- 73GUY/GEL Guynn, R. W., Gelberg, H. J., & Veech, R. L. (1973) Equilibrium constants of the malate dehydrogenase, citrate synthase, citrate lyase, and acetyl coenzyme A hydrolysis reactions under physiological conditions, J. Biol. Chem. 248, 6957–6965.
- 73HER Hersh, L. B. (1973) Malate adenosine triphosphate lyase. Separation of the reaction into a malate thiokinase and malyl coenzyme A lyase, J. Biol. Chem. 248, 7295-7303.
- 73WIL/ZWO Wilhoit, R. C., & Zwolinski, B. J. (1973) Physical and thermodynamic properties of aliphatic alcohols, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 2, Suppl. 1.
- 74BUR Burton, K. (1974) The enthalpy change for the reduction of nicotinamide-adenine dinucleotide, Biochem. J. 143, 365-368.
- 74HAP/CHA Happel, J., Chao, J. C., & Mezaki, R. (1974) Thermodynamic equilibrium constant of ethyl alcohol-acetaldehyde-hydrogen system, J. Chem. Eng. Data 19, 110-112.
- 74MAR/SMI Martell, A. E., & Smith, R. M. (1974) Critical Stability Constants (Plenum Press, New York).
- 75CHA/AHL Chawla, B., & Ahluwalia, J. C. (1975) Enthalpies and heat capacities of dissolution of some sodium carboxylates in water and hydrophobic hydration, J. Sol. Chem. 4, 383-389.

- 75DON/BAR Donnovan, L., Barclay, K., Otto, K., & Jespersen, N. (1975) Thermochemistry of the reaction catalyzed by lactate dehydrogenase, Thermochim. Acta 11, 151-156.
- 75KAM/SAT Kamaguchi, A., Sato, T., Sakiyama, M., & Seki. S. (1975) Enthalpies of combustion of organic compounds. III. D-, L- and DL-alanines, Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan, 48, 3749-3750.
- 75OPP/KAP Oppenheimer, N. J., & Kaplan, N. O. (1975) The alpha beta epimerization of reduced nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide, Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 166, 526-535.
- 75SAK/SEK Sakiyama, M., & Seki, S. (1975) Enthalpies of combustion of organic compounds. II. L- and D-glutamic acid, Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan 48, 2203-2204.
- 75SCH/RIF Schimerlik, M. I., Rife, J. E., & Cleland, W. W. (1975) Equilibrium perturbation by isotope substitution, Biochemistry 14, 5347-5354.
- 75SPI/WAD Spink, C. H., & Wadsö (1975) Thermochemistry of solutions of biochemical model compounds. 4. The partial molar heat capacities of some amino acids in aqueous solution, J. Chem. Thermo. 7, 561-572.
- 76BRO Broda, E., (1976) The Evolution of the Bioenergetic Processes (Pergamon Press, Oxford).
- 76CHR/HAN Christensen, J. J., Hansen, L. D., & Izatt, R. M. (1976) Handbook of Proton Ionization Heats and Related Thermodynamic Quantities (Wiley & Sons, New York).
- 76GAR/PARa Garvin, D., Parker, V. B., Wagman, D. D., & Evans, W. H. (1977) A combined least sums and least squares approach to the evaluation of thermodynamic data networks, Proc. 5th Int'l CODATA Conference (Pergamon Press) pp. 567-575.
- 76GAR/PARb Garvin, D., Parker, V. B., Wagman, D. D., & Evans, W. H., (1976) A combined least sums and least squares approach to the evaluation of thermodynamic data networks, NBSIR 76-1147 (National Bureau of Standards, Washington, D. C.).
- Hutchins, J. O. (1976) in Handbook of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Physical and Chemical Data, G. D.
 Fasman, Ed. (CRC Press, Cleveland, Ohio) Vol. I, pp. 107-121.
- 76INT Interunion Commission on Biothermodynamics. (1976) Recommendations for measurement and presentation of biochemical equilibrium data, J. Biol. Chem. 251, 6879–6885.
- 76JEN Jencks, W. P. (1976) Free energies of hydrolysis and decarboxylation, in Handbook of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Physical and Chemical Data, G. D. Fasman, Ed. (CRC Press, Cleveland, Ohio) Vol. I, pp. 296-304.
- 76JES Jespersen, N. (1976) Thermochemistry of the reaction catalyzed by malate dehydrogenase, Thermochim. Acta 17, 23-27.
- 76RUS/MUL Ruschig, U., Müller, U., Willnow, P., & Höpner, T. (1976) CO₂ reduction to formate by NADH catalysed by formate dehydrogenase from *Pseudomonas oxalacticus*, Eur. J. Biochem. 70, 325–330.
- 77CHA/WIL Chao, J., Wilhoit, R. C., & Zwolinski, B. J. (1977) Thermodynamic properties of key organic oxygen compounds in the carbon range C₁ and C₄, in *Proceedings of the Fifth Biennial International CODATA* Conference, B. Dreyfus, Ed. (Pergamon Press, Oxford), pp. 83-90.
- 77NGA/SAB Ngauv, S. N., Sabbah, R., & Laffitte, M. (1977) Thermodynamique de composes azotes III. Etude thermochimique de la glycine et de la L-α-alanine, Thermochim. Acta 20, 371-380.
- 77PED/RYL Pedley, J. B., & Rylance, J. (1977) Computer Analyzed Thermochemical Data (University of Sussex, Brighton).

- 77SCH/CLE Schimerlik, M. I., & Cleland, W. W. (1977) Inhibition and alternate-substrate studies on the mechanism of malic enzyme, Biochemistry 16, 565-570.
- 77THA/JUN Thauer, R. K., Jungermann, K., & Decker, K. (1977) Energy conservation in chemotrophic anaerobic bacteria, Bact. Rev. 41, 100–180.
- 77WAG/SCH Wagman, D. D., Schumm, R. H., & Parker, V. B. (1977) *A* computer-assisted evaluation of the thermochemical data of the compounds of Thorium, Nat. Bur. Stand. (U. S.) NBSIR-77-1300.
- 77WIL/BAT Wilhelm, E., Battino, R., & Wilcox, R. J. (1977) Lowpressure solubility of gases in liquid water, Chem. Ref. 77, 219-262.
- 78BAR/PED Barnes, K., Pedley, J. B., & Wooldridge, B. (1978) A new approach to the computer processing of thermochemical quantities illustrated by data on C₇ bicyclic hydrocarbons from the previous paper, J. Chem. Thermo. 10, 929-933.
- 78CHA/ZWO Chao. J., & Zwolinski, B. J. (1978) Ideal gas thermodynamic propeties of methanoic and ethanoic acids, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 1, 363-377.
- 78LYN/GUY Lynn, R., & Guynn, R. W. (1978) Equilibrium constants under physiological conditions for the reactions of succinyl coenzyme A synthetase and the hydrolysis of succinyl coenzyme A to coenzyme A and succinate, J. Biol. Chem. 253, 2546-2553.
- 78PEM/MAS Pemberton, R. C., & Mash, C. J. (1978) Thermodynamic properties of aqueous non-electrolyte mixtures.
 II. Vapour pressures and excess Gibbs energies for water + ethanol at 303. 15 to 363. 15 K determined by an accurate static method, J. Chem. Thermo. 10, 867-888.
- 78RYT/HUS Rytting, J. H., Huston, L. P., & Higuchi, T. (1978) Thermodynamic group contributions for hydroxyl, amino, and methylene groups, J. Pharm. Sci. 67, 615-618.
- 78SUB Subramanian, S. (1978) Thermodynamics of the glutamate dehydrogenase catalytic reaction, Biophys. Chem. 7, 375-378.
- 79PER Perrin, D. D. (1979) Stability Constants of Metal Ion Complexes, (Pergamon Press, Oxford).
- 79REK/EGO Rekharskii, M. V., Egorov, A. M., Gal'chenko, G. L., & Berezin, I. V. (1979) Enthalpy of the oxidation-reduction reaction of nicotinamide-adenine dinucleotide, Zh. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 249, 1156-1158.
- 79SCH/HIN Schmid, F. X., & Hinz, H. J. (1979) Thermodynamic studies of the lactate dehydrogenase catalytic reaction, Hoppe-Seyler's Z. Physiol. Chem. 360, 1501–1504.
- 79SUB Subramanian, S. (1979) Thermodynamics of dehydrogenase reactions, Trends in Biochem. Science 4, 102-105.
- 80COO/BLA Cook, P. F., Blanchard, J. S., & Cleland, W. W. (1980) Primary and secondary deuterium isotope effects on equilibrium constants for enzyme-catalyzed reactions, Biochemistry 19, 4853-4858.
- 80MAT/AMA Matsumoto, M., & Amaya, K. (1980) The heats of solution of optically active compounds and the corresponding racemic compounds, Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan 53, 3510-3512.
- 81DEL/STR Della Gatta, G., Stradella, L., & Venturello, P. (1981) Enthalpies of solvation in cyclohexane and in water for homologous aliphatic ketones and esters, J. Sol. Chem. 10, 209-220.
- 81GRI/CLE Grimshaw, C. E., & Cleland, W. W. (1981) Kinetic mechanism of *Bacillus subtilis L*-alanine dehydrogenase, Biochemistry 20, 5650-5655.
- 81REK/EGO Rekharsky, M. V., Egorov, A. M., Gal'chenko, G. L., & Berezin, I. V. (1981) Thermodynamics of redox reactions involving nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide, Thermochim. Acta 46, 89-101.

1072

THE THERMODYNAMICS OF THE KREBS CYCLE AND RELATED COMPOUNDS

- 81ROU/SOM Rouw, A. C., & Somsen, G. (1981) The solvation of some alcohols in binary solvents: enthalpies of solution and enthalpies of transfer, J. Chem. Thermo. 13, 67-76.
- 81SLA Slater, E. C. (1971) 50 years ago. Maxwell demons and enzymes, Trends Biochem. Sci. 6, 280-281.
- 82ABU/SHE Abu-Hamdiyyah, M., & Shehabuddin, A. (1982) Transfer enthalpies and entropies of amino acids from water to urea solutions, J. Chem. Eng. Data 27, 74-76.
- 82CHO/AHL Choudhury, N. R., & Ahluwalia, J. C. (1982) Enthalpies and heat capacities of transfer of sodium carboxylates and sodium dodecylsulfate from water to aqueous *tert*-Butyl alcohol solutions, J. Sol. Chem. 11, 189-201.
- 82MAR/AND Martin, J. F., & Andon, R. J. L. (1982) Thermodynamic properties of organic oxygen compounds. Part LII. Molar heat capacity of ethanoic, propanoic, and butanoic acids, J. Chem. Thermo. 14, 679-688.
- 82VAS/KOC Vasil'ev, V. P., Kochergina, L. A., Iven'kova, S. G., & Kuturov, M. V. (1982) Thermodynamics of the stagewise dissociation of L-aspartic acid, J. Gen. Chem. USSR 52, 1465-1471.
- 82WAG/EVA Wagman, D. D., Evans, W. H., Parker, V. B., Schumm, R. H., Halow, I., Bailey, S. M., Churney, K. L., & Nuttall, R. L. (1982) The NBS tables of chemical thermodynamic properties, J. Chem. Ref. Data 11, Suppl. 2, 1-392.
- 83BEN/CIL Benedetti, A. V., Cilense, M., Vollet, D. R., & Montone, R. C. (1983) Thermodynamic properties of liquid mixtures. III. Acetone-water, Thermochim. Acta 66, 219-223.
- 83FER/MAR Ferino, I., Marongiu, B., Monaci, R., Solinas, V., & Torrazza, S. (1983) Thermodynamic properties of aqueous non-electrolyte mixtures. Enthalpy of mixing and liquid-liquid equilibrium of water + aliphatic aldehyde mixtures, Thermochim. Acta 65, 157-168.
- 83FRA/PLA Franzosini, P., Plautz, W. A., & Westrum, E. F., Jr. (1983) Thermophysics of metal alkanoates. I. Heat capacities and thermodynamic properties of sodium methanoate and ethanoate, J. Chem. Thermo. 15, 445-456.
- 83YAM/SAI Yamamoto, I., Saiki, T., Liu, S. M., & Ljungdahl, L. G. (1983) Purification and properties of NADP-dependent formate dehydrogenase from *Clostridium ther*moaceticum, a tungsten-selenium-iron protein, J. Biol. Chem. 258, 1826-1832.
- 84DOM/EVA Domalski, E. S., Evans, W. H., & Hearing, E. D. (1984) Heat capacities and entropies of organic compounds in the condensed phase, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 13, Suppl. No. 1, 1-286.
- 84SCH/EMP Schloss, J. V., Emptage, M. H., & Cleland, W. W. (1984) pH Profiles and isotope effects for aconitases from Saccharomycopsis lipolytica, beef heart, and beef

liver. α -methyl-*cis*-aconitate and *threo*-D₅- α -methylisocitrate as substrates, Biochemistry 23, 4572-4580.

- 85GAJ/GOL Gajewski, E., Goldberg, R. N., & Steckler, D. K. (1985) Thermodynamics of the conversion of fumarate to L-(-)-malate, Biophys. Chem. 22, 187–195.
- 85ROD/TOC Rodante, F., & Tocci, M. (1985) Calorimetric study of some α-amino acids in water at 25 °C, Thermochim. Acta 86, 109-112.
- 85WIL/CHA Wilhoit, R. C., Chao, J., & Hall, K. R. (1985) Thermodynamic properties of key organic oxygen compounds in the carbon range C₁ to C₄. Part 1. Properties of condensed phases, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 14, 1-175.
 86/APE Apelblat, A. (1986) Enthalpy of solution of oxalic, succinic, adipic, malic, tartaric, and citric acids, oxalic acid dihydrate, and citric acid monohydrate in water at 298. 15 K, J. Chem. Thermo. 18, 351-357.
- 86CHA/HAL Chao, J., Hall, K. R., Marsh, K. N., & Wilhoit, R. C. (1986) Thermodynamic properties of key organic oxygen compounds in the carbon range C₁ to C₄. Part 2. Ideal gas properties, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 15, 1369–1436.
- 86GOL/GAJ Goldberg, R. N., Gajewski, E., Steekler, D. K., & Tewari, Y. B. (1986) Thermodynamics of the conversion of aqueous *L*-aspartic acid to fumaric acid and ammonia, Biophys. Chem. 24, 13-23.
- 86HIN Hinz, H. J. (Editor) (1986) Thermodynamic Data for Biochemistry and Biotechnology (Springer-Verlag, Berlin).
- 86PED/NAY Pedley, J. B., Naylor, R. D., & Kirby, S. P. (1986) Thermochemical Data for Organic Compounds, 2nd ed. (Chapman & Hall, London).
- 86REK/GAL Rekharsky, M. V., Galchenko, G. L., Egorov, A. M., & Berenzin, I. V. (1986) Thermodynamics of enzymatic reactions, in Hinz, H. J., Editor, Thermodynamic Data for Biochemistry and Biotechnology (Springer-Verlag, Berlin), pp. 431-444.
- 87BUC/MIL Buckel, W., & Miller, S. L. (1987) Equilibrium constants of several reactions involved in the fermentation of glutamate, Eur. J. Biochem. 164, 565-569.
- 87KAM/MAL Kam, B. L., Malver, O., Marschner, T. M., & Oppenheimer, N. J. (1987) Pyridine coenzyme analogues. Synthesis and characterization of α- and β-nicotinamide arabinoside adenine dinucleotides, Biochemistry 26, 3453-3461.
- 88BON Bonner, O. D. (1988) Osmotic and activity coefficients of the sodium salts of formic, acetic and propionic acids, J. Soln. Chem. 17, 999-1002.
- 89COX/WAG Cox, J. D., Wagman, D. D., & Medvedev, V. A. (Editors), (1989) CODATA Key Values for Thermodynamics (Hemisphere Publishing Corporation, Washington, D. C.).